

To access the Agenda and Backup Materials electronically, go to www.gjcity.org



PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA VIRTUAL MEETING

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 2021 @ 5:30 PM

Options for Virtual Public Participation:

1. Provide written comment at www.GJspeaks.org or by emailing comdev@gjcity.org
2. Comment by phone. Dial the telephone number (970) 609-9688 and enter the four-digit code provided for each item on the agenda. You can then leave a message, which will be submitted as a public comment both as an audio file and as text translation.
3. Attend the meeting virtually using the link below:

<https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/1377924877105876752>

After registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the webinar.

Please email the [Secretary to the Planning Commission](#) for more information on how to participate in Planning Commission meetings.

Call to Order - 5:30 PM

Consent Agenda

1. Minutes of Previous Meeting(s) from January 12, 2021.

Regular Agenda

1. Consider a request by the City of Grand Junction to adopt the Patterson Road Access Control Plan (ACP), as Volume III, Title 38 of the Municipal Code. | [Staff Presentation](#) | Phone-in comments dial **1205**

Other Business

Adjournment

GRAND JUNCTION PLANNING COMMISSION
January 12, 2021 MINUTES
5:30 p.m.

The meeting of the Planning Commission was called to order at 5:30 p.m. by Chair Andrew Teske.

Those present were Planning Commissioners; Chair Andrew Teske, Vice Chair Christian Reece, George Gatseos, Keith Ehlers, Ken Scissors, and Kim Kerk.

Also present were Jamie Beard (Assistant City Attorney), Tamra Allen (Community Development Director), and Kristen Ashbeck (Principal Planner).

There were 0 members of the public in virtual attendance.

CONSENT AGENDA

Commissioner Reece moved to adopt Consent Agenda Item #1. Commissioner Scissors seconded the motion. The motion carried 7-0.

1. Approval of Minutes

Minutes of Previous Meeting(s) from December 1, 2020 and December 8, 2020.

REGULAR AGENDA

1. Dos Rios PD/ODP Amendment **File # PLD-2020-121**

Consider a request by the City of Grand Junction for a Rezone/Amendment to the Planned Development (PD) zone district and Outline Development Plan (ODP) for the Riverfront at Dos Rios, located on the northeast bank of the Colorado River between Highway 50 and Hale Avenue.

View presentation here at XXXX

Staff Presentation

Kristen Ashbeck, Principal Planner, introduced exhibits into the record and provided a presentation regarding the request.

Questions for Staff

Commissioners Scissors, Reece, and Gatseos asked questions regarding the request.

Public Hearing

The public hearing was opened at 5 p.m. on Tuesday, January 5, 2021 via www.GJSpeaks.org.

None.

The public hearing was closed at 5:48 p.m. on January 12, 2021.

Questions for Applicant or Staff

None.

Discussion

None.

Motion and Vote

Commissioner Gatseos made the following motion, "Chairman Teske, on the Rezone and Amendment to Planned Development (PD) with a BP (Business Park) default zone district and an Outline Development Plan for a mixed use development known as the Riverfront at Dos Rios, file number PLD-2020-121, I move that the Planning Commission forward a recommendation of approval to City Council with the findings of fact listed in the staff report."

Commissioner Kerk seconded the motion. The motion carried 7-0.

2. Dual Immersion Academy ROW and Public Easement Vacation

File # VAC-2020-245, VAC-2020-246

Consider a request by Mesa County Valley School District 51 to vacate a public alley right-of-way adjacent to five properties located at 520, 522, 538, 542 and 552 West Main Street and a public sewer easement located at 552 West Main Street.

Application was withdrawn.

3. Other Business

None.

4. Adjournment

Commissioner Reece moved to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Scissors seconded the motion. The meeting adjourned at 5:52 p.m.



Grand Junction Planning Commission

Regular Session

Item #1.

Meeting Date: February 9, 2021

Presented By: Trent Prall, Public Works Director, Michelle Hansen, David Thornton, Principal Planner

Department: Community Development

Submitted By: David Thornton, Principal Planner

Information

SUBJECT:

Consider a request by the City of Grand Junction to adopt the Patterson Road Access Control Plan (ACP), as Volume III, Title 38 of the Municipal Code. | [Staff Presentation](#) | Phone-in comments dial **1205**

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

In 2020, the City engaged Stolfus and Associates to study and prepare an Access Control Plan (ACP) for the 7.0-mile Patterson Road corridor within the City limits.

The goal of access management is to optimize the performance of the corridor, improve the level of safety, reduce traffic congestion, and improve the overall functionality. The ACP works to plan, coordinate, regulate, and design access to and from adjacent property including future development.

Throughout 2020, Stolfus' work inventoried access points, count traffic, determined existing and future traffic demands, performed a safety analysis for Patterson Road and prepared a draft Plan that was presented to City Council at the August 3, 2020 Workshop. A public open house was held on October 1, 2020 and the final document was publicly reviewed through GJSpeaks. Virtual one-on-ones were held January 13,

2021 along with follow-up phone calls to concerned property owners to discuss individual access related concerns. The proposed final draft Plan was presented to City Council at the February 1, 2021 Workshop.

Upon adoption, the Patterson Road Access Control Plan (ACP) becomes a new Plan to Volume III of the Municipal Code and will become Title 38. The One Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan and all other adopted Plans by the City are elements of Volume III titled “Comprehensive Plan” and thus codified in Volume III. The proposed final Patterson Road ACP will be presented for adoption.

BACKGROUND OR DETAILED INFORMATION:

BACKGROUND

The City engaged, in January of 2020, the consulting firm Stolfus and Associates to prepare an Access Control Plan (ACP) for Patterson Road. The purpose of the ACP is to provide effective access to properties and public street connections to Patterson Road.

The ACP works to coordinate planning, regulation, and design of access to Patterson Road from adjacent property including future development. The plan involves the systematic control of the location, spacing, design, and operation of driveways, median openings, and street connections. The ACP defines existing and future access locations and configurations (movements allowed), with consideration for circulation and alternative access opportunities. It is a long-range planning document that identifies access conditions that will be implemented as roadway corridor and land-use characteristics change. Similar studies have been developed by CDOT throughout the state and it has been demonstrated that access-managed corridors not only preserve the transportation functions of roadways, but also help preserve property values and the economic viability of abutting developments.

The study area consists of approximately seven miles of Patterson Road (F Road) between I-70B (23.75 Road) and Lodgepole Street (30.75 Road) within the City limits. The segment on the east end that extends beyond City limits is controlled by Mesa County and not included in this plan. In general, land use within the city limits is suburban in nature with residential and commercial uses. There are currently 284 access points on Patterson Road within the study area. A majority of access points are full movement. The access points are classified as follows:

- 15 Signalized public road intersections (29 access points)
- 54 unsignalized public road intersections (62 access points)
- 2 unsignalized private road intersections (2 access points)
- 81 business access points

- 93 residential access points
- 17 maintenance or field access points

Since its construction in 1984, Patterson Road has served as a critical part of Grand Junction's transportation system. It serves as a major east-west arterial corridor and is an important public resource. Effective access management is essential in order to optimize the performance of the road to improve the level of safety, reduce traffic congestion and improve the quality of the corridor.

PUBLIC OUTREACH AND ENGAGEMENT

One key component to a successful ACP is ensuring that the general public, business owners, and property owners have had opportunities to offer their input. Stolfus and Associates worked over the last year to inventory access points, determine existing and future traffic demands, and perform a safety analysis for the Patterson Road corridor. In July 2020, they prepared a draft plan that included overall project goals.

While the project team ensured that the draft ACP was sound from a transportation engineering perspective, public input is critical to making sure the ACP considers property owner concerns, to the extent feasible. To facilitate this, the first public open house was held on October 1st. Over 800 bilingual (English and Spanish) postcards were mailed to property owners and residents/ business owners abutting and near the corridor. Additionally, bilingual newspaper advertisements were placed, and the City's social media accounts were utilized to distribute information. Even with the COVID-19 Pandemic, 30 people attended the October 1st in-person open house and numerous comment forms were received.

To accommodate those who were unable to attend this open house, all exhibits, and a supplemental video were made available on GJSpeaks.org. Bilingual comment forms and answers to frequently asked questions were also available on the website.

At the open house, attendees with more complicated issues were encouraged to sign up for one-on-one meetings with the project team. City staff simultaneously identified other properties where one-on-one meeting may be beneficial. The project team has contacted those property owners and met with them.

Based on these various stakeholder, one-on-one and open house comments, there were several revisions that were made to the ACP. Revisions to the ACP included those access points that may remain open with a raised median along Patterson Road, but be required to close if a property/site redevelops. This distinction is especially important on sites such as existing fuel stations where large trucks require two access points.

With a new revised draft ACP, a second public open house was held, this time virtually

for a week between January 6th and 12th. Bilingual notice was mailed a second time to property owners and residents/business owners abutting and near the corridor. The new draft ACP was prepared and presented to the public for additional review and comment. The virtual open house utilized GJSpeaks.org as its platform where all plan documents were available to view and comments could be submitted giving the public the entire week to participate. There has been a total of 14 webpage views and the informational videos have been viewed 71 times by the public.

Participants with more complicated issues were encouraged to sign up for 30-minute one-on-one meetings with the project team on January 13, 2021. There were ten people that signed up for these Zoom virtual meetings. Additionally, phone calls were made to other concerned property owners and with those the project team had already met with, to resolve questions and obtain feedback. All new comments received were reviewed and considered in the preparation of the final plan.

The Planning Commission reviewed the draft ACP in a workshop on December 3, 2020 between the two open houses. They will hold a public hearing and consider a recommendation for adoption of the Plan in a public hearing on February 9, 2021. City Council will review the draft ACP in a workshop on February 1, 2021. City Council first reading is currently proposed for February 17th with 2nd reading and public hearing and consideration for adoption of an ordinance at the March 3rd City Council meeting.

ACCESS MANAGEMENT BENEFITS

The Street Plan Functional Classification Map within the Grand Junction Circulation Plan (GVCP) identifies the corridor as a Minor Arterial from I-70B (23.75 Road) to 25 Road and a Principal Arterial from 25 Road to Lodgepole Street (30.75 Road). Arterial roadways are considered higher order roadways that carry large volumes of traffic and have limited access. Implementing access management along Patterson Road will help the City by preserving and improving traffic operations along the corridor. By preserving the capacity of Patterson Road, more traffic can be carried throughout the corridor without the construction of additional travel lanes.

Access management provides the means to balance good mobility along a roadway with local access needs of businesses and residents. Many long-term benefits to a corridor include:

- Limiting full movement access within a corridor favors through movements and strategically identifies locations for vehicles to enter and exit the corridor.
- Congestion is reduced, lessening travel times and providing smoother traffic flow.
- Reduces or prolongs the need to add additional thru lanes as traffic increases.
- Reduced congestion results in less air pollution.
- It has tremendous safety benefits. Studies have shown a 30% to 60% reduction in crashes on roadways where access management techniques are implemented.
- Access management is also good for business, providing safe access to customers

and retaining more of a community's original market area.

ACP Study Purpose and Goals

The purpose of this study is to coordinate development and growth anticipated in the area with the transportation needs for the local community and traveling public with the intention of improving safety and maximizing the life of the four-lane section along Patterson Rd. The goals for the project are as follows:

1. Provide effective and efficient through travel for traffic on Patterson Road utilizing the existing right-of-way and identify where additional right-of-way is needed.
2. Provide safe, effective, and efficient access to and from Patterson Road for businesses, residents, and guests to support the economic viability of the City.
3. Maintain compatibility with existing and proposed street network connections that provide local circulation to support the transportation system.
4. Support alternative modal choices, including transit, pedestrian, and bicycle routes.
5. Provide a plan that can be implemented in phases.
6. Maintain compatibility with previous local planning efforts. Such as, the Grand Junction Circulation Plan, Ballot 2A measure, and the One Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan.

PLAN DEVELOPMENT AND APPROACH

The existing physical and operational characteristics of Patterson Road were investigated. Then, future physical and operational characteristics were projected for a 20-year planning period based on anticipated growth in the area. The ACP was created using input from City staff, private property owners, and the general public.

To achieve the project goals, various changes to the existing Patterson Road corridor are recommended, including:

- Restriction of numerous full movement access points resulting in right-in/right-out and $\frac{3}{4}$ movement (left-in, right-in/right-out) accesses.
- Limitation of full movement access to major signalized intersections.
- Reduction of access to one location per ownership and where feasible, shared between adjacent properties.
- For properties located adjacent to Patterson Road, access points may be relocated to lower order streets, where reasonable access can be preserved.
- Out of direction travel will be limited in general to a maximum distance of one mile ($\frac{1}{2}$ mile each direction). Out of direction travel is the distance needed to reach an access that has been obstructed by a center median compared to the distance needed on an undivided street.

The recommended changes to Patterson Rd will result in the following benefits:

- a 60% reduction of vehicle conflict points, which correlates to a reduction in crashes.
- a 45% reduction in conflict points for pedestrians and cyclists traveling on Patterson Rd.
- improved travel time in both directions during morning and evening peak periods.

- the addition of auxiliary lanes at major intersections to safely separate turning movements and through movements and allow through movements to travel unimpeded.
- retention of business market area over time by reducing congestion.
- increased fuel efficiency the traveling public and improved air quality by providing smoother traffic flow.

In addition to the recommended changes, several new local streets are proposed. These alternative streets provide additional circulation opportunities that will reduce local dependence on Patterson Rd by providing alternatives for restricted left-turn movements and reducing traffic at high-demand intersections.

CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES

A base level review of corridor traffic safety and operations was conducted to support the ACP. Using a 2045 horizon year, traffic demand on the corridor is generally expected to increase by 33% in the morning peak hour and 24% in the afternoon peak hour. Since there are no well-established methods of estimating future crashes, data from 2014 to 2018 was used to evaluate existing intersection safety.

Patterson Rd intersections with the highest traffic safety and operations improvement priorities are at 25 Rd and N 12th St. The Patterson Rd segment with the highest priority for implementation of the ACP with a raised median is from 24 ½ Rd to 25 Rd due to the elevated number of driveway crashes.

Other locations on the corridor that show a high potential for crash reduction include the Patterson Rd intersections with 24 Rd, Market St, 24 ½ Rd, 25 ½ Rd, N 1st St, N 15th St, 28 ¼ Rd, 29 Rd, 29 ½ Rd, and 30 Rd. Implementation of the ACP with a raised median between 25 Rd and 12th St has a high benefit due to the number of driveway crashes recorded. Thirteen intersections on the corridor meet requirements for additional right or left turn lanes.

Other findings and recommendations for the corridor include the following:

- Alternative intersection types were considered, but it is recommended that the intersection at 24 Rd remain a conventional signalized intersection, with an additional northbound thru and eastbound left turn lane constructed to help traffic operations.
- Elimination of the traffic signal at Market St was considered because of its close proximity to 24 Rd, but due to the resulting impacts and in consideration of the potential relief that a future extension of F 1/2 Rd as a principal arterial would provide, it is recommended that the Market St intersection remain signalized.
- Restricting the 15th St to ¾ access was considered, but since the signal serves pedestrian movements and as a relief valve to 12th St, it is recommended to remain as is.
- Conduct further analysis to identify mid-block crossing locations that support

pedestrian accessibility and transit access.

- Adopt alternative road connections into the City of Grand Junction's Street Plan Functional Classification Map as part of the Grand Junction Circulation Plan.

IMPLEMENTATION CONDITIONS

The improvements recommended in the ACP represent a long-range plan to implement over time as traffic and safety needs arise and as funding becomes available.

Construction of the improvements recommended may be completed using public and/or private funding. The following scenarios will trigger construction.

1. A property redevelops or changes use, resulting in an increase in traffic to and from the site of 20% or more.
2. Planned publicly funded project by the City.
3. A safety or operational issue develops that can be mitigated through the implementation of access management techniques consistent with the ACP.

It is important to remember that implementation of improvements recommended in the ACP will only occur with one of the triggers listed above. Without one of these scenarios, the ACP does not compel a property owner to make access changes.

CONCLUSIONS

Traffic demand on the Patterson Rd is expected to increase by 24% to 33% over the next twenty years challenging the future functionality of the corridor. Access management has been proven both nationally and statewide to effectively preserve the transportation function of arterial roadways by optimizing the performance of the road to improve the level of safety, reduce traffic congestion and preserve property values without constructing major arterial improvements. The findings of this study indicate that applying access management techniques along Patterson Rd, including the implementation of a raised median, addition of auxiliary lanes, and the consolidation of driveways, will significantly reduce conflict points for vehicles, pedestrians, and cyclists, which correlates to reduced crashes and improved safety. In addition, smoother traffic flow and improved travel times will extend the life of the existing four-lane section on Patterson Rd. Prolonging the need for additional through lanes along Patterson Rd will result in taxpayer savings and reduced impacts to adjacent properties and businesses.

The proposed ACP and associated alternative routes provide the City with a corridor-wide vision for how to coordinate development and growth with the transportation needs on Patterson Rd. The ACP will provide clear expectations for access for both City staff and property owners/developers as land-use changes are proposed and public projects are developed. To provide for commitment to the access modifications and circulation routes recommended by this study, it is recommended that City adopt the ACP for Patterson Rd, as well as the proposed alternative routes. The ACP identifies access locations and levels of access by reference point for Patterson Rd

within City limits. The ACP Table, which provides detailed conditions and requirements for each access point, is included in Appendix F. In recognition of the plan's long-range nature and the potential for conditions to change over time, the City should view this plan as a living document that can be amended to best meet future conditions and priorities for the City.

The Patterson Road Access Control Plan will become Title 38, Volume III, of the Municipal Code. It is a standalone plan in Volume III that houses the various land-use related adopted planning documents of the City. The Plan is attached to this staff report and is proposed to be adopted by Ordinance in its entirety.

IV. NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

Published Notice was completed consistent to the provisions in Section 21.02.080 (g) of the City's Zoning and Development Code. A notice of the public hearing was published February 2, 2020 in the Grand Junction Sentinel. Mailed notice and posting are not required for Comprehensive Plan Amendments.

V. ANALYSIS

The Patterson Road Access Control Plan will become Title 38 in Volume III, of the Municipal Code and one of many planning documents that support and implement the City's Comprehensive Plan. Pursuant to Section 21.02.130(c)(2) of the Grand Junction Zoning and Development Code, the City may adopt Plans consistent with the vision, goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and the following criteria for Plan Amendments are met:

21.02.130(c) Criteria

(1) The City may amend the Comprehensive Plan, neighborhood plans, corridor plans and area plans if the proposed change is consistent with the vision (intent), goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and:

Upon adoption, the Patterson Road ACP becomes a new Plan to Volume III of the Municipal Code and will become Title 38. The One Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan and all other adopted Plans by the City are elements of Volume III titled "Comprehensive Plan" and thus codified in Volume III.

This ACP is the first access control plan for Patterson Road and its need continues to grow as traffic increases with new development occurring along and in proximity of the corridor. Many Grand Junction residents and visitors use the corridor for their transportation needs. The ACP is supported by the recently adopted 2020 One Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan, in particular Strategy f under Goal 2 of Plan Principal 6 Efficient and Connected Transportation, which strategy states, "Access Management. -

Plan, implement, and support the development of Access Control Plans (e.g. Patterson Road and North Avenue)". The Patterson Road Access Control Plan Study is ready for adoption as a planning document implementing the City's Comprehensive Plan adopted December 16, 2020.

Additionally, the proposed ACP implements the 2020 One Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan in the following ways.

It furthers the planning that is needed to help support a resilient and diverse economy and plan for future key infrastructure projects. It helps plan for infrastructure including center medians that support urban development. It further plans for creating a safe, balanced, and well-connected transportation system complete with center medians, shared accesses and turn lanes to help capacity and safety. These are supported specifically by the Plan Principals, Goals and Strategies from the 2020 One Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan listed below.

Plan Principle 2: Resilient and Diverse Economy

Goal 6. Invest in key infrastructure that supports business.

Strategy: Continue to strategically invest in transportation and utility infrastructure to serve business and implement the Grand Junction Circulation Plan...."

The adoption of the Patterson Road ACP furthers the planning that is needed to help support a resilient and diverse economy and plan for key infrastructure projects.

Plan Principal 3: Responsible and Managed Growth

Goal 4. Maintain and build infrastructure that supports urban development.

The ACP helps plan future infrastructure including center medians that support urban development.

Plan Principal; 6: Efficient and Connected Transportation

Goal 1. Continue to develop a safe, balanced, and well-connected transportation system that enhances mobility for all modes - Strategy f Complete Streets - subsection vi. Constructing center medians, shared accesses and turn lanes to enhance roadway capacity and safety.

Goal 2 Actively manage transportation systems and infrastructure to improve reliability, efficiency, and safety - Strategy f Access Management. Plan, implement, and support the development of Access Control Plans (e.g. Patterson Road and North Avenue).

Staff finds that the ACP is consistent with the vision, goals, principles and policies of the One Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan. This criterion has been met.

(i) Subsequent events have invalidated the original premises and findings; and/or

The Patterson Road corridor continue to see increases in traffic and public safety concerns. As it is implemented, the Patterson Road ACP will systematically control the location, spacing, design, and operation of driveways, median openings, and street connections to allow for safer and better traffic flow and provide effective access to properties and public street connections to Patterson Road. Existing traffic continues to increase and projected to further increase over the next 20 years. Today's traffic demand and increasing future traffic are subsequent events that support the need for the ACP study and establish an access control plan for the Patterson Road corridor.

Staff finds this criterion has been met.

(ii) The character and/or conditions of the area has changed such that the amendment is consistent with the Plan; and/or

As noted in criterion (i), the condition of the corridor or traffic increases in the Patterson Road corridor have changed and are predicted to increase in the future, supporting the ACP as an important Plan implementing the City's Comprehensive Plan.

Therefore, Staff finds that this criterion has been met.

(iii) Public and community facilities are adequate to serve the type and scope of land use proposed; and/or

This criterion is not applicable since the adoption is of an Access Control Plan and not about specific land uses.

Therefore, Staff finds that this criterion has not been met.

(iv) An inadequate supply of suitably designated land is available in the community, as defined by the presiding body, to accommodate the proposed land use; and/or

This criterion is not applicable since the adoption is of an Access Control Plan and not a land use map amendment.

Therefore, Staff finds that this criterion has not been met.

(v) The community or area, as defined by the presiding body, will derive benefits from the proposed amendment;

Access Control Plans work to coordinate planning, regulation, and design of access to properties along a corridor. The Patterson Road ACP involves the systematic control of the location, spacing, design, and operations of driveways, median openings, and

street connections and manages the road corridor to not only preserve the transportation functions of corridor, but also to help preserve property values and the economic viability of abutting developments. It optimizes the performance of the roadway to improve the level of safety, reduction of traffic congestion and is key in minimizing the need to add additional lanes of traffic (expansion from 2 lanes to 3 lanes each way) that would have a much greater impact to the corridor and adjacent properties.

Staff finds that this criterion has been met.

VI. STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT

After reviewing the Patterson Road Access Control Plan, CPA-2021-17, a request by the City of Grand Junction to adopt the Patterson Road Access Control Plan (ACP), as Volume III, Title 38 of the Municipal Code, the following findings of fact have been made:

1. The proposed Access Control Plan is consistent with the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.
2. At least one of the review criteria in Section 21.02.130(c)(2) of the Grand Junction Municipal Code have been met.

Therefore, Staff recommends approval.

SUGGESTED MOTION:

Mr. Chairman, on the Patterson Road Access Control Plan, CPA-2021-17, I move that Planning Commission forward a recommendation of adoption of the Patterson Road ACP as an element of the Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan and implementing the Comprehensive Plan with the findings of fact as listed in the staff report.

Attachments

1. Patterson Rd Access Study
2. Patterson Rd Access Study- Appendices
3. Proposed ordinance _ Patterson Rd Access Control Plan

City of Grand Junction Patterson Road Access Study

US 6 / US 50 / I-70B to Lodgepole Street

January 2021



CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION PATTERSON ROAD ACCESS STUDY

US 6/ US 50/ I-70B to Lodgepole Street

January 2021

Prepared for:

City of Grand Junction
250 North 5th St
Grand Junction, CO 81501

Prepared by:

Stolfus & Associates, Inc.
5690 DTC Blvd, Suite 330W
Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111
Andrew Amend, PE, PTOE - Project Manager
SAI Reference No. 4000.038.01

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	i
1.0 Introduction.....	5
1.1 Project Background.....	5
1.2 Public Involvement.....	7
2.0 Access Management – Benefits, Principles and Techniques.....	8
2.1 Access Management Benefits.....	8
2.2 Guiding Principles	9
2.3 Techniques	10
3.0 Existing Conditions	14
3.1 Land Use Characteristics	14
3.2 Roadway Characteristics	14
3.3 Existing Access Inventory	14
3.4 Crash History	15
4.0 Access Plan Development and Evaluation.....	19
4.1 Process.....	19
4.2 Evaluation Results	20
5.0 Plan Recommendations	22
5.1 Access Control Plan.....	23
5.2 Alternative Local Routes	49
6.0 Access Plan Implementation Conditions	53
7.0 Conclusion	54
8.0 List of Acronyms	55
9.0 Glossary	56

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Study Area	6
Figure 2. Patterson Rd Driveway Crashes	18
Figure 3. Patterson Rd ACP Exhibits	25
Figure 4. Patterson Rd ACP Alternative Routes	50

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Intersection Level of Service of Safety	16
Table 2. Compatibility Evaluation Summary	20

TECHNICAL APPENDICES

Appendix A - Public Outreach

Appendix B - Existing Access Inventory

Appendix C - Crash History

Appendix D - Traffic Methodology, Data, and Analysis

Appendix E - Access Plan Methodology and Evaluation Process

Appendix F - Access Control Plan Tables and Exhibits

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Project Background

Since its construction in 1984, Patterson Rd has served as a critical part of Grand Junction's transportation system. It serves as a major east-west arterial corridor and is an important public resource for the community. Sustained and successful economic development along the corridor is increasing travel demand and necessitating the need to improve safety, operations, and reliability. Effective access management is essential in order to optimize the performance of the road to improve the level of safety, reduce traffic congestion and improve the quality of this corridor without constructing major arterial improvements. In recognition of the benefits of access management and the need to proactively plan for the future, the City of Grand Junction identified an Access Control Plan (ACP) as a first step toward planning for both private development access and for public improvement projects along Patterson Rd. Similar studies have been developed both nationally and statewide and it has been demonstrated that access-managed corridors not only preserve the transportation functions of roadways, but also help preserve property values and the economic viability of abutting developments. ***The purpose of this study is to coordinate development and growth anticipated in the area with the transportation needs for the local community and traveling public with the intention of improving safety and maximizing the life of the four-lane section along Patterson Rd.***

The ACP coordinates planning, regulation, and design of access to Patterson Rd from adjacent property, including new land development. The plan incorporates the systematic control of the location, spacing, design, and operation of driveways, median openings, and street connections to the roadway. The ACP defines existing and future access locations and configurations (movements allowed), with consideration for circulation and alternative access opportunities. It is a long-range planning document that identifies access conditions that will be implemented as roadway corridor and land-use characteristics change. The ACP will provide clear expectations for access for both City staff and property owners/developers.

Study Area

The study area consists of approximately seven miles of Patterson Rd (F Rd) between I-70B (23.75 Rd) and Lodgepole St (30.75 Rd). The segment on the east end that extends beyond City limits is controlled by Mesa County and not included in this plan. In general, land use within the city limits is suburban in nature with residential and commercial uses. There are currently 284 access points on Patterson Rd within the study area. A majority of access points are full movement. The access points are classified as follows:

- 14 Signalized public road intersections (27 access points)
- 54 Unsignalized public road intersections (62 access points)
- 2 unsignalized private road intersections (2 access points)
- 82 business access points
- 95 residential access points
- 18 maintenance or field access points

Project Goals

The Street Plan Functional Classification Map within the Grand Valley Circulation Plan identifies the corridor as a Minor Arterial from I-70B (23.75 Rd) to 25 Rd and a Principal Arterial from 25 Rd to Lodgepole St (30.75 Rd). Arterial roadways are considered higher order roadways that carry large volumes of traffic and have limited access. Implementing access management along Patterson Rd will help the City by preserving and improving traffic operations along the corridor. By preserving the capacity of Patterson Rd, more traffic can be carried throughout the corridor without the construction of additional travel lanes.

Access management also has tremendous safety benefits. Of the reported crashes on Patterson Rd, 64.0% (759) were at or related to an intersection. Studies have shown a 30% to 60% reduction in crashes on roadways where access management techniques are implemented. The reduction in vehicle conflicts has the added benefit of improving traffic flow, reducing travel times, increasing public safety, reducing economic loss, increasing fuel efficiency and contributing less to air pollution. Access management is also good for business, providing safe access to customers and retaining more of a community's original market area by limiting congestion that may prevent some customers from making a trip.

With this in mind and recognizing the primary purpose of the ACP is to improve safety and the traveling experience along the corridor and coordinate anticipated growth in the area with the roadway network, the following project goals were established:

- Provide effective and efficient through travel for traffic on Patterson Rd utilizing the existing right-of-way and identify if additional right-of-way is needed.
- Provide safe, effective, and efficient access to and from Patterson Rd for businesses, residents, and guests to support the economic viability of the City of Grand Junction and Mesa County.
- Maintain compatibility with existing and proposed street network connections that provide local circulation to support the transportation system.
- Support alternative modal choices, including transit, pedestrian, and bicycle routes.
- Provide a plan that can be implemented in phases.
- Maintain compatibility with previous local planning efforts. Such as, the Grand Valley Circulation Plan, Ballot 2A measure, and the One Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan.

Plan Development and Approach

The existing physical and operational characteristics of Patterson Rd were investigated. Next, future physical and operational characteristics were projected for a 20-year planning period based on anticipated growth in the area. The ACP was created using input from City staff, private property owners, and the general public.

To achieve the project goals, various changes to the existing Patterson Rd corridor are recommended, including:

- Restriction of numerous full movement access points resulting in right-in/right-out and $\frac{3}{4}$ movement (left-in, right-in/right-out) accesses.
- Limitation of full movement access to major signalized intersections.
- Consolidation of access to one location per ownership and where feasible, shared between adjacent properties.

- For properties located adjacent to Patterson Rd, access points may be relocated to lower order streets where reasonable access can be provided.
- Out-of-direction travel will be limited in general to a maximum distance of one mile (½ mile each direction). Out-of-direction travel is the distance needed to reach an access that has been obstructed by a center median compared to the distance needed on an undivided street.

The recommended changes to Patterson Rd will result in the following benefits:

- a 60% reduction of vehicle conflict points, which correlates to a reduction in crashes
- a 45% reduction in conflict points for pedestrians and cyclists traveling on Patterson Rd
- improved travel time in both directions during morning and evening peak periods
- the addition of auxiliary lanes at major intersections to safely separate turning movements and through movements and allow through movements to travel unimpeded
- retention of business market area over time by reducing congestion
- increased fuel efficiency the traveling public and improved air quality by providing smoother traffic flow

In addition to the recommended changes, several new local streets are proposed. These alternative streets provide additional circulation opportunities that will reduce local dependence on Patterson Rd by providing alternatives for restricted left-turn movements and reducing traffic at high-demand intersections.

Public Involvement

Input from corridor stakeholders including property owners, occupants, partner agencies, and the general public was critical to the ACP development. In advance of the first open house, agency stakeholder meetings with Mesa County, Grand Junction Fire District, Clifton Fire District, Mesa County Valley School District 51, Grand Valley Transit and Mesa County Regional Transportation Planning Organization were held. In compliance with Mesa County Public Health requirements, a public open house was held at Faith Heights Church on October 1, 2020. Over 800 bilingual invitations were sent out and notice was posted on City social media feeds for the open house. Additionally, all exhibits were posted on the GJSpeaks website for those who did not attend in person.

Following the public open house, the project team met with key property owners and anyone who signed up for one-on-one meetings at the open house. The plan was then updated to reflect the public input received from the open house and subsequent meetings. While Mesa County Public Health restrictions prevented a second in-person open house, the updated ACP was presented as a “virtual open house” on GJSpeaks from January 6-12, 2021. Again, postcards were mailed to owners and occupants along Patterson Rd along with notices to the general public. This provided an additional opportunity to review the revised ACP and provide new comments. Also offered on GJSpeaks was a sign-up to meet with the project team on January 13th over Zoom to resolve any additional questions.

Corridor Improvement Priorities

A base level review of corridor traffic safety and operations was conducted to support the ACP. Using a 2045 horizon year, traffic demand on the corridor is generally expected to increase by 33% in the morning peak hour and 24% in the afternoon peak hour. Since there are no well-

established methods of estimating future crashes, data from 2014 to 2018 was used to evaluate existing intersection safety.

Patterson Rd intersections with the highest traffic safety and operations improvement priorities are at 25 Rd and N 12th St. The Patterson Rd segment with the highest priority for implementation of the ACP with a raised median is from 24 ½ Rd to 25 Rd due to the elevated number of driveway crashes.

Other locations on the corridor that show a high potential for crash reduction include the Patterson Rd intersections with 24 Rd, Market St, Home Depot access, 24 ½ Rd, 25 ½ Rd, N 1st St, N 7th St, N 15th St, 28 Rd, 28 ¼ Rd, 29 Rd, 29 ½ Rd, and 30 Rd. Implementation of the ACP with a raised median between 25 Rd and 12th St has a high benefit due to the number of driveway crashes recorded. Fourteen intersections on the corridor meet requirements for additional right or left turn lanes.

Other findings and recommendations for the corridor include the following:

- Alternative intersection types were considered, but it is recommended that the intersection at 24 Rd remain a conventional signalized intersection, with an additional northbound thru and eastbound left turn lane constructed to help traffic operations.
- Elimination of the traffic signal at Market St was considered because of its close proximity to 24 Rd, but due to the resulting impacts and in consideration of the potential relief that a future extension of F 1/2 Rd as a principal arterial would provide, it is recommended that the Market St intersection remain signalized.
- Restricting the 15th St to ¾ access was considered, but since the signal serves pedestrian movements and as a relief valve to 12th St, it is recommended to remain as is.
- Conduct further analysis to identify mid-block crossing locations that support pedestrian accessibility and transit access.
- Adopt alternative road connections into the City of Grand Junction's Street Plan Functional Classification Map as part of the Grand Junction Circulation Plan.

Implementation Conditions

The improvements recommended in the ACP represent a long-range plan to implement over time as traffic and safety needs arise and as funding becomes available. Construction of the improvements recommended may be completed using public and/or private funding. The following scenarios will trigger construction.

1. A property redevelops or changes use, resulting in an increase in traffic to and from the site of 20% or more.
2. Planned publicly funded project by the City.
3. A safety or operational issue develops that can be mitigated through the implementation of access management techniques consistent with the ACP.

Implementation of improvements recommended in the ACP will only occur with one of the triggers listed above. Without one of these scenarios, the ACP does not compel a property owner to make access changes.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

Patterson Rd is a critical east-west arterial corridor for Grand Junction's large and growing community. Sustained and successful economic development along the corridor is increasing travel demand and necessitating the need to improve safety, operations, and reliability. Applying access management along arterial corridors such as Patterson Rd is a proven technique to help communities preserve the transportation function of existing corridors, thereby prolonging the need for major arterial improvements, such as the addition of through lanes. A raised median, consistent with the City's Principal Arterial section, is a key access management technique that reduces conflicts and improves traffic flow, which will extend the life of the four-lane section on Patterson Rd. However, in considering the implementation of medians, it is also important to consider access locations, turn lane requirements, and circulation on a corridor-wide basis. In recognition of the benefits of access management and the need to proactively plan for the future, the City of Grand Junction identified an Access Control Plan (ACP) as a first step toward planning for both private development access and for public improvement projects along Patterson Rd.

The purpose of this study is to coordinate development and growth anticipated in the area with the transportation needs for the local community and traveling public with the intention of improving safety and maximizing the life of the four-lane section along Patterson Rd. The goals for the project are as follows:

- Provide effective and efficient through travel for traffic on Patterson Rd utilizing the existing Right-of-Way and identify if additional Right-of-Way is needed
- Provide safe, effective, and efficient access to and from Patterson Rd for businesses, residents, and guests to support the economic viability of the City of Grand Junction and Mesa County
- Maintain compatibility with existing and proposed street network connections that provide local circulation to support the transportation system
- Provide a plan that can be implemented in phases
- Support alternative modal choices, including transit, pedestrian, and bicycle routes
- Maintain compatibility with previous local planning efforts

The western ACP limit begins at the co-located highways US 6, US 50 and I-70B. The ACP limits then extend 7.35 miles to just east of the City boundary at Lodgepole St. Mesa County was not involved in the development of the ACP so any recommendations for those areas outside City limits may only be implemented as part of an annexation. The limits of the Patterson Rd ACP are illustrated in Figure 1.

This report summarizes the study process, analyses, findings and recommendations for access modifications within the Patterson Rd corridor.




Figure 1. Study Area

1.2 Public Involvement

Input from corridor stakeholders, including property owners, tenants, and the general public, was a critical element of the project. Multiple techniques were used to engage stakeholders, including advertised public open houses, one-on-one meetings/phone calls with interested stakeholders, public presentations to the Grand Junction City Council, and project information posted on the GJSpeaks website.

An advertised project-specific public open house was held at Faith Heights Church on October 1, 2020 to present and discuss a draft ACP, review access management principles, and gather public input on the plan. Given Mesa County Public Health restrictions in place at the time, open house exhibits were also made available along with an introductory video on the GJSpeaks website. Corridor property owners, local government representatives, and other interested individuals who contacted the project team prior to the open houses were invited by first class mail and e-mail, when provided. Bilingual postcards were mailed to 841 property owners, businesses, and residential occupants on or adjacent to the corridor.

Due to additional Mesa County Public Health restrictions, public presentation of the revised ACP was conducted online only. Exhibits were available for public consumption beginning on January 6, 2021 and concluded with virtual one-on-one meetings with the project team on January 13th. Postcards were mailed to an updated list of 740 property owners, businesses, and residential occupants on or adjacent to the corridor. Invitations to both open houses were posted on City social media accounts and a legal public notice was posted in the Daily Sentinel.

Exhibits displayed at both open houses included:

- Project goals
- Access management principles and techniques
- Patterson Rd Access Control Plan Map
- Implementation
- Schedule

The same exhibits were also available for review on the GJSpeaks website. Representatives from the City and the consultant team were available for questions and discussion at the first open house where 30 people attended. At the second open house, which was available online for a one-week review period, ten people reserved one-on-one meetings with the project team and attended via Zoom on January 13th. One additional meeting was held with neighboring property owners over telephone later in the week.

Following the October public open house, the project team held a series of one-on-one meetings with corridor property owners. Five meetings were held over Zoom and six other property owners declined to meet or did not respond to multiple inquiries by the project team. The project was also discussed with several interested parties via telephone at various times during plan development.

Public comments were received at all public outreach events via email, regular mail, and from the online platform Survey Monkey. A list of one-on-one meeting participants, comment sheets, and open house sign-in sheets can be found in Appendix A. The project team updated City Council on project progress and development on several occasions. An in-person presentation was made to council and updates were provided via written memorandums from City staff. Final presentations to City Council for plan adoption will be held in a public hearing on March 3, 2021.

2.0 ACCESS MANAGEMENT – BENEFITS, PRINCIPLES AND TECHNIQUES

As defined by the *Access Management Manual, TRB, Second Edition 2014*, “Access management is the coordinated planning, regulation, and design of access between roadways and land development. It involves the systematic control of the location, spacing, design, and operation of driveways, median openings, interchanges, and street connections to a roadway.” Developing an ACP provides local authorities with the opportunity to develop a single transportation plan that considers multiple access points along a segment of roadway as a network rather than as individual access points. Corridor specific issues such as intersection spacing, traffic movements, circulation, land use, topography, alternative access opportunities, and other local planning documents may be considered in developing an ACP. The Plan does not define capacity improvements, off-network improvements, or funding sources for access improvements, although municipalities often consider off-network improvements in conjunction with an ACP. The Plan is a long-range planning document that identifies access conditions that will be implemented as roadway and land-use characteristics change.

2.1 Access Management Benefits

Access management provides the means to balance good mobility along Patterson Rd with local access needs of businesses and residents. Implementation of access management principles and techniques on local transportation networks can provide the following long-term benefits for roadway users, the community, and businesses:


- Improves safety
 - Fewer decision points and less conflict potential for motorists, cyclists, and pedestrians result in a reduced number of crashes.
 - Safe access to businesses and residences is provided.
- Increases ability to accommodate traffic demands
 - Limiting full movement access within a corridor favors through movements and strategically identifies locations for vehicles to enter and exit the corridor.
 - Congestion is reduced, lessening travel times and providing smoother traffic flow.
 - Reduce or prolong the need to add additional thru lanes as traffic increases.
 - Improved operations on the roadway provides opportunities to reduce delay on the local street system.
 - Reduced congestion results in less air pollution.
- Preserves property values and the economic viability of abutting development
 - A more efficient roadway system captures a broader market area.
 - A more predictable and consistent development environment is created.
 - Well-defined driveways with suitable spacing make it easier for customers to enter and exit businesses safely, thereby encouraging customers to patronize corridor businesses.
- Encourages use and development of local streets within the periphery of the corridor
 - Allows traffic to access local amenities without using Patterson Rd, providing convenient local access and circulation and reduced volumes on Patterson Rd.

2.2 Guiding Principles

Access management centers around limiting and consolidating access along major roadways and focusing access for development on a supporting local street network and circulation system. The following guiding principles to access management were applied in the development of the Plan for Patterson Rd:

- Limit the number of direct access points to the corridor
- Locate major intersections (existing or potential future signals) to favor through movements and to accommodate infrastructure for turning movements
- Minimize the number of locations where vehicles merge, split, or cross
- Remove turning vehicles from through traffic lanes
- Provide a supporting local street network and circulation system

In addition, the functional intersection area was considered in evaluating the spacing between major intersections. The *American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 2011* and *Access Management Manual, TRB, Second Edition 2014* indicates that separation of access points should not be less than the functional area of the intersection. The functional intersection area extends upstream and downstream from the physical intersection as shown below.



Source: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) *Access Management in the Vicinity of Intersections Technical Summary*


The upstream distance is a combination of the storage length, deceleration and taper length, and the perception-reaction distance required for the speed of the segment. The downstream distance is measured as either acceleration length or decision sight distance. Providing acceleration length allows vehicles to accelerate to normal speed without conflict. Providing decision sight distance allows drivers to pass through an intersection before considering potential conflicts at the next intersection. Acceleration length was identified as the controlling downstream functional intersection distance for this corridor due to the high speed (between 35 and 45 mph) and the existing use of acceleration lanes. The functional intersection area depends on the speed of the segment and the number of projected turning vehicles.

2.3 Techniques

Several access management techniques, illustrated on the following pages, may be used to achieve the principles outlined above and to realize the benefits of access management.


Principle: Limit the number of direct access points to the corridor

Technique: Consolidate Access




Consolidate access points by:

- *Reducing the number of access points that serve a single property/ownership*
- *Reducing the number of frontage road access points to the roadway*
- *Providing joint access for multiple properties at or near a property line*

Technique: Connect Adjacent Properties


Connect adjacent properties to provide circulation between properties and increase access opportunities for multiple properties.

Technique: Define Driveways


Define driveways to provide clear identification of entrance and exit locations.

Principle: Minimize the number of locations where vehicles merge, split, or cross


Technique: Install Medians and Islands




Right-in/right-out with raised median eliminates left turn movements between major intersections throughout a corridor. This is the preferred technique for Patterson Road.



Right-in/right-out with channelizing island eliminates left turn movements at specific locations. This technique is a potential interim solution where a median may be unreasonable to construct for a single property due to space constraints at time of development.




Directional median opening or a $\frac{3}{4}$ movement limits left turn movements to one direction at strategic locations where increased access is beneficial for safety or operational reasons.



A ¾ movement limits left turn movements where increased access is beneficial on both sides of the street.

Principle: Provide a supporting local street network and circulation system

Technique: Provide Cross St Access



Relocate access to a side street to:

- *Reduce the number of direct access points to the major roadway.*
- *Provide safe and easy access to a minor roadway intersection with the major roadway.*
- *Provide opportunities to use an alternate local route, thereby avoiding use of the major roadway completely.*

3.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

3.1 Land Use Characteristics

The study area encompasses just over seven miles within the Grand Junction city limits. The corridor features intense commercial land use at its western end extending two miles to 25 ½ Rd where more residential uses intermix with commercial development. East of 15th St (27 ¼ Rd), development along the corridor is largely residential. Major developments that directly access Patterson Rd include the Mesa Mall at 24 ½ Rd and St. Mary's Medical Center at 7th St (26 ½ Rd).

3.2 Roadway Characteristics

Traveling east, the posted speed limit on Patterson Rd is 35 mph at the west end of the corridor until it increases to 40 mph east of 24 ½ Rd. East of 1st St (26 Rd) it dips down to 35 mph, but increases back to 40 mph east of 15th St (27 ¼ Rd). East of 29 Rd, the speed limit increases to 45 mph, where it remains the rest of the study area.

Patterson Rd is generally a four-lane arterial with a Two-Way Left-Turn Ln (TWLTL). East of 1st St (26 Rd) there is no TWLTL for approximately ¼ mile and raised medians are in place adjacent to turn lanes at several signalized intersections. Bike lanes exist on both sides of the roadway between 28 ¼ Rd and the end of the study area at Lodgepole St. There are 15 signalized intersections within the study area, as shown in Figure 1.

3.3 Existing Access Inventory

There are currently 288 access points along Patterson Rd within the study area. Full movement access is provided at 261 locations, 34 of which are signalized. Access restricting left turns onto Patterson Rd (3/4 access) is provided at 15 locations. Right In-Right Out access is provided at 12 locations.

The following provides a description of the accesses by type:

Public Rd Unsignalized (PRU) – Full or partial movement, stop-controlled intersection providing direct access to a publicly owned roadway. There are 62 PRU access points to Patterson Rd in the study area.

Public Rd Signalized (PRS) – Full or partial movement, signal-controlled intersection providing direct access to a publicly owned roadway. There are 27 PRS access points to Patterson Rd in the study area.

Private Rd Unsignalized (PVRU) – Full or partial movement, stop-controlled intersection providing direct access to a private property. These roadways are maintained privately. There is 1 PVRU access point to Patterson Rd in the study area.

Residential Access (R) – Full movement private roadway access points used on a regular basis by limited traffic. These types of access points include single-family private driveways. There are 95 R access points to Patterson Rd in the study area.

Business Access (BA) – Full movement roadway access points serving businesses within the study area. These types of access points are typically used multiple times daily by a variety of traffic types. There are a total of 77 BA access points to Patterson Rd in the study area.

Field Access (FA) – Full or partial movement access points that provide direct access from the roadway to agricultural land. These types of access points are typically not well-defined and are used infrequently. There are 14 FA access points to Patterson Rd in the study area.

Maintenance Access (MA) – Full or partial movement access points that provide direct access from the roadway for vehicles that are maintaining a public or private utility, such as a drainage structure or an electric meter. There are 4 MA access points to Patterson Rd in the study area.

Pull Off (PO) – Informal full or partial movement access points where vehicles may pull off the roadway or park, typically for shorter durations. There are 2 PO access points to Patterson Rd in the study area.

For the purposes of identifying the location of access points for this plan, all access points are defined by the approximate reference point (RP) along Patterson Rd based on the distance from US 6/ US 50/ I-70B. All access points are located at the approximate centerline of the access (+/- 50 feet). A complete inventory of existing access points is included in Appendix B.

3.4 Crash History

Crash data for a five-year period from January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2018 was reviewed for this report. Within the study area, there were 1,186 crashes within this period including 241 crashes that resulted in at least one injury and three crashes that resulted in a fatality.

Of the reported crashes, 759 (64.0%) were at or related to an intersection. Crashes were reviewed at the following intersections with Patterson Rd:

- I-70B Business Route
- 24 Rd
- Mall Entrance 1
- Mall Entrance 2
- 24 1/2 Rd
- Commerce Blvd
- 25 Rd
- Foresight Cir
- Northgate Dr
- Burkey St
- 25 1/2 Rd
- Cider Mill Rd
- Park Dr
- Meander Dr
- 26 Rd/ N 1st St
- Park Dr
- Mira Vista Rd
- 26 1/2 Rd/ N 7th St
- 8th Ct
- Viewpoint Dr
- 26 3/4 Rd
- N 12th St
- 27 1/4 Rd / N 15th St
- 27 1/2 Rd
- Spring Valley Cir
- Beechwood St
- El Corona Dr
- Santa Fe Dr
- 28 Rd
- Park Ave
- Rio Grande Dr
- 28 1/4 Rd
- Grand Cascade Way
- 28 3/4 Rd
- Legends Way
- Belhaven Way
- E Indian Creek Dr
- 29 Rd
- Partee Dr
- Chris-Mar St
- Colanwood St
- 29 1/2 Rd
- Greenfield Cir E
- Pioneer Rd
- Broken Spoke Rd
- Darby Dr
- Hudson Bay Dr
- 30 Rd
- Agana Dr
- Serenade St
- McMullin Dr
- Mesa Valley Dr
- Cottege Meadows Ct

The evaluated crash data provided some general observations about the crash patterns. Rear end (front to rear) crashes were the most prevalent crash type, accounting for approximately 42% of all crashes, followed by broadside (front to side) crashes at approximately 32%.

Level of Service of Safety (LOSS) was calculated for each intersection. The LOSS reflects how the intersection performs in regard to its expected crash frequency at a specific level of ADT (major and minor) when compared to intersections in Colorado with similar characteristics. LOSS can also indicate the potential for which crash reduction might be made if improvements were implemented and is graded as follows:

LOSS I – Below 20th Percentile (*Indicates a low potential for crash reduction*)

LOSS II – 20th Percentile to Mean (*Indicates a low to moderate potential for crash reduction*)

LOSS III – Mean to 80th Percentile (*Indicates a moderate to high potential for crash reduction*)

LOSS IV – Above 80th Percentile (*Indicates a high potential for crash reductions*)

Table 1 shows crash frequency for the five-year year period, LOSS considering all crash severities, and LOSS considering only injury or fatal crashes for each intersection. Several intersections indicate a high potential for crash reduction.

Table 1. Intersection Level of Service of Safety

Patterson Rd Intersection	Number of Crashes				LOSS All	LOSS Severe
	Property Damage Only	Injury	Fatal	Total		
I-70B Business Route	2	0	0	2	II	II
24 Rd	38	7	0	45	IV	IV
Market St	24	10	0	34	IV	IV
Home Depot Access	12	3	0	15	IV	III
24 1/2 Rd	52	8	0	60	IV	IV
Commerce Blvd	1	0	0	1	I	II
25 Rd	47	14	0	61	IV	IV
Foresight Cir	3	0	0	3	II	II
Northgate Dr	2	0	0	2	II	II
Burkey St	3	3	0	6	II	III
25 1/2 Rd	21	11	0	32	IV	IV
Cider Mill Rd	1	0	0	1	I	II
Park Dr	1	1	0	2	II	II
Meander Dr	5	3	0	8	III	III
26 Rd/ N 1st St	47	13	0	60	IV	IV
Park Dr	2	0	0	2	II	II
Mira Vista Rd	3	0	0	3	II	II
26 1/2 Rd/ N 7th St	46	4	0	50	IV	III
8th Ct	1	0	0	1	I	II

Table 1. Intersection Level of Service of Safety

Patterson Rd Intersection	Number of Crashes				LOSS All	LOSS Severe
	Property Damage Only	Injury	Fatal	Total		
Viewpoint Dr	2	0	0	2	II	II
26 3/4 Rd	0	2	0	2	II	III
N 12th St	63	15	1	79	IV	IV
27 1/4 Rd / N 15th St	29	7	0	36	IV	IV
27 1/2 Rd	26	6	0	32	III	III
Spring Valley Cir	4	2	0	6	II	II
Beechwood St	4	1	0	5	II	II
El Corona Dr	4	0	0	4	II	II
Santa Fe Dr	1	0	0	1	I	II
28 Rd	8	10	0	18	III	IV
Park Ave	0	1	0	1	I	II
Rio Grande Dr	4	1	0	5	II	II
28 1/4 Rd	21	7	0	28	IV	IV
Grand Cascade Way	1	1	0	2	I	II
28 3/4 Rd	1	0	0	1	I	II
Legends Way	2	0	0	2	I	II
Belhaven Way	3	0	0	3	II	II
E Indian Creek Dr	3	0	0	3	I	I
29 Rd	50	9	0	59	IV	IV
Partee Dr	0	1	0	1	I	II
Chris-Mar St	1	0	0	1	I	II
Colanwood St	1	0	0	1	I	II
29 1/2 Rd	17	6	1	24	IV	IV
Greenfield Cir E	1	0	0	1	I	II
Pioneer Rd	2	0	0	2	I	II
Broken Spoke Rd	2	1	0	3	II	II
Darby Dr	1	1	0	2	I	II
Hudson Bay Dr	1	0	0	1	I	II
30 Rd	30	10	0	40	IV	IV
Agana Dr	1	0	0	1	I	II
Serenade St	1	1	0	2	I	II
McMullin Dr	0	1	0	1	II	II
Mesa Valley Dr	1	0	0	1	II	II
Cottage Meadows Ct	0	1	0	1	II	II

Of the reported crashes, 74 crashes (6.2%) were at or related to a driveway on Patterson Rd. Figure 2 shows the number of driveway crashes occurring on Patterson Rd segment by segment. The segments from 24 1/2 Rd to 12th St display the greatest number of driveway related crashes. More specifically, almost one quarter of all driveway related crashes on Patterson Rd occurred between 24 1/2 Rd to 25 Rd.



Figure 2. Patterson Rd Driveway Crashes

Overall, implementing access management techniques will reduce the number of conflict points in the study area. According to the Highway Safety manual, the reduction of access points along a roadway segment is expected to result in a reduction of crashes. A summary of the crash history is included in Appendix D.

4.0 ACCESS PLAN DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION

Using the traffic volume forecasts, input from the City, input from other project stakeholders and the public outreach program, previous planning efforts and guidance from the Grand Junction TEDS Manual, an Access Control Plan (ACP) was developed for the project. This Plan considers access points in logical groupings, as well as circulation opportunities via the existing and potential future local street system.

4.1 Process

The ACP was developed using a 4-step process:

Step One - Methodology and Compatibility Index

A traffic methodology and ACP methodology were established at the beginning of the project to define the purpose, approach, and assumptions used to develop the Plan. In addition, a compatibility index was developed to provide a logical means for determining whether the ACP meets the established project goals. The index identified a set of evaluation criteria that correspond with each project objective, as listed in Section 1.1. A simple rating system that identifies the plan as favorable, neutral or unfavorable with respect to each criterion was defined. Each of the three ratings under each criterion was then defined to assist in the evaluation. The traffic methodology memo can be found in Appendix D. The ACP methodology memo and compatibility index can be found in Appendix E.

Step Two – Development of the Access Control Plan

The existing inventory of access points was reviewed with existing parcel and ownership information. This review determined which parcels adjacent to Patterson Rd lacked access to Patterson Rd, which parcels had multiple accesses to consider for consolidation, and which parcels had access or potential access to an existing or proposed lower classification roadway. It also helped identify parcels that currently have shared access or could have shared access in the future. Access solutions were developed by applying access management principles and techniques discussed in Section 2.0. Major full movement intersections have generally already been identified and signalized, but were confirmed based on traffic projections, City planning documents, and anticipated growth patterns. Access for each parcel in between major intersections was either limited (right-in/right-out or $\frac{3}{4}$ movement) or provided via a lower classification roadway. In cases where multiple access points served a single ownership, access was reduced to one per ownership. Shared access between parcels was developed wherever feasible.

Step Three – Refine the Access Control Plan

A draft ACP was presented to an internal City review team. Based on comments received from the team, the draft plan was refined and presented to the City Council, Planning Commission, and the public using both virtual and in-person methods. Public comment was reviewed, and the Plan was modified at several points throughout the project, as appropriate. Improvements considered cost prohibitive, with unmanageable physical constraints, with significant traffic operational deficiencies, inconsistent with overall community expectations, or not appearing to provide a reasonable level of access, were revised. In some cases, access conditions were defined to allow phased implementation of long-term solutions. In particular, several conditional

right-in/right-out access points were identified to clearly identify access points where redevelopment would trigger closure of the access point rather than a public project.

Step Four – Evaluation

Following the public outreach process, the refined ACP was evaluated using the compatibility index described in Step One to determine whether project objectives were met.

4.2 Evaluation Results

The results of the evaluation by objective are listed in Table 2. Overall, the ACP rates favorably and is compatible with project goals. Plan adoption by the City is recommended. Details of the Plan evaluation can be found in Appendix E. A graphical representation of the ACP is presented in Figure 3 (A-P).

Table 2. Compatibility Evaluation Summary

Project Goal	Evaluation Criteria	Rating
Provide effective and efficient through travel for traffic on Patterson Rd utilizing the existing right-of-way and identify if additional right-of-way is needed.	Corridor Travel Speeds/Time	Favorable
	Functional Intersection Area	Neutral
	Number of Conflict Points	Favorable
	Right-of-way	Neutral
Provide safe, effective, and efficient access to and from Patterson Rd for businesses, residents, and guests to support the economic viability of the City of Grand Junction and Mesa County.	Intersection Sight Distance	Favorable
	Intersection LOS or Critical Movements	Neutral
	Conformance with Grand Junction TEDS Manual	Favorable
	Out-of-direction Travel Distance	Unfavorable
	Intersection Crash Risk	Favorable
	Business Market Area	Favorable

Project Goal	Evaluation Criteria	Rating
Maintain compatibility with existing and proposed street network connections that provide local circulation to support the transportation system.	Local Route Circulation	Favorable
	Serviceability of Local Routes to Developments and Properties within the Study Area	Favorable
Support alternative modal choices, including transit, pedestrian, and bicycle routes.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Parallel Access	Favorable
	Pedestrian/Bicycle Crossing Opportunities	Neutral
	Transit Opportunities	Neutral
Provide a plan that can be implemented in phases.	Public Support	Neutral
	Phasing Opportunities	Favorable
	Physical Constraints	Neutral
	Funding Opportunities	Favorable
Maintain compatibility with previous local planning efforts, such as, the GVCP Plan, Ballot 2A measure, and the One Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan.	Compatibility with Local Planning	Favorable

5.0 PLAN RECOMMENDATIONS

This section presents details of the recommended Access Control Plan (ACP) for Patterson Rd. The Plan has been developed with considerable participation from the City of Grand Junction, project stakeholders such as emergency services, Mesa County, Grand Valley MPO, Grand Valley Transit, and the public. After evaluating both existing and future conditions, the Plan defines how each access will function in the future. In general, the ACP limits full movement access to major signalized intersections. Functional intersection area was considered in evaluating the spacing between major intersections and $\frac{3}{4}$ movement intersections. While it is ideal to provide the full functional intersection area between full movement intersections, other site-specific considerations were considered in determining intersection spacing. At a minimum, the physical length needed to accommodate auxiliary lane lengths as defined by the TEDS Manual is provided between intersections unless otherwise noted. Most access points are intended to remain open as a right-in/right-out for the long-term. However, there are some public road access points that are located within the functional intersection area of a major intersection and they have alternate traffic circulation options. These access points have the potential to close if safety or operational issues develop. The ACP designates these as a conditional safety right-in/right-out to identify the potential risk.

In addition, access is reduced to one location per ownership and where feasible, shared between adjacent properties. Where reasonable access can be provided to an alternate lower classification cross street, access points are relocated to the cross street. Access for parcels between major intersections is limited. To maximize local circulation options, minor public road intersections and private access that serves multiple properties are identified as $\frac{3}{4}$ movement. This was done where providing the left-turn movement improves operations and/or circulation and where there is adequate space to develop left turn auxiliary lanes.

Out-of-direction travel was generally limited to a maximum distance of one mile ($\frac{1}{2}$ mile each way). Out-of-direction travel was limited by providing full movement and $\frac{3}{4}$ movement intersections at necessary intervals. Accommodation for U-turns at major intersections is recommended to provide alternatives for restricted left-turn movements. In addition, the Grand Junction Circulation Plan, in conjunction with proposed alternate routes from this study, will provide key alternatives for restricted left-turn movements.

Traffic control measures that may be used to achieve proposed conditions include raised or depressed medians, driveway channelizing islands at limited access points, directional median openings at $\frac{3}{4}$ movement access points, and signage and striping. To avoid turn movement violations and potential enforcement issues, eventual installation of a raised median is recommended. Based on the existing cross-section with a two-way-left turn lane on Patterson Rd, installation of a raised median can likely be achieved with little to no widening through most of the corridor. Within the section between Park Dr and Mira Vista Rd, where the cross-section of the roadway only includes two through lanes in each direction, a narrow raised median or barrier is recommended to restrict turning movements if safety or operational issues develop. Widening to the south is recommended where there is currently a tiered wall. The bottom wall will need to be reconstructed and right-of-way acquisition is likely.

The narratives in this section are intended to serve as a summary of the key features of the ACP. The figures are intended to provide a graphical representation of the ACP. A detailed explanation of each access in the study area, by reference point, is presented in the ACP Table in Appendix F. Reference the ACP Table for specific access configurations and conditions.

Recognizing that this plan is a long-term planning document and not a detailed engineering design, reference point designations are intended to be approximate. As more detailed information is available, these designations may be modified (generally within 0.05 miles of the specified reference point designation).

5.1 Access Control Plan

Key features of the ACP are summarized by major intersections on the following pages and illustrated in Figure 3. The ACP will reduce the number of access points from 283 to between 149 and 160 as the corridor and land use along the corridor changes. This reduction in access includes the following:

- 71 access closures/consolidations
- 79 conditional access points that will close upon redevelopment
- 12 conditional safety right-in/right-out access points that will close if safety or operational issues develop

In addition, there are also over 180 access points with restricted movements including right-in/right-out access, right-in or right-out only, $\frac{3}{4}$ movement access points that will result in a reduction in conflict points through the corridor. Between the consolidation of access points and the application of restricted movement access points, the number of conflict points throughout the corridor is reduced from 2600 to 1000, a total reduction in conflict points of 60%.

There are 15 signalized full movement intersections in the plan. Full movement signalized intersections have been confirmed as part of the ACP; however, this does not restrict the City from considering other types of traffic control deemed appropriate in the future, including roundabouts and continuous flow intersections (CFI's).

Auxiliary lanes shall be provided at access points in accordance with the TEDS Manual. Auxiliary lane improvements will improve safety and congestion by removing slower turning vehicles from the through lanes. This eliminates the speed differential between through movements and turning movements that commonly cause crashes, as well as eliminating queuing of turning vehicles that block the clear passage of through movements. The following fourteen intersections on the corridor are anticipated to meet requirements for additional right or left turn lanes on Patterson Rd in the 20-year planning period: 24 Rd, Market St, Home Depot access, 24 $\frac{1}{2}$ Rd, 25 Rd, 25 $\frac{1}{2}$ Rd, 1st St, 7th St, 12th St, 15th St, 28 $\frac{1}{4}$ Rd, 29 $\frac{1}{2}$ Rd, and 30 Rd. A detailed summary of anticipated auxiliary lanes can be found in Appendix D. Some level of ROW impacts, typical to a public project, are anticipated to occur in order to accommodate the additional auxiliary lanes. In addition, the following intersection improvements are recommended consistent with previous planning efforts:

- 24 Rd intersection - two northbound thru lanes and two eastbound left turn lanes
- 12th St intersection - dual lefts for each approach
- 29 Rd intersection - dual northbound left turn lanes

The City's 2019 Ballot Measure 2A will fund auxiliary lane improvements at 25 Rd, 12th St, 28 $\frac{1}{4}$ Rd, and 29 Rd, as well as widening of 24 Rd north of Patterson. Other intersection improvements identified will be implemented in the future as funding becomes available.

I-70B to Market St (Figure 3A)

- 1) While I-70B is not identified as an access point in the ACP, this T-intersection is anticipated to remain full movement with the potential for signalization, if warranted and permitted by CDOT.
- 2) 24 Rd and Market St will also remain full movement signalized intersections. Refer to Appendix D for more information about the alternative investigations for 24 Rd and Market St.
- 3) Access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections. Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible. Utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.
- 4) Access 2 is identified as a $\frac{3}{4}$ movement and is intended to serve multiple properties along the south side of Patterson Rd through cross access easements.
- 5) Access 5 is a conditional right-in/right-out movement and will close when a connection to Access 2 is available.
- 6) Due to the proximity to 24 Rd, Access 6 and 7, Rae Lynn St, are identified as conditional safety right-in/right-outs and may close if safety or operational issues develop and the conditions in the ACP Table are met. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.

Market St to Home Depot/Mesa Mall Access (15/16) (Figure 3A-B)

- 1) Market St. and the Home Depot/Mesa Mall Access (Access 15 and 16) will remain full movement signalized intersections. Refer to Appendix D for more information about the alternative investigations for Market St.
- 2) Access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections. Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible, utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION

- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- G** GATED ACCESS POINT
- C** CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- S** CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3A

Home Depot/Mesa Mall Access (15/16) to 24 ½ Rd (Figure 3B)

- 1) The Home Depot/Mesa Mall Access (Access 15 and 16) and 24 ½ Rd will remain full movement signalized intersections.
- 2) Access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections. Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible, utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system.
- 3) Access 17 is identified as a conditional safety right-in only. Alternate full movement access is available at the signal at Access 15. A right-in only will remain long-term unless safety or operational issues develop, which will trigger closure of the access.
- 4) Access 20 was limited to a right-in only due to sight distance concerns. Alternate access is also available via 24 ½ Rd to the affected properties. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.

24 ½ Rd to 25 Rd (Figure 3B–C)

- 1) 24 ½ Rd and 25 Rd will remain full movement signalized intersections. ¾ movement intersections are proposed at Access 26, 27, and 29 to serve multiple properties on the north and Commerce Blvd on the south.
- 2) All other access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections. Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible, utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system.
- 3) Access 23 will close once an alternative connection to Flatop Ln is in place. Due to the proximity to 24 ½ Rd, Access 24 is identified as a conditional safety right-in/right-out and may close if safety or operational issues develop and the conditions in the ACP Table are met. Alternate access to 24 ½ Rd and Commerce Blvd is available.
- 4) A right-in only is located at Access 25. Several access points are identified as conditional right-in/right-out and will close upon redevelopment. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.
- 5) A public connection through the Grand Valley Transit Park-n-ride between the properties adjacent to Patterson Rd and F 1/8 Rd is proposed to replace restricted movements on Patterson Rd.

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL
- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3B

25 Rd to 25 ½ Rd (Figure 3C–E)

- 1) 25 Rd and 25 ½ Rd will remain full movement signalized intersections.
- 2) Access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections, except for ¾ movement at the intersections with Foresight Cir, Northgate Dr, and Burkey St (Access 40, 41, and 44).
- 3) Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible, utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system.
- 4) Access 38 will be relocated outside the functional intersection of 25 Rd to Access 38a upon redevelopment. Several access points are identified as conditional right-in/right-out and will close upon redevelopment. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.

25 ½ Rd to 26 Rd/1st St (Figure 3E–F)

- 1) 25½ Rd and 26 Rd/1st St will remain full movement signalized intersections.
- 2) Conditional ¾ movement intersections are proposed at Access 53, 61, 62 and 64. Based on future land-use plans, either Access 53 or 61 may be a ¾ movement to serve multiple properties on the north, with the other access as a right-in/right-out. Access 61 provides better spacing with 25 ½ Rd. Accesses 62 and 64 serve public streets, 25 ¾ Rd and Meander Dr, respectively. Left-turn access into both public streets is desired, however the distance between these two intersections does not allow for the full length of auxiliary lanes required based on the current speed limit. A design variance or speed reduction must be justified and approved by the City to allow both ¾ movements when either redevelopment occurs or a public project is funded to build a median. If further study does not support ¾ movements at both locations, one access will be a right-in/right-out, as determined by the City.
- 3) All other access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections. Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible, utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system.
- 4) A right-out only is located at Access 50. Several access points are identified as conditional right-in/right-out and will close upon redevelopment. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation. In addition, a connection between Cider Mill Rd and the extension of 25 ¾ Rd is proposed to provide circulation within the local street system to replace restricted left turn movements on Patterson Rd.

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3C

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3D



BUS STOP

BUS STOP - PULL OFF

CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING

CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED

PARCEL

TRAIL

PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION

PLANNED CITY STREET

SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT

UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT

3/4 MOVEMENT

RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT

CLOSE

SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION

RIGHT IN ONLY

RIGHT OUT ONLY

GATED ACCESS POINT

CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.

CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3E

26 Rd/1st St to 26 ½ Rd/7th St (Figure 3F–G)

- 1) 26 Rd/1st St and 26 ½ Rd/7th St will remain full movement signalized intersections.
- 2) ¾ movement intersections are proposed at Access 74 and 86a. A public road connection between Access 74 to Horizon Place is proposed to support circulation for future redevelopment in the area.
- 3) On the south side of Patterson Rd, only a single ¾ movement can be accommodated. To serve as many properties as possible, Access 86a was proposed as a ¾ movement. Access 86a is located far enough away from 26 ½ Rd/7th St to provide full auxiliary lane lengths and can serve both the St. Mary's Hospital and the Vanderen-Ford Heights subdivision. Connection between Mira Vista Rd and the 86a access road is required. When this connection is available, the Mira Vista Rd intersection with Patterson Rd will be closed. Similarly, Access 93 will close when Access 86a is available and on-site truck circulation to the hospital can be achieved through Access 86a. If Access 86a cannot accommodate trucks, Access 93 will be restricted to right-in only. Coordination between the City, hospital and Vanderen-Ford Heights subdivision will be required to achieve this access configuration.
- 4) All other access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections. Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible, utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system.
- 5) Due to the proximity to 26 Rd/1st St, Park Dr (Access 69) is identified as a conditional safety right-in/right-out and may close if safety or operational issues develop and the conditions in the ACP Table are met. Alternate access to 1st St is available.
- 6) Several access points in this section are identified as conditional right-in/right-out and will close upon redevelopment. In particular, the properties on the north side of Patterson Rd should be connected through cross-access easements and access should be consolidated and shared as much as possible with redevelopment. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT

FRUITRIDGE DR
NORTHDRIDGE DR
WILLOWBROOK RD
PATTERSON RD
BELAIRE DR
MAYFAIR DR
MIRA VISTA DR
PARK DR
LOST LN

66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 75 76 78 80 82 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96

0 200 400 800 Feet

Matchline E Matchline F

 BUS STOP

 BUS STOP - PULL OFF

 CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING

 CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED

 PARCEL

 TRAIL

	SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT		RIGHT IN ONLY
	UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT		RIGHT OUT ONLY
	3/4 MOVEMENT	G	GATED ACCESS POINT
	RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT	C	CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS. TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
	CLOSE	S	CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT
	SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION		

FIGURE 3F

26 ½ Rd/7th St to 12th St (Figure 3G–H)

- 1) 26 ½ Rd/7th St and 12th St will remain full movement signalized intersections.
- 2) A ¾ movement intersection is proposed at 26 ¾ Rd (Access 106).
- 3) All other access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections. Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible, utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system. Several access points in this section are identified as conditional right-in/right-out or right-out only and will close upon redevelopment. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.
- 4) While Access 117 is a more direct route into the commercial center, it will be closed to allow for an extension of the 12th St eastbound right turn lane at 12th St, if ever needed. Closing Access 116 and just restricting Access 117 to right-in/right-out may be considered with a median project that commences prior to redevelopment of the property.

12th St to 15th St (Figure 3H)

- 1) 12th St and 15th St will remain full movement signalized intersections.
- 2) While Access 123 is located within the functional intersection area of 12th St, traffic operational analysis indicates that adding more left turn movements to 12th St will overload the intersection. Therefore, a ¾ movement is proposed at Access 123.
- 3) Investigation into modifying 15th St to a ¾ movement and providing signalized crossings for bicycles and pedestrians was conducted. Ultimately, the City decided to keep 15th as a full movement intersection based on traffic patterns, circulation, and public support. Refer to Appendix D for more information about the alternative investigations for 15th St.
- 4) All other access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections. Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible, utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system.
- 5) Access 126 and 127 shall be consolidated to one shared access. Access 130 will be a right-out only for circulation to the subdivision. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.

 BUS STOP

 BUS STOP - PULL OFF

 CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING

 CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED

 PARCEL

 TRAIL

	SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT		RIGHT IN ONLY
	UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT		RIGHT OUT ONLY
	3/4 MOVEMENT	G	GATED ACCESS POINT
	RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT	C	CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
	CLOSE		SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS. TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
	SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION	S	CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3G

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3H

15th St to 27 ½ Rd (Figure 3H-I)

- 1) 15th St and 27 ½ Rd will remain full movement signalized intersections. Investigation into modifying 15th St to a ¾ movement and providing signalized crossings for bicycles and pedestrians was conducted. Ultimately, the City decided to keep 15th as a full movement intersection based on traffic patterns, circulation, and public support. Refer to Appendix D for more information about the alternative investigations for 15th St.
- 2) If desired upon redevelopment, the 4th leg of 27 ½ Rd may be installed on the south side of Patterson Rd. Utility relocations will be required and must be coordinated with the utility owner. If Access 145a is implemented, Access 146 must close and Access 148 must be restricted to right-in/right-out.
- 3) All other access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections. Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible, utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system.
- 4) Access 136 and 137 shall be consolidated to one shared access at Access 136a. Similarly, Access 142 and 143 shall consolidate to one shared access at Access 142a and Access 141 shall be relocated to Access 141a. Several access points in this section are identified as conditional right-in/right-out and will close upon redevelopment. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.
- 5) Public road connections on the south side of Patterson Rd that connect Patterson Rd properties to Wellington Ave are recommended upon redevelopment to create more circulation to the full movement intersection at 15th St.

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION

- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- C** CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- S** CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3I

27 ½ Rd to 28 ¼ Rd (Figure 3I-K)

- 1) 27 ½ Rd and 28 ¼ Rd will remain full movement signalized intersections. If desired upon redevelopment, the 4th leg of 27 ½ Rd may be installed on the south side of Patterson Rd. Utility relocations will be required and must be coordinated with the utility owner.
- 2) If Access 145a is implemented, Access 146 must close and Access 148 must be restricted to right-in/right-out. ¾ movement intersections are proposed at Access 148, 150, 159, and 161.
- 3) Residents in the area were concerned about restricting 28 Rd (Access 159) to ¾ movement and were interested in the potential for signalization. However, due to the proximity of 28 Rd and 28 ¼ Rd, signalization is not recommended. The future connection of Hawthorne Ave to 28 ¼ Rd will provide the area with alternative options to a signalized intersection for left-out movements.
- 4) All other access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections. Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible, utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system.
- 5) Access 162 is identified as conditional right-in/right-out and will close upon redevelopment. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.
- 6) Public road connections on the south side of Patterson Rd that connect Patterson Rd properties to Wellington Ave are recommended upon redevelopment to create more circulation to the full movement intersection at 15th St.

28 ¼ Rd to 29 Rd (Figure 3K-L)

- 1) 28 ¼ Rd and 29 Rd will remain full movement signalized intersections. Access to Matchet Park (Access 176), Legends Way, and both sides of W Indian Creek Dr are proposed as ¾ movements. West Indian Creek Dr connects to Presley Ave and Presley will connect to 29 Rd in the future.
- 2) All other access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections. Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible, utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system.
- 3) Due to the proximity to 29 Rd, E Indian Creek Dr (Access 196) is identified as a conditional safety right-in/right-out and may close if safety or operational issues develop and the conditions in the ACP Table are met. Alternate access to W Indian Creek Dr is available. Access 180 is identified as conditional right-in/right-out and will close upon redevelopment. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.
- 4) Belhaven Way should be widened to full public street standards to provide access to the current Church of Christ property to the east.

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION

- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3J

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- G** GATED ACCESS POINT
- C** CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- S** CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3K

29 Rd to 29 ½ Rd (Figure 3L-M)

- 1) 29 Rd and 29 ½ Rd will remain full movement signalized intersections. Access 205 to Safeway, Redwing Ln, and the north side of 29 3/8 Rd are proposed as ¾ movements.
- 2) All other access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections. Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible, utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system.
- 3) Due to the proximity to 29 ½ Rd, Colanwood St (Access 227) is identified as a conditional safety right-in/right-out and may close if safety or operational issues develop and the conditions in the ACP Table are met. Alternate access via Wellington Ave or Parkway Dr is available.
- 4) Several access points in this section are identified as conditional right-in/right-out and will close upon redevelopment. In particular, the properties on the north side of Patterson Rd from Access 202-206 should be connected through cross-access easements and access should be consolidated and shared as much as possible with redevelopment.
- 5) Penny Ln should also be constructed to provide properties currently served by Access 224 and 226 alternate access to 29 ½ Rd. 224 also has alternate access to Bonito Ave and 226 has alternate access to Mount Julian Dr and cross access will be required upon development. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.

29 ½ Rd to 30 Rd (Figure 3M-O)

- 1) 29 ½ Rd and 30 Rd will remain full movement signalized intersections. A ¾ movement is proposed on both sides of the road at Placer St (Access 240 and 241).
- 2) All other access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections. Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible, utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system.
- 3) Due to the proximity to 29 ½ Rd, Greenfield Cir E (Access 233) and Pioneer Rd (Access 234) are identified as a conditional safety right-in/right-out and may close if safety or operational issues develop and the conditions in the ACP Table are met. Alternate access via Bookcliff Ave and Bonito Ln respectively is available.
- 4) Hudson Bay Dr (Access 244) is also identified as conditional safety right-in/right-out due to proximity to 30 Rd. Alternate access to F ¼ Rd is available.
- 5) Several access points in this section are identified as conditional right-in/right-out and will close upon redevelopment. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- G** GATED ACCESS POINT
- C** CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- S** CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3L

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT

This aerial map displays the Patterson Road ACP Exhibit, showing property lots, street names, and various markers. The map includes a scale bar (0 to 400 feet) and a north arrow. The exhibit is bounded by Patterson Road to the north and south, and by various streets including Pardee Dr, Wagon Pl, Wagon Way, Landon Ct, Penny Ln, Crismar St, Redwing Ln, Ruby Ct, and Bookcliff Ave. The map shows numerous property lots with owner names and addresses. Various markers are placed on the map, including stars, crosses, and circles, indicating specific points of interest or boundaries. The map also shows the intersection of Patterson Road with 29 1/2 Rd and 29 1/4 Rd. The map is titled "PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT" in a large, bold, black font at the top center.

 BUS STOP

 BUS STOP - PULL OFF

 CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING

 CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED

 PARCEL

 TRAIL

	SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT		RIGHT IN ONLY
	UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT		RIGHT OUT ONLY
	3/4 MOVEMENT	G	GATED ACCESS POINT
	RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT	C	CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS. TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
	CLOSE	S	CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT
	SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION		

FIGURE 3M

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



BUS STOP

BUS STOP - PULL OFF

CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING

CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED

PARCEL

TRAIL

PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION

PLANNED CITY STREET

SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT

UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT

3/4 MOVEMENT

RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT

CLOSE

SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION

RIGHT IN ONLY

RIGHT OUT ONLY

GATED ACCESS POINT

CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.

CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3N

30 Rd to Lodgepole St (Figure 3O-P)

- 1) 30 Rd will remain a full movement signalized intersection. As the City boundary is crossed into Mesa County, the access points are identified as unsignalized full movement intersections. This includes Lodgepole St and the two access points to the Museum of Western Colorado (Access 284 and 286. If the museum changes use or expands in a way that significantly increases traffic, Access 284 should close and Access 286 should be realigned with Lodgepole St to create a 4-legged intersection. The north leg of Serenade St, Roundtable Rd, Gerken Rd, and Cottage Meadow Ct are proposed as $\frac{3}{4}$ movements.
- 2) All other access for this section shall be limited to right-in/right-out between major intersections. Access points shall be reduced to one location per ownership, relocated to cross streets, and/or shared, where feasible, utilizing cross-access easements as properties redevelop to ensure that all properties are provided access to the public street system.
- 3) Due to the proximity to 30 Rd, Ronlin Dr (Access 250) is identified as a conditional safety right-in/right-out and may close if safety or operational issues develop and the conditions in the ACP Table are met. Alternate access via E Vista Dr and Agana Dr is available.
- 4) Several access points in this section are identified as conditional right-in/right-out and will close upon redevelopment. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.
- 5) Connections to Wellington Ave and/or Kirby Ln should also be constructed to provide properties currently served by Access 269, 271 and 272. Refer to the ACP Table for conditions of implementation.



Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community

BUS STOP

BUS STOP - PULL OFF

CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING

CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED

PARCEL

TRAIL

PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION

PLANNED CITY STREET

SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT

UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT

3/4 MOVEMENT

RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT

CLOSE

SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION

RIGHT IN ONLY

RIGHT OUT ONLY

GATED ACCESS POINT

CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.

CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 30

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community

LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3P

5.2 Alternative Local Routes

In addition to recommended access modifications, this study has resulted in recommendations for development of several alternative local routes. These alternative routes provide additional local connections and internal circulation opportunities that will benefit operations on Patterson Rd. The alternative routes would reduce local dependence on Patterson, provide alternatives that support restricted turning movements on Patterson, and reduce demand at intersections that are already experiencing high demand. The routes illustrated in the plan are conceptual in nature and will require detailed engineering to establish exact alignments at the time of implementation. Some access improvements require development of alternative routes prior to implementation.

The following is a list of the alternative routes or additional connections identified and illustrated in Figure 4:

- North-south route through GVT Park-n-Ride between the properties adjacent to Patterson Rd and F 1/8 Rd
- East-west connection between Cider Mill Rd and the extension of 25 ¾ Rd
- North-south route from Access 74 to connect with access to 26 ½ Rd in the future
- Connections between Access 138 and 148 to Wellington Ave
- North-south connection through Matchett Park at Access 176 with an east-west connection from Navajo way to provide opportunities for the neighborhood to access the signal at 28 ¼ Rd
- Widening of Belhaven Way to a full public street width
- Development of Penny Ln between 29 ½ Rd and the properties currently served by Access 224 and 226
- Connection to Wellington Ave and/or Kirby Ln for the properties currently served by Access 269, 271 and 272.

The adoption of these additional road connections into the City of Grand Junction's Street Plan Functional Classification Map as part of the Grand Junction Circulation Plan is recommended. It is anticipated that the majority of these routes would be accomplished in phases when development or redevelopment occurs.

In support of alternate modes, the ACP also considered pedestrian, bicycle and transit access throughout the corridor. Overall, reducing access points reduces potential conflict points for pedestrians, cyclists and buses traveling Patterson Rd. Grand Valley Transit (GVT) provides fixed route transit service throughout Mesa County and the City of Grand Junction. Currently, there are four routes that travel from the GVT Park-n-ride on 24 ½ Rd. Three routes travel on Patterson for some distance. Left turn restrictions shown in the ACP will not affect existing GVT routes and no new access points conflict with existing GVT stops.

The ACP also supports the accommodation of pedestrian and bicycle crossings at full movement signalized intersections. As intersections are improved and sidewalk is added throughout the corridor, pedestrian crossings should be implemented and upgraded to current ADA standards. Further traffic and safety analysis of future opportunities for mid-block crossings to support pedestrian accessibility and transit access is recommended.

PATTERSON ROAD ACP ALTERNATIVE ROUTES




LEGEND

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| — PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL | — MAJOR COLLECTOR | — LOCAL ROAD |
| - - - - - PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL - PROPOSED | - - - - - MAJOR COLLECTOR - PROPOSED | - - - - - LOCAL ROAD - PROPOSED |
| — MINOR ARTERIAL | — MINOR COLLECTOR | - - - - - UNCLASSIFIED |
| - - - - - MINOR ARTERIAL - PROPOSED | - - - - - MINOR COLLECTOR - PROPOSED | - - - - - ACP ALTERNATIVE STREETS - PROPOSED |

FIGURE 4A

PATTERSON ROAD ACP ALTERNATIVE ROUTES




Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community

LEGEND

- | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL | | MAJOR COLLECTOR | | LOCAL ROAD |
| | PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL - PROPOSED | | MAJOR COLLECTOR - PROPOSED | | LOCAL ROAD - PROPOSED |
| | MINOR ARTERIAL | | MINOR COLLECTOR | | UNCLASSIFIED |
| | MINOR ARTERIAL - PROPOSED | | MINOR COLLECTOR - PROPOSED | | ACP ALTERNATIVE STREETS - PROPOSED |

FIGURE 4B

PATTERSON ROAD ACP ALTERNATIVE ROUTES



LEGEND

- | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL | | MAJOR COLLECTOR | | LOCAL ROAD |
| | PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL - PROPOSED | | MAJOR COLLECTOR - PROPOSED | | LOCAL ROAD - PROPOSED |
| | MINOR ARTERIAL | | MINOR COLLECTOR | | UNCLASSIFIED |
| | MINOR ARTERIAL - PROPOSED | | MINOR COLLECTOR - PROPOSED | | ACP ALTERNATIVE STREETS - PROPOSED |

FIGURE 4C

6.0 ACCESS PLAN IMPLEMENTATION CONDITIONS

The improvements recommended in the Plan represent a long-range plan to implement over time as traffic and safety needs arise and as funding becomes available. Construction of the improvements recommended may be completed using public and/or private funding. The following cases will trigger construction.

1. A property redevelops or changes use, resulting in an increase in traffic to and from the site of 20% or more. In this case, limited improvements at the specific access point may be required. As part of the development review process, additional transportation improvements may also be necessary to address specific traffic-related impacts created by the development. These improvements will be compatible with the ACP. In addition, upon redevelopment, property owners will provide legally defined cross-access easements for shared access points, as defined by the Plan. If a property does not redevelop, the property owner will not be required to construct access modifications. (Private Funding).
2. The City obtains funding to complete improvements to a segment of the corridor or a local route. (Public Funding)
3. A safety or operational issue develops that can be mitigated through the implementation of access management techniques consistent with the Access Plan. Depending on the extent and type of safety or operational issue, improvements may address a segment of the corridor or a local route, or may be limited to an isolated location or access point. (Public or Private Funding)
4. Any combination of 1, 2, or 3.

Detailed engineering drawings of exact roadway alignments and access improvements will be required as project funding is identified. Details related to storm drainage, utilities, landscaping, environmental issues, pedestrian/bicycle facilities, roadway sections, and other topographic features will be considered during this design process. Environmental evaluations appropriate to the size, type, and funding of the project will be completed as part of the design phase.

7.0 CONCLUSION

Traffic demand on the Patterson Rd is expected to increase by 24% to 33% over the next twenty years challenging the future functionality of the corridor. Access management has been proven both nationally and statewide to effectively preserve the transportation function of arterial roadways by optimizing the performance of the road to improve the level of safety, reduce traffic congestion and preserve property values without constructing major arterial improvements. The findings of this study indicate that applying access management techniques along Patterson Rd, including the implementation of a raised median, addition of auxiliary lanes, and the consolidation of driveways, will significantly reduce conflict points for vehicles, pedestrians, and cyclists, which correlates to reduced crashes and improved safety. In addition, smoother traffic flow and improved travel times will extend the life of the existing four-lane section on Patterson Rd. Prolonging the need for additional through lanes along Patterson Rd will result in taxpayer savings and reduced impacts to adjacent properties and businesses.

The proposed ACP and associated alternative routes provide the City with a corridor-wide vision for how to coordinate development and growth with the transportation needs on Patterson Rd. The ACP will provide clear expectations for access for both City staff and property owners/developers as land-use changes are proposed and public projects are developed. To provide for commitment to the access modifications and circulation routes recommended by this study, it is recommended that City adopt the ACP for Patterson Rd, as well as the proposed alternative routes. The ACP identifies access locations and levels of access by reference point for Patterson Rd within City limits. The ACP Table, which provides detailed conditions and requirements for each access point, is included in Appendix F. In recognition of the plan's long-range nature and the potential for conditions to change over time, the City should view this plan as a living document that can be amended to best meet future conditions and priorities for the City.

8.0 LIST OF ACRONYMS

AASHTO = American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials

ACP = Access Control Plan

ADA = Americans with Disabilities Act

ADT = Average Daily Traffic

ATS = Average Travel Speed

BA = Business Access

CDOT = Colorado Department of Transportation

CFI = Continuous Flow Intersection

FA = Field Access

FHWA = Federal Highway Administration

GVCP = Grand Valley Comprehensive Plan

GVT = Grand Valley Transit

HCM = Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition

HCS = Highway Capacity Software

LOSS = Level of Service of Safety

MA = Maintenance Access

MP = Milepost

MPO = Metropolitan Planning Organization

mph = Miles Per Hour

MUTCD = Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices

NCHRP = National Cooperative Highway Research Program

PRU = Public Rd Unsignalized

PRS = Public Rd Signalized

PTSF = Percent Time Spent Following

PVRU = Private Rd Unsignalized

R = Residential Access

R-A = Regional Highway

RP = Reference Point

ROW = Right-of-Way

TEDS = Transportation Engineering Design Standards

TMC = Turning Movement Count

TRB = Transportation Research Board

vph = vehicles per hour

9.0 GLOSSARY

Access – Any driveway or other point of entry and/or exit such as a street, road or highway that connects to the general street system

Access Category – means one of eight categories described in Section Three of the State Highway Access Code, and determines the degree to which access to a state highway is controlled

Access Plan, Access Control Plan – A plan which designates access locations and levels of access for the purpose of bringing those portions of roadway included in the planning area into conformance with the highway functional classification to the extent feasible

Access Management – Systematic control of the location, spacing, design, and operation of driveways, median openings, and street connections to a roadway

Access Permit – Means by which access improvements are reviewed, approved and constructed in accordance with the State Highway Access Code

Average Travel Speed (ATS) – The highway segment length divided by the average travel time taken by vehicles to traverse it during a designated time interval

Driveway – An access that is not a public street, road, or highway

Full Movement Access – An access without turn restrictions

Functional Intersection Area – Area upstream and downstream of an intersection where intersection operation and conflicts influence driver behavior, vehicle operations, or traffic conditions.

Level-of-Service (LOS) – An indication of the quality of traffic flow as measured by vehicle delays or travel speeds. Level-of-service grades range from LOS A (ideal traffic flow) to LOS F (heavily congested conditions). LOS D is typically considered an acceptable traffic condition during peak demand periods in urbanized locations.

Percent Time Spent Following (PTSF) – The average percentage of time that vehicles must travel in platoons behind slower vehicles due to the inability to pass.

Right-of-way (ROW) – The entire width between the boundary lines of every way publicly maintained when any part thereof is open to the use of the public for purposes of vehicular travel

Turning Movement Count (TMC) – A tally of the number of vehicles turning left, right, or traveling through an intersection

Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (v/c) – The sufficiency of an intersection to accommodate vehicular demand. A v/c over 1.00 means the traffic demand exceeds the capacity.

City of Grand Junction Patterson Road Access Study Appendices

**US 6 / US 50 / I-70B to Lodgepole Street
January 2021**



Appendix A - Public Outreach

PATTERSON ROAD ACCESS CONTROL PLAN

ANSWERS TO FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

What is an Access?

An access, as related to roadways, is a location where vehicles, bicycles, or pedestrians may enter and/or exit a roadway. Access may be public, such as a street, or private, such as a driveway to a business or residence. Every property owner has the right of reasonable access to the general street system.

Why is access management beneficial?

Access management benefits communities by preserving and improving traffic operations along the most critical roadways. Efficiently managing existing roadways so that they are operating to their fullest capacity costs less than investing in new roadways. Applying access management techniques can increase roadway capacity by 20% to 40%. Access management also has tremendous safety benefits. Studies have shown a 30% to 60% reduction in crashes on roadways where access management techniques are implemented.

The reduction in vehicle conflicts has the added benefit of improving traffic flow, reducing travel times, increasing fuel efficiency and contributing less to air pollution. Access management is also good for business, providing safe access to customers and retaining more of a community's original market area.

What is an Access Control Plan?

An Access Control Plan (ACP) provides a unified vision of the future access needs for a particular roadway corridor. The goals are to define safe, effective, and efficient access to support the economic viability of the corridor, utilize existing right of way, allow for smooth passage of through traffic on the roadway, maintain compatibility with local planning efforts and the existing and proposed street network connections and circulations, provide a plan that can be implemented in phases, and support alternative modal choices.

An ACP defines existing and future access locations with consideration for spacing, traffic movements, circulation, and alternative access opportunities. The ACP does not define specific roadway improvements or funding sources. It is a long-range planning document that identifies access conditions that will be implemented as roadway and land-use characteristics change.

Why is adopting an ACP beneficial?

An ACP allows Grand Junction to make decisions about access that are more consistent with the local vision, land use, and the local transportation system as a whole. In addition, the ACP addresses access on a corridor-wide basis rather than an individual, first-come, first-serve basis. An ACP considers how adjacent access points impact each other and provides property owners with security in the planned access for their property. Recommendations of the ACP consider adjacent land use, corridor specific conditions and local plans for future improvements. Closer access spacing and increased level of access may also be recommended where technical analyses can demonstrate adequate traffic safety and operations.

How is the ACP implemented?

The ACP will be implemented in phases as changes and growth occur around the City. Portions of the plan will be implemented based on the following triggers:

1. Redevelopment that increases traffic
2. Planned publicly funded project
3. Safety or operational issue

What area does the ACP include?

The ACP study area covers approximately 7.1 miles of Patterson Road from US 6/US 50 to Lodgepole Street.

How long will it take to complete the Access Plan?

The ACP project began in January 2020 and is expected to be completed in April 2021.

How can I get more information about the project?

Please contact one of the project team representatives:

Rick Dorris
Development Engineer
City of Grand Junction
Ph. (970) 256-4034
rickdo@gjcity.org



Andrew Amend
Consultant Project Manager
Stolfus & Associates, Inc.
Ph. (303) 221-2330
andrew@stolfusandassociates.com



PLAN DE CONTROL DE ACCESO DE LA CALLE PATTERSON

RESPUESTAS A PREGUNTAS FRECUENTES

¿Qué significa el acceso?

El acceso, relacionado con carreteras, es una ubicación donde los vehículos, bicicletas o peatones pueden entrar y/o salir de una carretera. El acceso puede ser público como una calle o privada, como una entrada de carros o un negocio o residencia. Cada dueño de propiedad tiene el derecho de tener acceso razonable al sistema de calles general.

¿Porque el manejo del acceso es bueno?

El manejo del acceso beneficia a las comunidades al preservar y mejorar las operaciones de tráfico a lo largo de las carreteras más críticas. El manejo del acceso de manera eficiente en las carreteras actuales sirve para que las carreteras funcionen a su máxima capacidad y cuesta menos que invertir en nuevas carreteras. La aplicación de técnicas de manejo de acceso puede incrementar la capacidad de las carreteras entre un 20-40%. El manejo del acceso también tiene un tremendo beneficio en la seguridad. Los estudios han demostrado una reducción de un 30-60% de los choques en las carreteras donde las técnicas de manejo del acceso son implementadas.

La reducción de conflictos en vehículos tiene el beneficio adicional de mejorar el flujo de tráfico, reducción del tiempo de manejo, incremento en la eficiencia del uso de combustible y contribuye a menos contaminación. El manejo del acceso es también Bueno para negocios, les da un acceso seguro a los clientes y retiene a las áreas en la comunidad que originalmente son áreas comerciales.

¿Qué es un Plan de Control de Acceso?

Un Plan de Control de Acceso (Siglas en Inglés ACP) provee una visión unificada de las necesidades del futuro acceso de una carretera en particular. La meta es definir un acceso seguro, efectivo y eficiente para apoyar la viabilidad económica de una carretera, utilizar las salidas con derecho de paso, permitir el pase tranquilo hacia el tráfico que viene de una carretera, mantener la compatibilidad con los esfuerzos de planificación y las conexiones y circulaciones de las propuestas de redes de calles y de las calles actuales, compartir un plan que sea implementado en fases y apoye los modelos opcionales alternativos.

Un ACP (siglas en inglés para Plan de Control de Acceso), define las ubicaciones de accesos futuros considerando el espacio, el movimiento del tráfico, la circulación y las oportunidades de acceso alternativo. El ACP no define las mejoras en carreteras específicas o las fuentes de financiamiento. Es un documento de un plan a largo plazo que identifica las condiciones de acceso que se implementarán a medida que cambien las características de las carreteras y el uso del suelo.

¿En qué beneficia el adoptar un ACP?

Un ACP permite que la Ciudad de Grand Junction tome decisiones acerca del acceso que es más consistente con la visión local, el uso del suelo y el sistema de transporte en general. Adicionalmente, el ACP dirige el acceso en toda la carretera en lugar de un individuo, por orden de llegada. Un ACP considera como los puntos de acceso adjuntos impactan a cada individuo y proveen a los dueños de propiedad seguridad en el plan de acceso para su propiedad. Las recomendaciones sobre el ACP consideran el uso del suelo adjunto, las condiciones de las carreteras, y los planes locales para futuras mejoras. El espacio del acceso cercano y el incrementar el nivel de acceso puede también ser recomendado donde el análisis técnico puede demostrar la seguridad adecuada en el tráfico y las operaciones.

¿Cómo se implementa un ACP?

El ACP se implementa en fases, así como los cambios y el crecimiento ocurre en la ciudad. Las porciones del plan se implementarán basadas en los siguientes factores:

1. La reurbanización que incrementa el tráfico
2. El proyecto planificado financiado por fondos públicos
3. La seguridad o problemas de operación.

¿Cuál es el área que está incluida en el ACP?

El estudio del área del ACP cubre aproximadamente 7.1 millas de la calle Patterson desde US 6/US 50 hasta la calle Lodgepole.

¿Cuánto se tardarán en terminar el Plan de Acceso?

El Proyecto del ACP comenzó en enero del 2020 y se espera que termine en abril 2021.

¿Cómo puedo obtener más información acerca del Proyecto?

Por favor contacte al representante del equipo del Proyecto.

Rick Dorris
Development Engineer
City of Grand Junction
Ph. (970) 256-4034
rickdo@gjcity.org



Andrew Amend
Consultant Project Manager
Stolfus & Associates, Inc.
Ph. (303) 221-2330
andrew@stolfusandassociates.com



Open House 1

October 1, 2020



THURSDAY
OCTOBER 1, 2020
JUEVES
1 DE OCTUBRE DEL 2020



4:00 - 7:00 PM
(NO FORMAL PRESENTATION -
COME ANYTIME)
(NO HABRA UNA PRESENTACIÓN
FORMAL, PUEDE LLEGAR A
CUALQUIER HORA)



FAITH HEIGHTS CHURCH
600 28 1/4 RD
GRAND JUNCTION, CO 81506



PATTERSON ROAD ACCESS CONTROL PLAN

**CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION
INVITES YOU TO THE**

OPEN HOUSE

FOR THE

PATTERSON ROAD ACCESS CONTROL PLAN

FROM HIGHWAY 6 TO LODGEPOLE STREET

PLAN DE CONTROL DE ACCESO DE LA CALLE PATTERSON

**LA CIUDAD DE GRAND JUNCTION
LO INVITA**

**UNA EXHIBIÓN ABIERTA AL PÚBLICO
SOBRE**

EL PLAN DE CONTROL DE ACCESO DE LA CALLE PATTERSON

**DESDE HIGHWAY (CARRETERA) 6
HASTA LA CALLE LODGEPOLE**

**THIS OPEN HOUSE WILL PROVIDE THE COMMUNITY
WITH AN OPPORTUNITY TO:**

- **DISCUSS FUTURE ACCESS TO PATTERSON RD WITH
PROJECT REPRESENTATIVES**
- **PROVIDE COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT ACCESS
CONTROL PLAN**

**THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!
FOR ONLINE PRESENTATION, MAPS, AND COMMENTS,
PLEASE SEE GJSPEAKS.ORG.**

**LA EXHIBICIÓN ABIERTA AL PÚBLICO PROVEE LA
OPORTUNIDAD PARA QUE LA COMUNIDAD:**

- **HABLE CON LOS REPRESENTANTES DEL PROYECTO
SOBRE EL FUTURO ACCESO A LA CALLE PATTERSON**
- **PROPORCIONE SUS COMENTARIOS SOBRE LOS PLANES
INICIALES DEL CONTROL DE ACCESO**

**¡GRACIAS POR SU PARTICIPACIÓN!
PARA VER LA PRESENTACIÓN POR INTERNET, MAPAS,
Y COMENTARIOS, POR FAVOR VISITE LA PÁGINA
GJSPEAKS.ORG.**

**FOR MORE INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT:
PARA PEDIR MÁS INFORMACIÓN, POR FAVOR CONTACTE A:**

ANDREW AMEND

STOLFUS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

(303)221-2330

ANDREW@STOLFUSANDASSOCIATES.COM



**STOLFUS & ASSOCIATES, INC.
5690 DTC BLVD. STE. 330W
GREENWOOD VILLAGE, CO 80111**



PATTERSON ROAD ACCESS CONTROL PLAN

**CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION
INVITES YOU TO THE**

OPEN HOUSE

FOR THE

PATTERSON ROAD ACCESS CONTROL PLAN

**FROM HIGHWAY 6 & 50 TO
LODGEPOLE STREET**

**THURSDAY
OCTOBER 1, 2020**

**4:00 - 7:00 PM
(NO FORMAL PRESENTATION -
COME ANYTIME)**

**FAITH HEIGHTS CHURCH
600 28 1/4 RD
GRAND JUNCTION, CO**

**FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT:
ANDREW AMEND
STOLFUS & ASSOCIATES, INC.
(303)221-2330**

ANDREW@STOLFUSANDASSOCIATES.COM

**FOR ONLINE PRESENTATION, MAPS, AND
COMMENTS, PLEASE SEE GJSPEAKS.ORG**

**SI HABLA ESPAÑOL, FOR FAVOR VISITE
GJSPEAKS.ORG O ASISTA A NUESTRA
EXHIBICIÓN ABIERTA AL PÚBLICO**

PATTERSON ROAD ACCESS CONTROL PLAN COMMENT SHEET - PUBLIC OPEN HOUSE October 1, 2020

Name: Roger Titmus Representing: Stinker Stores

Address: 2498 Patterson Road City: Grand Junction State: CO Zip: 81505

Phone: 208 337 2830 Email: rtitmus@stinker.com

* This survey (English only) can also be completed online at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/8WQF26Y>
* For Spanish clients, please print this form, complete, and email or mail to the contact at the bottom of the page.

1. Are you a (check all that apply):

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☒ Property Owner on Patterson Road | ☐ Commuter through corridor |
| ☐ Renter/Lessee on Patterson Road | ☐ Other _____ |
| ☒ Business Owner on Patterson Road | |

2. Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Safety | ☐ Bicycle Access |
| ☒ Mobility through the corridor | ☐ Property Impacts |
| ☒ Driveway Access | ☐ Local Street Intersections |
| ☐ Pedestrian Access | ☐ Bus Service |

3. What are some of your concerns regarding the proposed Access Control Plan? (check all that apply)

- ☐ Sharing access with my neighbor
- ☐ Accessing my property/business from a roadway other than Patterson Road
- ☐ Modification of circulation on my property
- ☒ Reducing the number of access points to my property
- ☒ Restricting the turning movements to/from my property
- ☐ Relocation of access point on my property
- ☐ Other _____

4. What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

- ☐ I support the Access Control Plan
- ☐ I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations. Please note those areas:

- ☒ I do **not** support the Access Control Plan

5. Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

If the goal is to improve traffic flow on Patterson, restricting access to retail will not help achieve the goal. Restricting access
causes drivers to stack up at bottleneck points, wait for opposing traffic, make u-turns, and backtrack to their destination.
There are several east/west roads in Grand Junction that give drivers a lot of options. The best option to avoid hitting
the brakes is to take I70, but there are few direct routes to the interstate. Providing better access to the interstate will improve
traffic flow. Better north/south connectivity solves the problem. Restricting driveway access does not.

Grand Junction Speaks

Published Comments for October 1, 2020 Patterson Access Control Meeting

Patterson Access Control Open House

As the Resident of 3030 Patterson Road, I do not find it beneficial to remove the only access point(Drive way) to my property. This is a privately owned property that is still zoned as Agricultural and thus should have its own entrance for equipment. By implementing the above changes to Patterson from 30 to Grand Valley drive you are not only creating a hazard for the business but also causing more traffic issues by introducing large Agriculture equipment into a small immobile space (between the building and fence line). These changes would cause more issues than they would prevent and should be stricken from the building plan.

09/23/2020 10:42 am

Brian Arms
3030 Patterson Road
Grand Junction, 81504

How will anyone that lives in Mantey Heights head west? Are you encouraging u-turns?

09/28/2020 9:21 am

Cheryl Fiegel
562 Grand Valley Dr
Grand Junction, 81504

One-on-One Meetings

Patterson Road Access Control Plan One-on-One Meeting Sign Up

[illegible]

**Patterson Road Access Control Plan
One-on-One Meeting Sign Up**

Name	Representing	Address	Notes
Penny Wagner	Grand View HOA	28 Road	Called and left voice messages multiple time and reached out via email, but never received a response.
Gary Crone	Comet	25 Rd & Patterson	Met with owner to discuss his dry cleaning business access to Patterson. Agreed to allow RIRO access instead of closing it, as proposed in the original plan.
Michael Shafer	Resident	2745 Patterson	Contacted resident and he stated there was no need for a meeting. He had spoken with other community members and they are not concerned about the ACP at this time.
Pam Hambright	Bookchief Liquors	3026 Patterson	Met with owner and agreed to revise the ACP so that trucks could continue to circulate through her business.
Matt Darling	Cross Orchards Museum	3073 F Rd	Met with representatives and agreed that no modifications to the ACP were needed at the property.
Monty Luellen			Contacted owner and he stated there was no need for a meeting, but that he would like to be informed of the final access determination for his properties two Patterson access points.
Dr. Bill Merkel	W & D MERKEL FAMILY	2626 Patterson	The property was recently sold, but Dr. Merkel did provide the contact information for the real estate agent who arranged the transaction. The real estate agent has not replied to requests for information about the new owner.
Gara Ross, Executive Assistant to Michelle Shiao and Dan Prinster	SCL Health St Mary's Medicine Center	2686 Patterson	Met with representatives and agreed to modify the modify the ACP to better serve the hospital's needs. We also made small access modifications to their property at 12th Street
Pastor Seth Thomas	Northeast Christian Church	2751 Patterson	Met with representatives to discuss future development plans and the Xcel gas facilities preventing extension of 27 1/2 Road to the south. Agreed that we should rethink access in this area, which will be shown in the Revised ACP.
Bill Wade	CHURCH OF CHRIST OF GRAND JUNCTION	2893 Patterson	Contacted the church office and was referred to the head of their planning committee. Called a left voice messages, but have not received a response.
Trent Spendrup	Hope Plaza	2482 Patterson	The representative initiated contact with us to be kept informed of the ACP status, but has not responded to our follow-up meeting requests.

Open House 2

January 6-12, 2021

PATTERSON ROAD ACCESS CONTROL PLAN

FROM HIGHWAY 6 TO LODGEPOLE STREET

**THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION
INVITES YOU TO THE**

VIRTUAL OPEN HOUSE

PLAN DE CONTROL DE ACCESO DE LA CALLE PATTERSON

**DESDE HIGHWAY (CARRETERA) 6 HASTA LA
CALLE LODGEPOLE**

**LA CIUDAD DE GRAND JUNCTION
LE INVITA A**

UNA CASA VIRTUAL ABIERTA AL PUBLICO



JANUARY 6-12, 2021
DEL 6 AL 12 DE ENERO
DEL 2021



GJSPEAKS.ORG

CITY OF
Grand Junction
COLORADO

Stolfus

**THIS VIRTUAL OPEN HOUSE WILL PROVIDE
THE COMMUNITY WITH AN OPPORTUNITY TO:**

- **WATCH AN INTRODUCTORY VIDEO**
- **VIEW THE REVISED ACCESS PLAN**
- **LEAVE COMMENTS**

PLEASE VISIT GJSPEAKS.ORG

**ESTA CASA VIRUTAL ABIERTA DARÁ A LA
COMUNIDAD UNA OPORTUNIDAD DE:**

- **MIRAR UN VIDEO DE INTRODUCCIÓN**
- **VER EL PLAN DE ACCESO REVISADO**
- **DEJAR COMENTARIOS**

VISITE GJSPEAKS.ORG

FOR MORE INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT:

PARA MÁS INFORMACIÓN, CONTACTAR A:

**DAVID THORNTON, AICP
PRINCIPAL PLANNER**

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION

970-244-1450

DAVIDTH@GJCITY.ORG



**STOLFUS & ASSOCIATES, INC.
5690 DTC BLVD. STE. 330W
GREENWOOD VILLAGE, CO 80111**

PATTERSON ROAD ACCESS CONTROL PLAN

**FROM HIGHWAY 6 & 50 TO
LODGEPOLE STREET**

THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION INVITES YOU TO THE VIRTUAL OPEN HOUSE

JANUARY 6-12, 2021

VISIT [GJSPEAKS.ORG](https://gjspeaks.org)

**SI HABLA ESPAÑOL POR
FAVOR VISITE [GJSPEAKS.ORG](https://gjspeaks.org)
O ASISTA A NUESTRA
EXHIBICIÓN ABIERTA AL
PÚBLICO**

**FOR MORE INFORMATION,
PLEASE CONTACT:
DAVID THORNTON, AICP
PRINCIPAL PLANNER
CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION
970-244-1450
DAVIDTH@GJCITY.ORG**

Virtual Meetings

January 13, 2021

Patterson Rd Meeting Sign-Ups				
First Name	Last Name	Email	Sign Up Items	Reference ACP Sheet
Matt	Clark	mattclarkcreations@gmail.com	01/13/2021 4:00PM-4:30PM - MST Meeting Time - Pyramid Building	Access 42
Myron	Klesner	myron@northeastchristian.org	01/13/2021 4:00PM-4:30PM - MST Meeting Time (2) - Northeast Christian Church	15th Street to Beechwood Street - Access 146
Brandon	Akins	brandshellyakins@gmail.com	01/13/2021 4:30PM-5:00PM - MST Meeting Time - Heritage Church	29 Road
Mark	Shoberg	hoa@brayandco.com	01/13/2021 4:30PM-5:00PM - MST Meeting Time - Bray HOA	Access 40
Michelle	Fisher	burkemichelle648@yahoo.com	01/13/2021 5:00PM-5:30PM - MST Meeting Time	24 1/2 Road to 25 Road - Access 29
Vicki	Konn	vickik@netpolarity.com	01/13/2021 5:00PM-5:30PM - MST Meeting Time - netPolarity	24 1/2 Road to 25 Road - Access 29
Lorena	Thompson	lorena@gjangelos.com	01/13/2021 5:30PM-6:00PM - MST Meeting Time (2) - Angelo's Pottery	24 1/2 Road to 25 Road - Access 29
Wendi	Wells	digwells@aol.com	01/13/2021 5:30PM-6:00PM - MST Meeting Time - Farmers Insurance	24 1/2 Road to 25 Road - Access 29
Bryan	Muhr	Bmurr1960@gmail.com	01/13/2021 6:00PM-6:30PM - MST Meeting Time	Access 76 and 78
monty	luellen	luellen0399@msn.com	01/13/2021 6:30PM-7:00PM - MST Meeting Time - patterson gardens hoa	Access 130, 116, 117

From: [Michelle Hansen](#)
To: jeff.p.tipton@gmail.com; bmurr1960@gmail.com
Cc: [Andrew Amend](#); [David Thornton](#); [Rick Dorris](#); [Trenton Prall](#)
Subject: Patterson Access Control Plan
Date: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 13:47:04
Attachments: [74c8b419-1438-4a22-8684-34033ad9fcc5.png](#)
[Patterson ACP Figure 2F.pdf](#)
[Patterson - What is Access Management.pdf](#)
[Patterson Driveway Crashes.pdf](#)

Jeff, Brenda, and Bryan,

Thank you for meeting with me this past Monday regarding the Patterson Access Control Plan. As discussed, I've attached the updated plan in your area reflecting the changes at Access 75 and 76 to provide conditional right-in/right-out access points at these locations. The conditional element specifies that these access points will remain open until your property redevelops. Upon redevelopment, these access points will be closed.

Also attached is some information regarding safety and crashes through the corridor. The What is Access Management document provides the information we discussed about the percentage of crashes related to left turns as opposed to right turns at access points. The Patterson Driveway Crashes document provides the information about the number of access related crashes that have occurred through different segments of the corridor between 2014 and 2018.

I have debriefed the City staff on our conversation. Jeff, someone will be contacting you before the end of this week to further discuss your concerns and answer any additional questions. Please contact me if you have any additional questions. Thank you for participating in the project.

Michelle

We moved! Please note our new Suite Number, Suite 330W

Michelle R. Hansen, PE | Senior Transportation Engineer



www.stolfusandassociates.com

Stolfus & Associates, Inc. | 5690 DTC Boulevard, Suite 330W | Greenwood Village, CO 80111

P: 303 221 2330 | C: 720 771 3056 | michelle@stolfusandassociates.com

From: [Andrew Amend](#)
To: hoa@brayandco.com
Cc: [Rick Dorris](#); [David Thornton](#); [Michelle Hansen](#); mattclarkcreations@gmail.com
Subject: Patterson Road ACP Follow Up
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 20:19:41
Attachments: [49ae0dd3-dc72-4fe1-a533-684f76858e4f.png](#)
[Patterson ACP Figure 2D.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Shoberg,

As discussed at our Zoom meeting last Wednesday, our project team has performed an engineering investigation into your request to provide $\frac{3}{4}$ (Left-In and Right-In) access to Foresight Circle. In development of this plan, our team applied the concept of Functional Intersection Area (FIA), as defined in the TRB's Access Management Manual. While accounting for the 216-foot peak queue length projected in 2045 at 25 Road, we have concluded that Foresight Circle is outside the FIA. Because Foresight Circle is a public street and outside the FIA for 25 Road, the plan has been revised to provide $\frac{3}{4}$ access at #40, as shown in the attached exhibit. We have also redesignated access to Northgate Drive to $\frac{3}{4}$ in order to provide greater access to the south side of Patterson Road and to provide a u-turn opportunity for traffic coming from the east.

Thank you for your interest in the project,

Andrew Amend, PE, PTOE | Transportation Engineer



www.stolfusandassociates.com

Stolfus & Associates, Inc. | 5690 DTC Boulevard, Suite 330W | Greenwood Village, CO 80111

Main: 303 221 2330 | andrew@stolfusandassociates.com

From: [Andrew Amend](#)
To: vickik@netpolarity.com; digwells@aol.com
Cc: [Rick Dorris](#); [David Thornton](#); [Michelle Hansen](#)
Subject: Patterson Road ACP
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 19:47:15
Attachments: [bda004cd-bc34-4119-90b8-d09844f1fc12.png](#)
[Patterson ACP Figure 2C.pdf](#)

Dear Ms. Wells and Ms. Konn,

As discussed at our Zoom meeting last Wednesday, our project team has performed an engineering investigation into your request to provide $\frac{3}{4}$ (Left-In, Right-In, Right-Out only) access to 2478 Patterson Road. We have concluded that this change is consistent with the access control plan methodology and have moved the $\frac{3}{4}$ access from #30 to #29, as shown in the attached plan. Please note that when a raised median is implemented on the segment of Patterson Road between 24 $\frac{1}{2}$ Road and 25 Road, $\frac{3}{4}$ access at #29 is conditioned upon the owner of 2478 Patterson Road establishing legal cross access to the adjacent properties at 2474 Patterson Road and 2482 Patterson Road. Establishment of legal cross access does not imply an obligation for any of the property owners to physically construct the improvements.

Thank you for your interest in the project,

Andrew Amend, PE, PTOE | Transportation Engineer



www.stolfusandassociates.com

Stolfus & Associates, Inc. | 5690 DTC Boulevard, Suite 330W | Greenwood Village, CO 80111

Main: 303 221 2330 | andrew@stolfusandassociates.com

From: [Andrew Amend](#)
To: lorena@gjangelos.com
Cc: [Rick Dorris](#); [David Thornton](#); [Michelle Hansen](#)
Subject: Patterson Road ACP Follow Up
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 19:42:36
Attachments: [29703b32-05e1-4447-beae-d4948f24ac8d.png](#)
[Patterson ACP Figure 2C.pdf](#)

Dear Ms. Thompson,

As discussed at our Zoom meeting last Wednesday, our project team has performed an engineering investigation into your request to provide $\frac{3}{4}$ (Left-In, Right-In, Right-Out only) access to 2478 Patterson Road. We have concluded that this change is consistent with the access control plan methodology and have moved the $\frac{3}{4}$ access from #30 to #29, as shown in the attached plan. Please note that when a raised median is implemented on the segment of Patterson Road between 24 $\frac{1}{2}$ Road and 25 Road, $\frac{3}{4}$ access at #29 is conditioned upon the owner of 2478 Patterson Road establishing legal cross access to the adjacent properties at 2474 Patterson Road and 2482 Patterson Road. Establishment of legal cross access does not imply an obligation for any of the property owners to physically construct the improvements.

Also on our Zoom meeting, you mentioned that you had mailed us a letter last fall. We were unable to find any record of receiving your letter and so I deeply apologize for our lack of responsiveness. We would still appreciate your thoughts on the project, so if you want to reply to this message with a copy, we would be happy to take it into consideration.

Thank you for your interest in the project,

Andrew Amend, PE, PTOE | Transportation Engineer



www.stolfusandassociates.com

Stolfus & Associates, Inc. | 5690 DTC Boulevard, Suite 330W | Greenwood Village, CO 80111

Main: 303 221 2330 | andrew@stolfusandassociates.com

Survey Monkey Results

Open House 1 and Open House 2

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Friday, October 02, 2020 1:49:56 PM
Last Modified: Friday, October 02, 2020 1:52:28 PM
Time Spent: 00:02:31
IP Address: 69.146.117.38

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Matt Darling
Address	3073 Patterson Rd
City	GRAND JUNCTION
State	CO
Zip	81504
Phone Number	9702617839
Email	mdarling@westcomuseum.org

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Business Owner on Patterson Road,
Commuter through corridor

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Property Impacts

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Modification of circulation on my property

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

No.

#2

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 05, 2020 7:10:19 AM
Last Modified: Monday, October 05, 2020 8:26:21 AM
Time Spent: 01:16:02
IP Address: 50.211.228.253

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Roger Titmus representing Stinker Stores
Address	2498 Patterson Road
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81505
Phone Number	209-337-2830
Email	rtitmus@stinker.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Property Owner on Patterson Road,
Business Owner on Patterson Road

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Mobility through the corridor,
Driveway Access

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Restricting the turning movements to/from my property,
Other:
reducing the number of access points to my property

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I do not support the Access Control Plan.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

If the goal is to improve traffic flow on Patterson, restricting access to retail will not help achieve the goal. Restricting access causes drivers to stack up at bottleneck points, wait for opposing traffic, make u-turns, and backtrack to their destination. There are several east/west roads in Grand Junction that give drivers a lot of options. The best option to avoid hitting the brakes is to take I70, but there are few direct routes to the interstate. Providing better access to the interstate will improve the brakes is to take I70, but there are few direct routes to the interstate. Providing better access to the interstate will improve traffic flow. Better north/south connectivity solves the problem. Restricting driveway access does not.

#3

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:07:20 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:10:38 PM
Time Spent: 00:03:18
IP Address: 50.211.228.253

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Michael Shater
Address	2745 Patterson
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81506
Phone Number	9702505739
Email	mikegjco@gmail.com

Q2

Property Owner on Patterson Road

Are you a (check all that apply):

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Driveway Access

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Relocation of access point on my property,
Other:
also reducing and restricting (4&5)

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.
,
If you chose "I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.", please note those areas::
undecided

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

not at this time

#4

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:10:43 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:12:02 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:19
IP Address: 50.211.228.253

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Ryan Frieling representing Feather Petro - Stop n Save
Address	621 2Y Road
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81505
Email	rfrieling@featherpetro.com

Q2

Business Owner on Patterson Road

Are you a (check all that apply):

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Mobility through the corridor,
Driveway Access

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Accessing my property/business from a roadway other than Patterson Road
,
Other:
also modification and restriction (3&5)

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.
,
If you chose "I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.", please note those areas::
Patterson W of 2Y road

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

none

#5

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:12:06 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:21:45 PM
Time Spent: 00:09:39
IP Address: 50.211.228.253

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Mike Fisher
Address	2918 Patterson Road
City	GRand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81504
Phone Number	970-640-9010
Email	mikefisher542@gmail.com

Q2

Property Owner on Patterson Road

Are you a (check all that apply):

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Driveway Access,
Property Impacts

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Relocation of access point on my property,
Other:
also reducing and restricting (4&5) AND closing access to our garage - de-valuing our property

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.
,
If you chose "I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.", please note those areas::
Our driveway access and the amount of traffic already using our street as a U-turn because of Safeway

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Please contact us directly if the plan continues to close our driveway as we will lose considerable amount of money when we sell our house because the garage would no longer be functional as a two- car garage

#6

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:22:09 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:27:45 PM
Time Spent: 00:05:35
IP Address: 50.211.228.253

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Kaia Michaelis representing Museums of Western Co.
Address	3073 F. Road
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81505
Phone Number	970-242-0971 x204
Email	kmichaelis@westerncomuseum.org

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Property Owner on Patterson Road,
Business Owner on Patterson Road

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Mobility through the corridor,
Local Street Intersections

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Reducing the number of access points to my property

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.

,

If you chose "I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.", please note those areas::

We need to maintain a secondary access point at Cross Orchards for traffic flow at large events.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

none

#7

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:35:45 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:42:36 PM
Time Spent: 00:06:51
IP Address: 50.211.228.253

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Robert Garrison Jr.
Address	2778 Patterson
City	Grand Junction
State	co
Zip	81506
Phone Number	241-6565

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Property Owner on Patterson Road,
Renter/Lessee on Patterson Road,
Commuter through corridor

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Driveway Access,
Property Impacts

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Restricting the turning movements to/from my property,
Other:
and relocation - future access

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.
,
If you chose "I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.", please note those areas::
reduce speed to encourage alternate routes

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

none

#8

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:28:09 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:43:01 PM
Time Spent: 00:14:51
IP Address: 50.211.228.253

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Steve Scodggins representing Museum of West Slope
Address	3513 G. Road
City	Palisade
State	CO
Zip	81526
Email	sscodggins@coloradoe2.org

Q2

Business Owner on Patterson Road

Are you a (check all that apply):

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Mobility through the corridor,
Local Street Intersections

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Modification of circulation on my property,
Other:
also restriction and relocation

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan.,
If you chose "I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.", please note those areas::
Thanks for developing a plan - having time for feedback and a thoughtful process are important.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

none

#9

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:43:02 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:46:34 PM
Time Spent: 00:03:31
IP Address: 50.211.228.253

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Jim Forsythe
Address	2887 Streamside
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81505
Phone Number	970-765-5532
Email	JLFK15@outlook.com

Q2

Property Owner on Patterson Road

Are you a (check all that apply):

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Mobility through the corridor,
Local Street Intersections

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Accessing my property/business from a roadway other than Patterson Road
,
Other:
and reducing (#4)

Q5

I support the Access Control Plan.

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Excellent and knowledgeable staff on hand

#10

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:46:42 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:52:02 PM
Time Spent: 00:05:20
IP Address: 50.211.228.253

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Carl Zimmerman
Address	666 Turtledove Drive
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81506
Phone Number	970-4244526

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Commuter through corridor,
Other (please specify):
Grandview subdivision

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Accessing my property/business from a roadway other than Patterson Road
,
Other:
Hawthorne Ave to 28 1/4 road - I support that

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Hawthorne needs to go through to 28 1/4 road

#11

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:53:29 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:56:02 PM
Time Spent: 00:02:33
IP Address: 50.211.228.253

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Burlena Price
Address	2887 1/2 Cascade Ave.
City	Grandf Junction
State	CO
Zip	81501
Phone Number	970-314-9817
Email	bprice7372@yahoo.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Property Owner on Patterson Road,
Other (please specify):
property owner off of patterson rd

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor,
please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson
Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access
Control Plan?

Sharing access with my neighbor,
Other:
keeping it from property

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the
Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Can't be done soon enough Thank you for your plan!

#12

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 05, 2020 1:56:06 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 05, 2020 2:00:11 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:05
IP Address: 50.211.228.253

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Janice Breagan
Address	2885 1/2 Cascade
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81501
Phone Number	970-245-4193

Q2

Commuter through corridor

Are you a (check all that apply):

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Pedestrian Access,
Bicycle Access

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Sharing access with my neighbor,
Other:
none - but it makes you check something

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Can't be done soon enough! Thank you!

#13

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, October 07, 2020 6:52:17 AM
Last Modified: Wednesday, October 07, 2020 6:56:07 AM
Time Spent: 00:03:49
IP Address: 50.211.228.253

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Brian Arms
Address	3030 PAtterson Road
City	Grand Junction
State	co
Zip	81504

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Renter/Lessee on Patterson Road,
Other (please specify):
Resident From 30 to Grand Valley Drive

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor,
please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson
Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access
Control Plan?

Restricting the turning movements to/from my property

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I do not support the Access Control Plan.,

If you chose "I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.", please note those areas::

As the Resident of 3030 Patterson Road, I do not find it beneficial to remove the only access point(Drive way) to my property. This is a privately owned property that is still zoned as Agricultural and thus should have its own entrance for equipment. By implementing the above changes to Patterson from 30 to Grand Valley drive you are not only creating a hazard for the business but also causing more traffic issues by introducing large Agriculture equipment into a small immobile space (between the building and fence line). These changes would cause more issues than they would prevent and should be stricken from the building plan.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

none

#14

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, October 07, 2020 6:56:11 AM
Last Modified: Wednesday, October 07, 2020 7:00:09 AM
Time Spent: 00:03:57
IP Address: 50.211.228.253

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Cheryl Fiegel
Address	562 Grand VALley Dr.
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81504

Q2

Commuter through corridor

Are you a (check all that apply):

Q3

Safety

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Q4

Restricting the turning movements to/from my property

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.

,
If you chose "I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.", please note those areas::
How will anyone that lives in Mantey Heights head west?
Are you encouraging u-turns?09/28/2020 9:21 am

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

none

#15

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, October 13, 2020 8:39:38 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, October 13, 2020 8:44:21 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:43
IP Address: 184.166.12.231

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Lorena Thompson -- LCAT Investments
Address	2478 F Road #11, 2478
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81505
Phone Number	9702502106
Email	lorena@gjangelos.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Property Owner on Patterson Road,
Renter/Lessee on Patterson Road,
Business Owner on Patterson Road,
Commuter through corridor

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Mobility through the corridor,
Driveway Access,
Property Impacts

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Restricting the turning movements to/from my property,
Other:
See the attached statement.

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

We own LCAT in the entry marked 29 on your project map. This plaza holds 29 active business, many of which are medical with some retail. You have chosen to take the ¾ access in at Hope Plaza (#30) next door where there is no real way to connect a drive to our plaza without knocking down a business or turning their parking lot into a thorofare. I cannot imagine that, that will be okay with them. That plaza – the only one with a ¾ access hosts only 4 businesses. This makes no sense at all. You are cutting off access to 29 businesses to give access to 4. The city's attitude seems to be that you are going to put in the median and the business along that route can just figure it out. This will – without any doubt—hurt our business. With COVID challenging our very existence, this just adds mayhem to misery.

Could you reconsider where the ¾ access occurs and attempt to damage the least number of businesses with this decision?

#16

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, October 14, 2020 12:02:05 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, October 14, 2020 12:06:38 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:32
IP Address: 50.211.228.253

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Monty Luellen Representing Patterson Gardens HOA
Address	2721 Patterson
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81506
Phone Number	970-623-2759
Email	Luellen0399@msn.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Property Owner on Patterson Road,
Business Owner on Patterson Road

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Driveway Access,
Property Impacts

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Modification of circulation on my property,
Other:
also reducing and restricting (4&5)

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.
,
If you chose "I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.", please note those areas::
#129, #130, #159

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

not at this time

#17

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, October 15, 2020 8:16:29 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, October 15, 2020 8:29:21 AM
Time Spent: 00:12:52
IP Address: 47.47.138.82

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Lori Carlston-Thompson
Address	2478 Patterson Rd., #15
City	Grand Junction
State	co
Zip	81505
Phone Number	9702454567
Email	loricarlston@allstate.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Property Owner on Patterson Road,
Renter/Lessee on Patterson Road,
Business Owner on Patterson Road,
Commuter through corridor

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Mobility through the corridor,
Driveway Access

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Restricting the turning movements to/from my property,
Other:
No access other than Patterson, neighbor not willing to do pass through, and if he was it would cause speeding problems in parking lot. So don't really blame him.

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.

,

If you chose "I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.", please note those areas::

Would like to see a left turn into the 2478 Patterson complex as there are so many businesses in this complex and we already have left in, left out access - it is not an accident hazard.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

If the plan comes to fruition which extends the Riverside Parkway up 25 Rd to F 1/2 Rd, it will cause a lot of traffic to bypass the section of Patterson we're on, between 24 1/2 Rd and 25 Rd. There is already less traffic on this part of Patterson than there is near 7th to 12th St - so perhaps the building of medians and restricting of traffic on this section of Patterson will never need to be completed, and we can save the city and taxpayers money. The bottleneck of Patterson between 1st and 7th streets may require the city to find alternate traffic routes as the city grows.

#18

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, October 15, 2020 11:47:06 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, October 15, 2020 11:51:45 AM
Time Spent: 00:04:38
IP Address: 69.146.252.115

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Lynn Thompson
Address	2478 Patterson
City	Grand Junction
State	Colorado
Zip	81505
Phone Number	970250-0815
Email	lynn@gjangelos.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Property Owner on Patterson Road,
Business Owner on Patterson Road,
Commuter through corridor

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Mobility through the corridor,
Driveway Access,
Property Impacts

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Restricting the turning movements to/from my property

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I do not support the Access Control Plan.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Having a "right only in and right only out" access to my property will make it more difficult for our customers to access my business, resulting in loss of income. Also, if it were even possible to connect access with adjoining properties, it will make my parking lot a street, resulting in safety issues for my employees and customers.

#19

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, January 05, 2021 4:45:16 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, January 05, 2021 4:49:23 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:07
IP Address: 98.234.51.223

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Haixia Zhang
Address	2478 Patterson Rd
City	GJ
State	CO
Zip	81505
Phone Number	4156376343
Email	hzhang@netpolarity.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Renter/Lessee on Patterson Road,

Business Owner on Patterson Road,

Other (please specify):

Blockage to Patterson Road centerline will prevent all of our employees to be able to leave our office from our parking lot. We would have to turn left out of the complex to go home. We have close to 10 employees in the office and everyone is very dissatisfied with the suggested change.

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Mobility through the corridor,

Driveway Access,

Property Impacts

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Relocation of access point on my property

Q5

I do not support the Access Control Plan.

What statement best reflects how you feel about the
Access Control Plan?

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

do not block the road, we will leave Patterson Road if you do

#20

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, January 06, 2021 8:48:55 AM
Last Modified: Wednesday, January 06, 2021 8:54:39 AM
Time Spent: 00:05:43
IP Address: 35.133.61.90

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	robert garrison
Address	2778 patterson road
City	grand junction
State	CO
Zip	81506
Phone Number	18017255620
Email	robrlgjr@outlook.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Property Owner on Patterson Road,
Commuter through corridor

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Driveway Access,
Property Impacts,
Bus Service

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Restricting the turning movements to/from my property,
Other:
median design, sound reduction

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan.,
If you chose "I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.", please note those areas::
median design, will it be like north avenue? can zeroscape be incorporated for sound reduction? traffic noise

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

getting to my home while traveling east for 12th street

#21

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, January 06, 2021 12:38:25 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, January 06, 2021 1:12:49 PM
Time Spent: 00:34:23
IP Address: 69.145.234.89

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Renee Williams
Address	2515 Foresight Circle
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81505
Phone Number	970 3734
Email	synergisticwellnessatforesight@gmail.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Property Owner on Patterson Road,
Business Owner on Patterson Road

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Mobility through the corridor,
Local Street Intersections

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Restricting the turning movements to/from my property

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I do not support the Access Control Plan.,

If you chose "I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.", please note those areas::

Access to existing Foresight Circle Industrial Park right of ways and other public right of ways should not be restricted as these have been established for decades. Restricting access to existing public streets along Patterson appears to be a result of supporting private development interests at the expense of access to existing public streets.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

no

#22

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, January 06, 2021 9:58:26 AM
Last Modified: Wednesday, January 06, 2021 2:35:03 PM
Time Spent: 04:36:36
IP Address: 184.166.14.14

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Craig Robillard
Address	848 Summer Sage Court
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81506
Phone Number	9704337141
Email	c42skipper@gmail.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Commuter through corridor,
Other (please specify):
Frequentl bicycle rider in the neighborhood

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Bicycle Access

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Relocation of access point on my property,
Other:
Not a property owner but I had to check a box for the survey to be accepted.

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Any thoughts about improving bicycle path system along Patterson?

#23

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, January 06, 2021 3:41:08 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, January 06, 2021 3:45:54 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:45
IP Address: 97.118.29.44

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Bennett Boeschenstein
Address	1255 Ouray Ave
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81501
Phone Number	19706408153
Email	boeschenstein.bennett0@gmail.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Commuter through corridor,
Other (please specify):
Board member museums of Western Colorado (Cross
Orchards)

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor,
please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Mobility through the corridor,
Pedestrian Access,
Bicycle Access,
Property Impacts,
Local Street Intersections,
Bus Service

Q4

Relocation of access point on my property

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson
Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access
Control Plan?

Q5 **I support the Access Control Plan.**

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Cross Orchards should have at least two good access points with accel-decel lanes

#24

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, January 06, 2021 6:01:48 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, January 06, 2021 6:05:52 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:03
IP Address: 71.218.32.179

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Andrew Amend
Address	5690 DTC Blvd
City	Greenwood Village
State	CO
Zip	80111
Phone Number	3032212330
Email	andrew@stolfusandassociates.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Commuter through corridor,
Other (please specify):
Project Engineer

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Mobility through the corridor,
Local Street Intersections

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Accessing my property/business from a roadway other than Patterson Road
,
Other:
making sure SurveyMonkey works

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Just checking to make sure SurveyMonkey is working properly

#25

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, January 07, 2021 3:19:25 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, January 07, 2021 3:25:15 PM
Time Spent: 00:05:49
IP Address: 63.233.204.194

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Roger Titmus
Address	3184 Elder St
City	Boise
State	Idaho
Zip	83705
Phone Number	2083750942
Email	rtitmus@stinker.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Property Owner on Patterson Road,
Business Owner on Patterson Road

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Driveway Access,
Property Impacts

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Other:
All of the above

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I do not support the Access Control Plan.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Reducing access to retail will discourage redevelopment and cause neighborhood blight.

#26

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Friday, January 08, 2021 2:26:20 PM
Last Modified: Friday, January 08, 2021 2:29:13 PM
Time Spent: 00:02:52
IP Address: 184.166.174.17

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Marilyn Swanson
Address	2610 Springside Ct
City	Grand Junction
State	Colorado
Zip	81506
Phone Number	3036380742
Email	southsidere@gmail.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Other (please specify):

Springside Ct is very close to Patterson Rd. A stop light is needed badly at 28 Rd and Patterson.

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Safety,
Mobility through the corridor,
Local Street Intersections

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.

,

If you chose "I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.", please note those areas::

Signal at 28 Rd is badly needed.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Respondent skipped this question

#27

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Monday, January 11, 2021 8:53:19 PM
Last Modified: Monday, January 11, 2021 8:59:10 PM
Time Spent: 00:05:51
IP Address: 98.127.108.244

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Nicholas A Sechrist
Address	2530 Falls View Cir
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81505
Phone Number	9702706485
Email	ns2chiro@msn.com

Q2

Business Owner on Patterson Road

Are you a (check all that apply):

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Mobility through the corridor,
Driveway Access,
Property Impacts

Q4

Restricting the turning movements to/from my property

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Q5

I do not support the Access Control Plan.

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

The main issue is two gas stations, both with two entrances that are caddy-corner at 25 Rd and Patterson. The greater advantage for flow and safety would be widening 25 Rd. There are multiple business inside of Foresight Circle and limiting access is only going to jam up larger intersections, namely 25 Rd and Patterson.

#28

COMPLETE

Collector: Patterson Road...al Open House (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:55:49 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:59:01 PM
Time Spent: 00:03:12
IP Address: 184.166.12.214

Page 1

Q1

Please provide your contact information.

Name	Nathan Williams
Address	1915 Monument Canyon Drive
City	Grand Junction
State	CO
Zip	81507
Phone Number	9702703733
Email	nathan.w.williams1@gmail.com

Q2

Are you a (check all that apply):

Property Owner on Patterson Road,
Renter/Lessee on Patterson Road,
Business Owner on Patterson Road,
Commuter through corridor

Q3

Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.

Mobility through the corridor,
Property Impacts,
Local Street Intersections

Q4

If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?

Reducing the number of access points to my property

Q5

What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

I do not support the Access Control Plan.

Q6

Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

The access plan as written will have severe deleterious impacts to my business and the several businesses in the Foresight Park. We need to have same access we have now.


Q1 Please provide your contact information.

Answered: 28 Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Name	100.00%	28
Address	100.00%	28
City	100.00%	28
State	100.00%	28
Zip	100.00%	28
Phone Number	85.71%	24
Email	82.14%	23

Q2 Are you a (check all that apply):


Answered: 27 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Property Owner on Patterson Road	55.56%	15
Renter/Lessee on Patterson Road	22.22%	6
Business Owner on Patterson Road	51.85%	14
Commuter through corridor	48.15%	13
Total Respondents: 27		

Q3 Of the following issues in the Patterson Road corridor, please mark up to three that are most important to you.


Answered: 28 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Safety	67.86%	19
Mobility through the corridor	53.57%	15
Driveway Access	46.43%	13
Pedestrian Access	7.14%	2
Bicycle Access	10.71%	3
Property Impacts	42.86%	12
Local Street Intersections	28.57%	8
Bus Service	7.14%	2
Total Respondents: 28		

Q4 If you own property, a business, or live along Patterson Road, what are your concerns regarding the Access Control Plan?


Answered: 26 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Sharing access with my neighbor	7.69%	2
Accessing my property/business from a roadway other than Patterson Road	15.38%	4
Modification of circulation on my property	11.54%	3
Reducing the number of access points to my property	7.69%	2
Restricting the turning movements to/from my property	38.46%	10
Relocation of access point on my property	19.23%	5
TOTAL		26

Q5 What statement best reflects how you feel about the Access Control Plan?

Answered: 28 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
I support the Access Control Plan.		32.14%	9
I support the Access Control Plan, but have concerns about access at particular locations.		39.29%	11
I do not support the Access Control Plan.		28.57%	8
TOTAL			28

Q6 Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Answered: 27 Skipped: 1

Appendix B - Existing Access Inventory

ACCESS CONTROL PLAN PATTERSON ROAD I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)

* All access points are defined by the approximate CDOT reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on CDOT Highway Data Explorer.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections shall accommodate U-turns for design vehicle
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
- 6 .Implement with development, redevelopment or use change
7. If City, Town, County or CDOT improves SH 402 or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the highway or local street system is provided.
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.

Access ID No.	Mile Post	Side	Description	Type	Surface Material	Gate*	Existing Configuration
	**					(Y/N)	
1	0.060	LT	2384 Patterson Rd	BA	Concrete	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
2	0.133	RT	2381, 2385, 2387 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
3	0.149	LT	2384 Patterson Rd	BA	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
4	0.157	LT	2388 Patterson Rd	FA	Dirt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
5	0.167	RT	2386 Hwy 6 & 50	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
6	0.222	RT	Rae Lynn St	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
7	0.226	LT	Rae Lynn St	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
8	0.292	RT	24 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
9	0.292	LT	24 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
10	0.421	RT	Market St (South side is commercial access for Mesa Mall)	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
11	0.421	LT	Market St (South side is commercial access for Mesa Mall)	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
12	0.498	LT	2412 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
13	0.505	LT	2422 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
14	0.534	LT	2424 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
15	0.600	LT	2424, 2428, 2430, 2436 Patterson Rd North, and Mesa Mall South	BA	Asphalt	N	Sig. Full Movement
16	0.600	RT	2424, 2428, 2430, 2436 Patterson Rd North, and Mesa Mall South	BA	Asphalt	N	Sig. Full Movement
17	0.675	LT	2430, 2436 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. 3/4 Movement
18	0.734	LT	2436, 3438, 2440 Patterson Rd and 625 24 1/2 Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
19	0.814	LT	2442, 2444 Patterson Rd	BA		N	Unsig. Full Movement
20	0.855	LT	2446, 2448 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. 3/4 Movement
21	0.944	LT	24 1/2 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
22	0.944	RT	24 1/2 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
23	1.009	LT	2452, 2454 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. 3/4 Movement
24	1.031	RT	2451, 2463, 2465 Patterson Rd and 590 24 1/2 Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate CDOT reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on CDOT Highway Data Explorer.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections shall accommodate U-turns for design vehicle
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
- 6 .Implement with development, redevelopment or use change
7. If City, Town, County or CDOT improves SH 402 or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the highway or local street system is provided.
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.

Access ID No.	Mile Post	Side	Description	Type	Surface Material	Gate*	Existing Configuration
	**					(Y/N)	
25	1.071	LT	2460, 2464 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
26	1.113	LT	2470, 2472, 2474 Patterson Rd North	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
27	1.113	RT	Commerce Blvd South	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
28	1.176	LT	2470, 2472, 2474 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
29	1.235	LT	2478 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
30	1.308	LT	2482 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
31	1.325	RT	2488 Commerce Blvd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
32	1.358	LT	2486, 2490 2494 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
33	1.404	RT	599 25 Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Right In-Right Out
34	1.424	LT	2498 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
35	1.459	LT	25 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
36	1.459	RT	25 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
37	1.492	RT	596 25 Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
38	1.538	RT	2515 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. 3/4 Movement
39	1.600	LT	Foresight Cir	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
40	1.619	LT	Foresight Cir	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
41	1.648	RT	Northgate Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
42	1.715	LT	2526, 2527 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Right In-Right Out
43	1.768	LT	2532 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. 3/4 Movement
44	1.861	LT	Burkey St	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
45	1.932	RT	Drain	MA	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
46	1.954	RT	Drain	MA	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
47	1.975	LT	25 1/2 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate CDOT reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on CDOT Highway Data Explorer.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections shall accommodate U-turns for design vehicle
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
- 6 .Implement with development, redevelopment or use change
7. If City, Town, County or CDOT improves SH 402 or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the highway or local street system is provided.
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.

Access ID No.	Mile Post	Side	Description	Type	Surface Material	Gate*	Existing Configuration
	**					(Y/N)	
48	1.975	RT	25 1/2 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
49	2.040	LT	2554, 2555, 2556, 2558, 2560 Patterson Rd	BA/R	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
50	2.092	LT	2562 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
51	2.104	LT	2566 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
52	2.124	LT	2570 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
53	2.146	LT	2570 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
54	2.138	RT	Cider Mill Rd	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
55	2.165	LT	2566 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
56	2.181	LT	2572 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
57	2.204	LT	2574 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
58	2.209	LT	2576 Patterson Rd	BA/R	Asphalt/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
59	2.229	LT	2580 Patterson Rd	BA/R	Asphalt/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
60	2.231	RT	2945-101-00-167	R	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
61	2.233	LT	2580 Patterson Rd	BA/R	Asphalt/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
62	2.237	RT	25 3/4 Rd	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
63	2.268	LT	2582, 2584 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
64	2.353	LT	Meander Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
65	2.353	RT	Meander Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. 3/4 Movement
66	2.430	LT	2594, 2596 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Right In-Right Out
67	2.487	LT	26 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
68	2.487	RT	N 1st St	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
69	2.561	RT	Park Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Right In-Right Out
70	2.651	RT	2615 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement

ACCESS CONTROL PLAN

PATTERSON ROAD

I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)

* All access points are defined by the approximate CDOT reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on CDOT Highway Data Explorer.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections shall accommodate U-turns for design vehicle
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
- 6 .Implement with development, redevelopment or use change
7. If City, Town, County or CDOT improves SH 402 or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the highway or local street system is provided.
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.

Access ID No.	Mile Post	Side	Description	Type	Surface Material	Gate*	Existing Configuration
	**					(Y/N)	
71	2.674	RT	2615 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
72	2.706	RT	2621 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
73	2.714	RT	2623 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
74	2.718	LT	2626 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
75	2.722	RT	2623 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
76	2.732	RT	2625 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
77	2.740	LT	2626 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
78	2.746	RT	2625 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
79	2.749	LT	2628 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
80	2.756	RT	326 Belaire Dr	R	Gravel	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
81	2.761	LT	2628 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
82	2.765	RT	336 Belaire Dr	R	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
83	2.768	LT	2630 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
84	2.779	LT	2630 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
85	2.785	LT	2632 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
86	2.794	RT	Mira Vista Rd	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
87	2.807	LT	2634 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
88	2.818	LT	2634 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
89	2.829	LT	2636, 2638 Patterson Rd	BA/R	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
90	2.848	LT	2640 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Right Out-Left Out
91	2.859	LT	2640 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Right In-Left In
92	2.867	LT	2642 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Right Out-Left Out
93	2.867	RT	2635 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate CDOT reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on CDOT Highway Data Explorer.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections shall accommodate U-turns for design vehicle
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
- 6 .Implement with development, redevelopment or use change
7. If City, Town, County or CDOT improves SH 402 or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the highway or local street system is provided.
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.

Access ID No.	Mile Post	Side	Description	Type	Surface Material	Gate*	Existing Configuration
	**					(Y/N)	
94	2.878	LT	2642 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Right In-Left In
95	2.894	LT	2644 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
96	2.910	LT	2646 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
97	2.943	LT	2646, 2648 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
98	2.960	LT	2648 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
99	3.000	LT	26 1/2 Rd/N 7th St	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
100	3.000	RT	26 1/2 Rd/N 7th St	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
101	3.072	LT	N 8th Ct	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
102	3.136	RT	2661 Patterson Rd, 750 Wellington Ave	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. 3/4 Movement
103	3.164	LT	2666 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
104	3.190	LT	View Point Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
105	3.216	LT	2674 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
106	3.262	LT	26 3/4 Rd	PRU	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
107	3.308	LT	2416 Patterson Rd, 935, 959 Northern Way	R	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
108	3.333	LT	Northern Way	PRU	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
109	3.333	RT	Private road, 2683 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
110	3.353	RT	2683 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
111	3.358	LT	960 Northern Way	R	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
112	3.368	LT	2686 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt/Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
113	3.376	RT	2683 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
114	3.391	RT	2687 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
115	3.395	LT	2686 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt/Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
116	3.426	RT	2691, 2695, 2699 Patterson Rd, 2531, 2511 N 12th St	BA	Asphalt/Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate CDOT reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on CDOT Highway Data Explorer.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections shall accommodate U-turns for design vehicle
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
- 6 .Implement with development, redevelopment or use change
7. If City, Town, County or CDOT improves SH 402 or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the highway or local street system is provided.
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.

Access ID No.	Mile Post	Side	Description	Type	Surface Material	Gate*	Existing Configuration
	**					(Y/N)	
117	3.447	RT	2691, 2695, 2699 Patterson Rd, 2531, 2511 N 12th St	BA	Asphalt/Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
118	3.456	LT	2686 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
119	3.515	LT	N 12th St	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
120	3.515	RT	N 12th St	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
121	3.560	LT	2702 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Right In-Right Out
122	3.574	LT	2708 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Right In-Right Out
123	3.585	RT	2600 N 12th St	BA	Concrete	N	Unsig. 3/4 Movement
124	3.592	LT	2708 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
125	3.611	RT	2712 Patters	PO	Concrete	N	Right In-Right Out
125	3.619	LT	2710 Patterson Rd	BA	Concrete	N	Right In-Right Out
126	3.639	LT	2714 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Right In-Right Out
127	3.643	LT	2718 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete	N	Right In-Right Out
128	3.659	LT	2718 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
129	3.664	RT	2721 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
130	3.744	RT	2721 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
131	3.773	RT	N 15th St	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
132	3.773	LT	N 15th St	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
133	3.805	LT	2726 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
134	3.811	LT	2728 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
135	3.837	RT	2680 N 15th St	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
136	3.853	LT	2734 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
137	3.872	LT	2736 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
138	3.875	RT	2737, 2741, 2745 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate CDOT reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on CDOT Highway Data Explorer.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections shall accommodate U-turns for design vehicle
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
- 6 .Implement with development, redevelopment or use change
7. If City, Town, County or CDOT improves SH 402 or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the highway or local street system is provided.
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.

Access ID No.	Mile Post	Side	Description	Type	Surface Material	Gate*	Existing Configuration
	**					(Y/N)	
139	3.887	RT	2737 Patterson Rd	FA	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
140	3.902	LT	2738 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
141	3.934	RT	2737, 2741, 2745 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
142	3.942	LT	2742 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
143	3.967	LT	Empty lot	FA	Asphalt	N	Sig. Full Movement
144	4.015	LT	Empty lot	FA	Asphalt	N	Sig. Full Movement
145	4.030	LT	27 1/2 Rd	PRS	Asphalt	N	Sig. Full Movement
146	4.061	RT	2751, 2765 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
147	4.121	LT	Spring Valley Cir	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. 3/4 Movement
148	4.121	RT	2751, 2765 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
149	4.250	RT	2771, 2773, 2775 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
150	4.258	LT	Beechwood St	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
152	4.292	RT	2777 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
153	4.323	LT	2778 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
154	4.356	LT	Pheasant Trail Ct	PRU	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
155	4.356	RT	El Corona Dr	PRU	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
156	4.384	RT	Mount View Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
157	4.457	RT	Mantey Heights Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
158	4.504	RT	Santa Fe Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
159	4.546	LT	28 Rd	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
160	4.558	RT	2801 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
161	4.584	RT	E Park Ave	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
162	4.620	RT	2811 Patterson Rd	R	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement

ACCESS CONTROL PLAN PATTERSON ROAD I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)

* All access points are defined by the approximate CDOT reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on CDOT Highway Data Explorer.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections shall accommodate U-turns for design vehicle
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
- 6 .Implement with development, redevelopment or use change
7. If City, Town, County or CDOT improves SH 402 or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the highway or local street system is provided.
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.

Access ID No.	Mile Post	Side	Description	Type	Surface Material	Gate*	Existing Configuration
	**					(Y/N)	
163	4.677	RT	Rio Grande Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
164	4.677	LT	2814 Patterson Rd, 615 28 1/4 Rd	R/PVRU	Asphalt		Unsig. 3/4 Movement
165	4.739	RT	2813, 2815, 2825 Patterson Rd	BA	Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
166	4.776	RT	2813, 2815, 2825 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
167	4.828	RT	28 1/4 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
168	4.828	LT	28 1/4 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
169	4.866	RT	2827 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
170	4.916	RT	2835 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
171	4.930	LT	2844 Patterson Rd	PVRU	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
172	4.946	RT	Grand Cascade Way	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
173	4.972	LT	2844 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
174	4.980	LT	2844 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
175	5.000	LT	2844 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
176	5.037	LT	2844 Patterson Rd	FA	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
177	5.048	LT	2844 Patterson Rd	FA	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
178	5.082	LT	2854 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
179	5.111	LT	2856 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
180	5.153	LT	2844 Patterson Rd	FA	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
181	5.165	RT	Legends Way	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
182	5.189	LT	2872 Patterson Rd	FA	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
183	5.229	LT	2872 Patterson Rd	FA	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
184	5.248	LT	28 3/4 Rd	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
185	5.264	RT	598 Sinatra Way	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate CDOT reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on CDOT Highway Data Explorer.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections shall accommodate U-turns for design vehicle
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
- 6 .Implement with development, redevelopment or use change
7. If City, Town, County or CDOT improves SH 402 or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the highway or local street system is provided.
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.

Access ID No.	Mile Post	Side	Description	Type	Surface Material	Gate*	Existing Configuration
	**					(Y/N)	
186	5.277	LT	604 28 3/4 Rd	BA	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
187	5.280	RT	598 Sinatra Way	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
188	5.288	LT	2876 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
189	5.302	LT	2876 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
190	5.303	RT	598 Sinatra Way	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
191	5.326	RT	2879 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
192	5.360	RT	W Indian Creek Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
193	5.360	LT	W Indian Creek Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
194	5.438	RT	Belhavan Way	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
195	5.447	RT	2893 Patterson Rd	BA	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
196	5.488	LT	E Indian Creek Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
197	5.488	RT	2893 Patterson Rd	BA	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
198	5.527	RT	2893 Patterson Rd	BA	Concrete/Gravel	N	Right In-Right Out
199	5.572	RT	29 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
200	5.572	LT	29 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
199	5.603	RT	Pull off	PO	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
201	5.610	LT	2902, 2904, 2906 Patterson Rd, 606, 608 29 Rd	BA	Concrete	N	Right In-Right Out
202	5.645	LT	2908 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
203	5.662	LT	2910 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
204	5.679	LT	2912 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Dirt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
205	5.679	RT	2901, 2903, 2905, 2913, 2915 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. 3/4 Movement
206	5.696	LT	2914 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
207	5.719	RT	2901, 2903, 2905, 2913, 2915 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Right In-Right Out

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate CDOT reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on CDOT Highway Data Explorer.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections shall accommodate U-turns for design vehicle
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
- 6 .Implement with development, redevelopment or use change
7. If City, Town, County or CDOT improves SH 402 or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the highway or local street system is provided.
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.

Access ID No.	Mile Post	Side	Description	Type	Surface Material	Gate*	Existing Configuration
	**					(Y/N)	
208	5.732	LT	Partee Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
209	5.750	RT	2917 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt/Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
210	5.758	LT	2918 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt/Concrete	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
211	5.792	LT	Cris-Mar St	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
212	5.795	RT	Redwing Ln	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
213	5.823	LT	2943-053-40-000	R	Concrete	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
214	5.836	LT	2926 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
215	5.858	LT	2926 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
216	5.858	RT	29 1/4 Rd	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
217	5.880	LT	2934 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Dirt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
218	5.891	LT	2934 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Dirt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
219	5.897	LT	2938 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
220	5.905	LT	2938 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
221	5.931	LT	29 3/8 Rd	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
222	5.931	RT	29 3/8 Rd	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
223	5.951	LT	2940 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
224	5.969	LT	2942 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
225	5.974	RT	2939 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
226	6.000	LT	2944 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Dirt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
227	6.020	RT	Colanwood St	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
228	6.025	LT	2948 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. 3/4 Movement
229	6.041	RT	2945 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
230	6.057	RT	599 29 1/2 Rd	BA	Concrete/Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate CDOT reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on CDOT Highway Data Explorer.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections shall accommodate U-turns for design vehicle
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
- 6 .Implement with development, redevelopment or use change
7. If City, Town, County or CDOT improves SH 402 or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the highway or local street system is provided.
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.

Access ID No.	Mile Post	Side	Description	Type	Surface Material	Gate*	Existing Configuration
	**					(Y/N)	
231	6.087	RT	29 1/2 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
232	6.087	LT	29 1/2 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
233	6.160	RT	E Greenfield Cir	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
234	6.188	LT	Pioneer Rd	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
235	6.243	LT	Broken Spoke Rd	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
236	6.282	RT	Darby Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
237	6.345	LT	Maintenance access	MA	Gravel		Unsig. Full Movement
238	6.352	RT	2977 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Dirt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
239	6.390	LT	2980 Patterson Rd	FA	Concrete	N	Sig. Full Movement
240	6.400	RT	Placer St	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
241	6.400	LT	2982 Patterson Rd	FA	Concrete	N	Sig. Full Movement
242	6.400	LT	2982 Patterson Rd	FA	Concrete	N	Sig. Full Movement
243	6.474	RT	Maintenance access	MA	Concrete	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
244	6.497	LT	Hudson Bay Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
245	6.497	RT	599 30 Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
246	6.528	LT	2992 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. 3/4 Movement
247	6.532	RT	599 30 Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
248	6.600	RT	30 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
249	6.600	LT	30 Rd	PRS	Asphalt		Sig. Full Movement
250	6.667	LT	Ronlin Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
251	6.721	LT	Agana Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
252	6.721	RT	Agana Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
253	6.776	LT	Starlight Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate CDOT reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on CDOT Highway Data Explorer.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections shall accommodate U-turns for design vehicle
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
- 6 .Implement with development, redevelopment or use change
7. If City, Town, County or CDOT improves SH 402 or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the highway or local street system is provided.
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.

Access ID No.	Mile Post	Side	Description	Type	Surface Material	Gate*	Existing Configuration
	**					(Y/N)	
254	6.831	LT	Serenade St	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
255	6.831	RT	Serenade St	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
256	6.863	RT	3027 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
257	6.863	LT	3026 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
258	6.882	LT	3026 Patterson Rd	BA	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
259	6.897	LT	3028 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Dirt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
260	6.911	LT	3030 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
261	6.913	RT	McMullin Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
262	6.962	RT	Gerken Rd	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
263	6.962	LT	Round Table Rd	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
264	6.991	RT	599 Grand Valley Dr	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
265	7.002	RT	599 Grand Valley Dr	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
266	7.016	RT	Grand Valley Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
267	7.016	LT	Grand Valley Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
268	7.039	RT	598 Grand Valley Dr	FA	Dirt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
269	7.053	RT	3047 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
270	7.060	LT	3044 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
271	7.082	RT	3047 Patterson Rd	R	Asphalt	N	Unsig. Full Movement
272	7.111	RT	3049 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
273	7.120	LT	Mesa Valley Dr	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
274	7.147	LT	3054 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
275	7.147	RT	Shoshone St	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
276	7.168	LT	3054 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement

ACCESS CONTROL PLAN

PATTERSON ROAD

I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)

* All access points are defined by the approximate CDOT reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on CDOT Highway Data Explorer.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections shall accommodate U-turns for design vehicle
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
- 6 .Implement with development, redevelopment or use change
7. If City, Town, County or CDOT improves SH 402 or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the highway or local street system is provided.
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.

Access ID No.	Mile Post	Side	Description	Type	Surface Material	Gate*	Existing Configuration
	**					(Y/N)	
277	7.221	LT	Cottage Meadows Ct	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement
278	7.243	RT	3065 Patterson Rd	BA	Concrete/Gravel	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
279	7.256	LT	3064 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
280	7.264	LT	3066 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Dirt	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
281	7.276	LT	3068 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
282	7.279	RT	3067 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete/Gravel	N	Unsig. Full Movement
283	7.290	LT	3068 Patterson Rd	R	Concrete	N	Unsig. Full Movement
284	7.295	RT	3073 Patterson Rd	BA	Concrete/Gravel	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
285	7.319	RT	3073 Patterson Rd	FA	Concrete/Dirt	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
286	7.341	RT	3073 Patterson Rd	BA	Concrete/Asphalt	Y	Unsig. Full Movement
287	7.349	LT	Lodgepole St	PRU	Asphalt		Unsig. Full Movement

Legend	
Access Type	Abbreviation
Business/Commercial Access	BA
Field Access	FA
Maintenance Access	MA
Residential Access	R
Pull Off	PO
Public Road Signalized	PRS
Public Road Unsignalized	PRU
Private Road Unsignalized	PVRU

Appendix C - Crash History

#	Intersection	Date	Time	Severity	Distance From Int	Direction from Int	Road Description	Accident Type	Dir	Vehicle 1 Movement	Vehicle 2 Movement
1	PATTERSON RD & NORTH GATE DR	1/6/2014	11:35:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Backing	Stop in Traff
2	PATTERSON RD & 1ST ST	1/7/2014	10:01:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
3	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	1/7/2014	10:23:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Going Straight
4	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	1/8/2014	10:19:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Going Straight
5	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	1/9/2014	12:30:00 PM	PDO	50	South	Non-Int	Front to Rear	N	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
6	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	1/10/2014	2:46:00 PM	PDO	30	South	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
7	1ST ST & PATTERSON RD	1/17/2014	8:31:00 AM	PDO	25	North	Non-Int	Front to Rear	S	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
8	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	1/19/2014	12:42:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Going Straight
9	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	1/22/2014	2:42:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
10	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	1/24/2014	9:43:00 PM	PDO	62	South	Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	S	Going Straight	Going Straight
11	W. INDIAN CREEK DR & PATTERSON RD	1/24/2014	3:17:00 PM	PDO	50	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
12	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	1/27/2014	11:09:00 AM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Going Straight
13	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	1/28/2014	12:14:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Going Straight
14	PATTERSON RD & MIRA VISTA RD	1/30/2014	6:21:00 PM	PDO	200	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Slowing
15	12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	1/31/2014	1:04:00 PM	PDO	100	South	Non-Int	Front to Rear	N	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
16	PATTERSON RD & 1ST ST	2/1/2014	9:44:00 AM	PDO	20	East	At Intersection	Same Dir Side Side	W	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
17	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	2/3/2014	5:39:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Going Straight
18	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	2/4/2014	6:30:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Going Straight	Left Turn
19	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	2/4/2014	7:30:00 AM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Slowing	Stop in Traff
20	PATTERSON RD & PARTEE DR	2/6/2014	10:25:00 AM	PDO	30	West	Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	W	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
21	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	2/7/2014	11:49:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Going Straight
22	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	2/8/2014	6:47:00 PM	PDO	350	West	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Going Straight
23	PATTERSON RD & 8TH CT	2/10/2014	3:34:00 PM	PDO	0		Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
24	PATTERSON RD & SERANADE ST	2/13/2014	6:54:00 PM	PDO	50	West	Drive Acc Relat	Opp Dir Side Side	S	Left Turn	Going Straight
25	PATTERSON RD & GREENFIELD CIR EAST	2/14/2014	7:05:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Light/Util Pole	E	Right Turn	UNK
26	PATTERSON RD & 28 3/4 RD	2/19/2014	3:12:00 PM	PDO	80	East	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Slowing
27	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	2/19/2014	3:31:00 PM	PDO	150	North	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Going Straight
28	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	2/22/2014	3:20:00 PM	PDO	200	West	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Going Straight
29	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	2/24/2014	12:29:00 PM	PDO	500	South	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Going Straight
30	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	2/27/2014	4:35:00 PM	PDO	25	West	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Changing Lanes	Stop in Traff
31	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	2/28/2014	1:56:00 PM	PDO	100	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Going Straight
32	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	3/3/2014	2:06:00 PM	PDO	175	North	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Going Straight
33	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	3/4/2014	11:23:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Same Dir Side Side	E	Right Turn	Stop in Traff
34	7TH ST & PATTERSON RD	3/5/2014	1:57:00 PM	INJ	417	North	Drive Acc Relat	Overturning	S	Going Straight	Left Turn
35	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	3/7/2014	7:50:00 PM	PDO	1320	East	Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	E	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
36	PATTERSON RD & 1ST ST	3/8/2014	3:24:00 PM	PDO	100	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
37	I-70B & PATTERSON RD	3/9/2014	3:15:00 PM	INJ	1266	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Going Straight
38	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	3/11/2014	10:00:00 AM	PDO	20	East	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
39	PATTERSON RD & 1ST ST	3/11/2014	12:48:00 PM	PDO	300	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
40	PATTERSON RD & MARKET STREET	3/15/2014	9:37:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Going Straight	Going Straight
41	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	3/18/2014	11:31:00 AM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
42	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	3/18/2014	2:01:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Going Straight	Left Turn
43	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	3/19/2014	4:29:00 PM	PDO	80	South	Non-Int	Front to Rear	S	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
44	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	3/19/2014	2:37:00 PM	PDO	0		Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
45	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	3/20/2014	4:42:00 PM	PDO	778	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
46	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	3/23/2014	7:36:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Going Straight	Going Straight
47	PATTERSON RD & BEECHWOOD ST	3/24/2014	4:01:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Right Turn	Going Straight
48	PATTERSON RD & 26 1/4 RD	3/24/2014	4:35:00 PM	PDO	0		Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
49	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	3/26/2014	11:54:00 AM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
50	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	3/26/2014	4:15:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Left Turn	Left Turn
51	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	3/28/2014	8:20:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Stop in Traff
52	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	3/30/2014	11:00:00 AM	PDO	500	South	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Going Straight
53	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	3/31/2014	8:00:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
54	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	4/1/2014	11:00:00 AM	PDO	150	North	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Going Straight
55	PATTERSON RD & HOME DEPOT	4/3/2014	4:34:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Going Straight
56	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	4/3/2014	3:39:00 PM	PDO	500	South	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Going Straight
57	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	4/3/2014	1:47:00 PM	PDO	100	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
58	PATTERSON RD & GRAND CASCADE WAY	4/4/2014	9:17:00 AM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
59	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	4/4/2014	12:09:00 PM	PDO	500	South	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Going Straight
60	PATTERSON RD & HOME DEPOT	4/5/2014	11:58:00 AM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
61	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	4/6/2014	2:09:00 PM	PDO	100	East	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stop in Traff
62	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	4/8/2014	2:57:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Going Straight
63	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	4/10/2014	9:31:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Front	E	Right Turn	Left Turn
64	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	4/11/2014	7:40:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Going Straight	Left Turn
65	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	4/12/2014	9:04:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Going Straight
66	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	4/16/2014	4:39:00 PM	PDO	241	South	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
67	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	4/17/2014	2:11:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	E	Right Turn	Left Turn
68	PATTERSON RD & MEANDER DR	4/18/2014	3:44:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Going Straight
69	7TH ST & PATTERSON RD	4/18/2014	2:09:00 PM	PDO	30	South	Non-Int	Front to Rear	N	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
70	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	4/21/2014	2:37:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Left Turn
71	RIO GRANDE DR & PATTERSON RD	4/21/2014	4:42:00 PM	PDO	40	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
72	1ST ST & PATTERSON RD	4/22/2014	5:00:00 PM	PDO	20	South	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
73	SERANADE ST & PATTERSON RD	4/23/2014	7:16:00 AM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Park Motor Veh	W	Other	Parked
74	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	4/23/2014	10:53:00 AM	PDO	50	North	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Backing	Stop in Traff
75	PATTERSON RD & MARKET STREET	4/25/2014	12:26:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Going Straight
76	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	4/27/2014	3:11:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Right Turn	Going Straight
77	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	4/28/2014	5:22:00 PM	PDO	500	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Slowing
78	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	4/30/2014	8:09:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Going Straight
79	PATTERSON RD & 15TH ST	5/1/2014	8:18:00 AM	PDO	300	West	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
80	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD	5/2/2014	5:45:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	S	Left Turn	Going Straight
81	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	5/4/2014	7:24:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Going Straight	Going Straight
82	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	5/5/2014	4:11:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Going Straight	Going Straight
83	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	5/5/2014	1:11:00 PM	PDO	530	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
84	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	5/8/2014	3:44:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Going Straight
85	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	5/8/2014	7:08:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Going Straight	Left Turn
86	PATTERSON RD & GRAND CASCADE WAY	5/8/2014	8:00:00 AM	PDO	400	East	Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	W	U-Turn	Going Straight
87	PATTERSON RD & 1ST ST	5/9/2014	10:13:00 AM	PDO	100	West	Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	E	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
88	PATTERSON RD & RIO GRANDE DR	5/13/2014	5:09:00 PM	PDO	10	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
89	PATTERSON RD & PARTEE DR	5/14/2014	7:52:00 AM	PDO	200	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
90	PATTERSON RD & 15TH ST	5/21/2014	8:30:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Going Straight	Left Turn
91	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	5/28/2014	9:32:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Going Straight	Left Turn
92	PATTERSON RD & MCMULLIN DR	5/30/2014	2:02:00 PM	PDO	90	West	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
93	PATTERSON RD & 1ST ST	6/2/2014	3:14:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Going Straight
94	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	6/4/2014	10:52:00 AM	PDO	275	East	Intersection Related	Same Dir Side Side	W	Changing Lanes	Changing Lanes
95	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	6/4/2014	6:29:00 PM	PDO	25	East	Intersection Related	Same Dir Side Side	W	Right Turn	Going Straight
96	PATTERSON RD & MARKET STREET	6/4/2014	3:16:00 PM	PDO	30	East	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stop in Traff
97	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	6/6/2014	9:58:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Going Straight
98	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	6/7/2014	10:51:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Going Straight
99	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	6/9/2014	5:24:00 PM	PDO	1320	West	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Slowing
100	LEGENDS WAY & PATTERSON RD	6/13/2014	3:14:00 PM	PDO	20	South	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
101	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	6/14/2014	9:44:00 AM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Going Straight
102	29 RD & PATTERSON RD	6/15/2014	3:06:00 PM	PDO	50	East	Intersection Related	Front to Side	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
103	29 RD & PATTERSON RD	6/18/2014	7:47:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Opp Dir Side Side	W	Left Turn	Stop in Traff
104	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	6/18/2014	1:57:00 PM	PDO	100	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
105	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	6/20/2014	8:27:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Going Straight
106	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	6/21/2014	3:38:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Tree	E	Going Straight	Going Straight
107	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	6/21/2014	1:24:00 PM	PDO	250	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
108	PATTERSON RD & 25 3/4 RD	6/26/2014	8:42:00 PM	PDO	139	East	Non-Int	Wild Animal	W	Going Straight	UNK
109	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	6/27/2014	9:57:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Same Dir Side Side	N	Right Turn	Going Straight
110	PATTERSON RD & SPRING VALLEY CIR	6/27/2014	9:37:00 AM	PDO	0		Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
111	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	6/28/2014	8:44:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Right Turn	Going Straight
112	PATTERSON RD & SANTA FE DR	7/1/2014	5:21:00 PM	INJ	0		Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
113	PATTERSON RD & COMMERCE BLVD	7/1/2014	12:31:00 PM	PDO	200	East	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Going Straight
114	25 3/4 RD & PATTERSON RD	7/2/2014	5:4								

#	Intersection	Date	Time	Severity	Distance From Int	Direction from Int	Road Description	Accident Type	Dir	Vehicle 1 Movement	Vehicle 2 Movement
119	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD	7/21/2014	1:03:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Going Straight
120	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	7/22/2014	11:15:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	N	Left Turn	Going Straight
121	28 1/4 RD & PATTERSON RD	7/25/2014	1:37:00 PM	PDO	50	South	At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
122	PATTERSON RD & MEANDER DR	7/30/2014	12:24:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Going Straight	Going Straight
123	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	8/1/2014	6:05:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Rear to Rear	W	Left Turn	Going Straight
124	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	8/1/2014	6:55:00 PM	INJ	1238	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
125	PATTERSON RD & MARKET STREET	8/2/2014	4:29:00 PM	PDO	20	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
126	PATTERSON RD & FORESIGHT CIR SOUTH	8/4/2014	9:24:00 AM	PDO	30	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
127	PATTERSON RD & MEANDER DR	8/4/2014	9:49:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Right Turn	Going Straight
128	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	8/6/2014	4:09:00 PM	PDO	40	West	Intersection Related	Same Dir Side Side	E	Right Turn	Going Straight
129	PATTERSON RD & MARKET STREET	8/9/2014	12:19:00 PM	PDO	350	East	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Going Straight
130	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	8/11/2014	7:25:00 AM	PDO	200	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
131	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	8/11/2014	9:24:00 AM	PDO	200	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
132	PATTERSON RD & EAST INDIAN CREEK D	8/11/2014	5:42:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
133	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	8/14/2014	10:44:00 AM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Right Turn	Going Straight
134	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	8/14/2014	1:23:00 PM	PDO	500	South	At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Going Straight
135	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	8/15/2014	11:13:00 AM	PDO	0		Drive Acc Relat	Front to Rear	N	Going Straight	Going Straight
136	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	8/15/2014	5:08:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
137	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	8/19/2014	10:19:00 AM	PDO	500	South	At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Backing	Stop in Traff
138	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	8/19/2014	12:20:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Same Dir Side Side	SE	Left Turn	Left Turn
139	PATTERSON RD & MEANDER DR	8/20/2014	4:05:00 PM	INJ	15	East	At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
140	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	8/20/2014	9:00:00 AM	PDO	250	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
141	I-70B & PATTERSON RD	8/20/2014	1:57:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Sign	E	Left Turn	UNK
142	30 RD & PATTERSON RD	8/21/2014	4:40:00 PM	PDO	100	South	Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	N	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
143	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	8/21/2014	7:23:00 AM	PDO	20	East	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Right Turn
144	PATTERSON RD & RIO GRANDE DR	8/22/2014	5:23:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stop in Traff
145	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	8/23/2014	9:00:00 AM	PDO	632	East	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
146	12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	8/23/2014	5:11:00 PM	PDO	150	South	Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	N	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
147	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	8/25/2014	10:38:00 AM	PDO	100	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
148	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	8/25/2014	4:48:00 PM	PDO	700	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Slowing
149	29 RD & PATTERSON RD	8/26/2014	12:19:00 PM	PDO	350	South	Drive Acc Relat	Same Dir Side Side	N	Right Turn	Right Turn
150	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	8/27/2014	8:53:00 AM	INJ	775	West	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
151	7TH ST & PATTERSON RD	8/27/2014	3:40:00 PM	INJ	30	South	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Going Straight	Going Straight
152	PATTERSON RD & 1ST ST	8/27/2014	2:02:00 PM	PDO	30	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
153	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	8/27/2014	3:32:00 PM	PDO	200	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Going Straight
154	PATTERSON RD & MARKET STREET	8/28/2014	10:58:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Going Straight	Left Turn
155	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	8/31/2014	3:17:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Overturning	N	Right Turn	Going Straight
156	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	9/1/2014	6:48:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
157	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	9/2/2014	4:22:00 PM	INJ	512	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
158	PATTERSON RD & MIRA VISTA DR	9/2/2014	6:01:00 PM	PDO	377	West	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Going Straight
159	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	9/3/2014	10:33:00 AM	INJ	250	East	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Going Straight
160	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/4/2014	3:18:00 PM	INJ	150	North	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Going Straight
161	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	9/5/2014	4:26:00 PM	PDO	250	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
162	PATTERSON RD & 1ST ST	9/6/2014	7:42:00 PM	INJ	750	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
163	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	9/8/2014	4:53:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Other Harm Obj	S	Backing	Stop in Traff
164	PATTERSON RD & 15TH ST	9/11/2014	7:39:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Going Straight	Left Turn
165	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	9/13/2014	9:25:00 PM	PDO	100	East	Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	E	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
166	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	9/14/2014	9:39:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Overturning	E	Left Turn	Going Straight
167	PATTERSON RD & BURKEY ST	9/15/2014	9:38:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Right Turn
168	28 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/15/2014	1:14:00 PM	PDO	30	North	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Backing	Stop in Traff
169	PATTERSON RD & MESA VALLEY DR	9/17/2014	6:19:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Changing Lanes	Other
170	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	9/22/2014	11:18:00 AM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Going Straight
171	PATTERSON RD & CRIS-MAR ST	9/22/2014	2:54:00 PM	PDO	0		Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	W	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
172	PATTERSON RD & BECHWOOD ST	9/24/2014	8:03:00 AM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
173	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	9/25/2014	1:49:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Same Dir Side Side	W	Left Turn	Left Turn
174	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	9/25/2014	2:12:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Same Dir Side Side	E	Right Turn	Going Straight
175	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/29/2014	4:09:00 PM	INJ	150	North	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Going Straight
176	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	9/29/2014	11:26:00 AM	PDO	100	East	Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	E	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
177	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	9/29/2014	7:22:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Left Turn	Going Straight
178	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	9/30/2014	1:39:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Going Straight	Going Straight
179	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	10/1/2014	5:48:00 PM	PDO	165	South	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Going Straight	Slowing
180	PATTERSON RD & MARKET STREET	10/2/2014	1:53:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Going Straight	Left Turn
181	PATTERSON RD & 1ST ST	10/3/2014	12:31:00 PM	PDO	1150	East	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
182	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	10/9/2014	1:15:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Left Turn
183	PATTERSON RD & HOME DEPOT	10/13/2014	2:36:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Right Turn	Going Straight
184	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	10/14/2014	6:21:00 PM	PDO	600	West	Non-Int	Front to Side	E	U-Turn	Going Straight
185	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	10/15/2014	11:59:00 AM	PDO	500	South	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	E	Going Straight	Going Straight
186	PATTERSON RD & EL CORONA DR	10/15/2014	5:22:00 PM	PDO	150	West	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
187	PATTERSON RD & 26 3/4 RD	10/17/2014	4:33:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Curb	S	Left Turn	Going Straight
188	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	10/17/2014	4:01:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Right Turn	Going Straight
189	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	10/18/2014	1:41:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
190	PATTERSON RD & PHEASANT TRAIL CT	10/19/2014	2:33:00 PM	PDO	150	East	Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	W	Other	Going Straight
191	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD	10/19/2014	12:32:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Going Straight
192	PATTERSON RD & PARK DR	10/19/2014	6:58:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Same Dir Side Side	E	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
193	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	10/21/2014	7:57:00 AM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
194	I-70B & PATTERSON RD	10/22/2014	3:46:00 PM	PDO	200	West	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
195	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD	10/24/2014	9:24:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Right Turn
196	PATTERSON RD & SPRING VALLEY CIR	11/4/2014	7:49:00 AM	PDO	0		Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Going Straight
197	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	11/4/2014	1:29:00 PM	PDO	500	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
198	PATTERSON RD & PIONEER RD	11/5/2014	11:54:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Bicycle Collision	S	Going Straight	Going Straight
199	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	11/5/2014	9:04:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Going Straight
200	PATTERSON RD & VIEWPOINT DR	11/8/2014	11:48:00 AM	INJ	50	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Changing Lanes	Slowing
201	PATTERSON RD & HOME DEPOT	11/8/2014	6:08:00 PM	INJ	500	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
202	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	11/11/2014	4:36:00 PM	PDO	300	East	Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	W	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
203	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	11/11/2014	5:43:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Right Turn	Going Straight
204	PATTERSON RD & 26 3/4 RD	11/12/2014	5:39:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
205	PATTERSON RD & 15TH ST	11/14/2014	2:03:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Going Straight
206	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	11/18/2014	4:05:00 PM	PDO	0		Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Going Straight
207	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	11/18/2014	5:40:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	E	Going Straight	Left Turn
208	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	11/19/2014	8:50:00 AM	INJ	266	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
209	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	11/21/2014	12:45:00 PM	PDO	693	East	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
210	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	11/21/2014	11:23:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Going Straight
211	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	11/21/2014	5:23:00 PM	PDO	150	East	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
212	PATTERSON RD & HOME DEPOT	11/24/2014	1:24:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Going Straight
213	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD	11/25/2014	11:06:00 AM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Going Straight
214	29 RD & PATTERSON RD	11/26/2014	7:22:00 PM	PDO	190	North	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Going Straight
215	PATTERSON RD & BROKEN SPOKE RD	11/26/2014	6:57:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
216	MARKET STREET & PATTERSON RD	11/28/2014	2:01:00 PM	PDO	40	North	Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	S	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
217	PATTERSON RD & HOME DEPOT	11/29/2014	12:57:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Going Straight
218	PATTERSON RD & VIEWPOINT DR	12/1/2014	7:40:00 AM	PDO	0		Non-Int	Wild Animal	W	Going Straight	UNK
219	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	12/3/2014	5:49:00 PM	PDO	0		Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	N	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
220	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	12/5/2014	1:24:00 PM	PDO	100	West	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Going Straight	Slowing
221	PATTERSON RD & 1ST ST	12/5/2014	9:55:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Going Straight
222	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	12/6/2014	7:47:00 AM	INJ	100	East	Non-Int	Same Dir Side Side	W	Changing Lanes	Going Straight
223	25 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	12/8/2014	6:30:00 PM	PDO	158	North	Drive Acc Relat	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Going Straight
224	MEANDER DR & PATTERSON RD	12/9/2014	5:18:00 PM	PDO	200	West	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stop in Traff
225	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	12/9/2014	6:17:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Same Dir Side Side	E	Going Straight	Going Straight
226	PATTERSON RD & 15TH ST	12/12/2014	3:39:00 PM	PDO	300	East	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Slowing
227	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	12/12/2014	8:16:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Going Straight	Stop in Traff
228	PATTERSON RD & 12TH ST	12/14/2014	10:44:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Going Straight
229	I-70B & PATTERSON RD	12/15/2014	5:24:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Going Straight
230	PATTERSON RD & 7TH ST	12/15/2014	5:23:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection				

#	Intersection	Date	Time	Severity	Distance From Int	Direction from Int	Road Description	Accident Type	Dir	Vehicle 1 Movement	Vehicle 2 Movement
237	PATTERSON RD & LEGENDS WAY	12/29/2014	11:10:00 AM	PDO	0		Non-Int	Sign	E	Right Turn	UNK
238	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	1/1/2015	8:01:00 PM	INJ	120	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Straight
239	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	1/5/2015	1:10:00 PM	PDO			Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Straight
240	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	1/6/2015	4:31:00 PM	PDO	1150	W	Intersection Related	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Straight
241	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	1/7/2015	3:20:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Slowing	Stopped
242	PATTERSON RD & W INDIAN CREEK DR	1/8/2015	5:38:00 PM	PDO			Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
243	PATTERSON RD & E INDIAN CREEK DR	1/9/2015	10:27:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following road
244	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	1/12/2015	5:05:00 PM	PDO			Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Slowing
245	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	1/17/2015	2:06:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
246	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	1/18/2015	9:58:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Left Turn	Straight
247	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	1/23/2015	12:47:00 PM	INJ	607	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	U-Turn	Straight
248	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	1/27/2015	10:00:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight	Straight
249	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	1/30/2015	3:56:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Stopped
250	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	1/30/2015	2:36:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Sign	S	Straight	UNK
251	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	2/2/2015	3:46:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Straight
252	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	2/4/2015	7:39:00 PM	PDO	600	E	At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
253	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	2/10/2015	5:34:00 PM	INJ	300	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
254	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	2/10/2015	11:32:00 AM	PDO	30	E	At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
255	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	2/10/2015	3:18:00 PM	PDO	300	N	Non-Intersection	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Straight
256	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	2/11/2015	6:48:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	N	U-Turn	Straight
257	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	2/16/2015	12:34:00 PM	PDO	50	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
258	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	2/18/2015	4:28:00 PM	PDO	350	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
259	N 7TH ST & PATTERSON RD	2/19/2015	12:12:00 PM	PDO	50	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Changing Lanes	Stopped
260	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	2/21/2015	8:47:00 PM	PDO	300	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Right Turn
261	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	2/23/2015	12:44:00 PM	INJ	40	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Stopped
262	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	2/24/2015	3:16:00 PM	PDO	100	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	N	Slowing	Straight
263	30 RD & PATTERSON RD	2/24/2015	7:30:00 PM	PDO	20	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Stopped
264	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	2/27/2015	5:23:00 PM	PDO	100	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
265	PATTERSON RD & BEECHWOOD ST	2/27/2015	11:07:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Curb	E	Left Turn	Straight
266	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	2/28/2015	12:43:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Right Turn	Straight
267	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	2/28/2015	10:13:00 PM	PDO	100	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
268	PATTERSON RD & MIRA VISTA RD	3/2/2015	5:37:00 PM	PDO	75	E	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Changing Lanes	Straight
269	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	3/3/2015	12:41:00 PM	INJ	80	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
270	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	3/5/2015	11:17:00 PM	PDO			Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
271	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	3/6/2015	3:46:00 AM	PDO	726	N	Non-Intersection	Sign	S	Straight	UNK
272	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	3/6/2015	8:46:00 AM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Slowing
273	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	3/7/2015	8:45:00 PM	INJ	300	S	Non-Intersection	All Other Peds	S	Straight	UNK
274	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	3/9/2015	2:20:00 PM	PDO	150	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Front	N	Left Turn	Straight
275	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	3/10/2015	3:47:00 PM	PDO	900	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	UNK
276	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	3/11/2015	5:52:00 AM	PDO	300	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
277	PATTERSON RD & 30 3/4 RD	3/12/2015	11:10:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight	Straight
278	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	3/13/2015	5:22:00 PM	PDO	200	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Straight
279	29 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	3/13/2015	12:33:00 PM	PDO	20	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Straight
280	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	3/16/2015	8:37:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight	Straight
281	PATTERSON RD & PATTERSON RD	3/18/2015	1:26:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Light Pole / Utility Pole	E	Left Turn	Straight
282	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	3/19/2015	6:44:00 AM	PDO	300	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
283	PATTERSON RD & EL CORONA DR	3/19/2015	8:10:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Straight
284	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	3/20/2015	6:43:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Front	S	Left Turn	Straight
285	PATTERSON RD & MEANDER DR	3/20/2015	1:53:00 PM	PDO			Driveway Access Related	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Straight
286	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	3/20/2015	8:08:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight	Straight
287	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	3/21/2015	1:09:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Straight
288	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	3/22/2015	5:31:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
289	PATTERSON RD & PARK DR	3/25/2015	1:45:00 PM	PDO	50	W	Non-Intersection	Guard Rail	W	Straight	UNK
290	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	3/27/2015	1:38:00 PM	PDO	100	E	Driveway Access Related	Side to Side Same Dir	S	Right Turn	Straight
291	PATTERSON RD & BURKEY ST	3/30/2015	7:32:00 AM	PDO	50	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
292	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	3/30/2015	5:33:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	N	Right Turn	Straight
293	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	4/1/2015	12:23:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
294	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	4/1/2015	6:01:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Straight	Straight
295	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	4/2/2015	7:09:00 PM	INJ	50	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
296	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	4/2/2015	4:54:00 PM	INJ	100	E	Driveway Access Related	Other - Non Collision	N	Right Turn	Straight
297	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	4/6/2015	5:29:00 PM	PDO	40	W	At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
298	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	4/8/2015	3:47:00 PM	PDO	140	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
299	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	4/9/2015	11:27:00 AM	PDO	50	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
300	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	4/11/2015	2:38:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
301	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	4/11/2015	11:52:00 AM	INJ	20	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
302	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	4/11/2015	7:44:00 PM	PDO	492	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
303	PATTERSON RD & PATTERSON RD	4/11/2015	12:36:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
304	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	4/12/2015	11:36:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Front	S	Left Turn	Straight
305	PATTERSON RD & FORESIGHT CIR	4/13/2015	6:03:00 PM	PDO	380	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	W	Changing Lanes	Straight
306	PATTERSON RD & PATTERSON RD	4/13/2015	8:17:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Traffic Signal Pole	W	Right Turn	UNK
307	PATTERSON RD & SPRING VALLEY CIR	4/13/2015	8:18:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Right Turn	Straight
308	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD	4/14/2015	5:15:00 PM	PDO	100	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
309	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	4/15/2015	10:40:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	N	Right Turn	Left Turn
310	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	4/16/2015	10:13:00 AM	PDO	600	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight
311	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	4/18/2015	6:29:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight	Straight
312	PATTERSON RD & NORTHERN WAY	4/19/2015	3:17:00 AM	PDO			Non-Intersection	Guard Rail	W	Straight	UNK
313	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	4/20/2015	8:03:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Overturning	W	Left Turn	Straight
314	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	4/20/2015	4:51:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Slowing	Stopped
315	PATTERSON RD & SPRING VALLEY CIR	4/20/2015	8:25:00 AM	PDO	60	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Straight
316	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	4/20/2015	7:46:00 AM	PDO	750	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
317	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	4/22/2015	4:58:00 PM	PDO	100	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Straight
318	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	4/23/2015	7:54:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Straight	Straight
319	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	4/24/2015	7:20:00 PM	PDO	260	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Straight
320	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	4/25/2015	11:53:00 PM	INJ			Parking Lot	Front to Side	W	Right Turn	Parked
321	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	4/25/2015	8:31:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight	Left Turn
322	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	5/7/2015	9:44:00 AM	FAT			At Intersection	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Straight
323	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	5/7/2015	5:28:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
324	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	5/8/2015	11:57:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Front	S	Left Turn	Straight
325	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	5/9/2015	12:36:00 PM	PDO	100	S	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	N	Changing Lanes	Stopped
326	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	5/10/2015	1:29:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Front	N	Left Turn	Left Turn
327	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	5/11/2015	3:35:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Straight
328	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	5/13/2015	8:00:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
329	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	5/14/2015	3:28:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Front	W	Straight	Left Turn
330	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	5/15/2015	9:45:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Front	S	Straight	Straight
331	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	5/16/2015	7:02:00 PM	PDO	50	W	At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
332	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	5/17/2015	7:43:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight	Left Turn
333	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	5/18/2015	3:59:00 PM	INJ	500	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
334	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	5/19/2015	1:02:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Stopped
335	29 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	5/22/2015	1:09:00 PM	PDO	84	S	Intersection Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight
336	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	5/23/2015	5:37:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Straight
337	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	5/23/2015	5:37:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Straight
338	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	5/26/2015	7:57:00 AM	PDO	129	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
339	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	5/27/2015	11:32:00 AM	PDO	460	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Slowing
340	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	5/27/2015	4:54:00 PM	PDO	200	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Slowing
341	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	6/2/2015	2:08:00 PM	INJ	400	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
342	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	6/5/2015	3:53:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
343	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	6/10/2015	4:28:00 PM	PDO	100	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
344	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	6/11/2015	12:08:00 PM	PDO	150	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Straight
345	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	6/12/2015	1:02:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Straight
346	PATTERSON RD & PATTERSON RD	6/13/2015	1:26:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
347	PATTERSON RD & DARBY DR	6/14/2015	1:00:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Mailbox	E	Straight	Right Turn
348	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	6/15/2015	2:36:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Straight	Straight
349	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	6/15/2015	7								

#	Intersection	Date	Time	Severity	Distance From Int	Direction from Int	Road Description	Accident Type	Dir	Vehicle 1 Movement	Vehicle 2 Movement
355	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	6/22/2015	12:43:00 PM	PDO	268	E	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Changing Lanes	Straight
356	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	6/26/2015	1:00:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
357	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	6/30/2015	10:11:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Changing Lanes	Slowing
358	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	7/3/2015	11:26:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight	Straight
359	PATTERSON RD & COMMERCE BLVD	7/8/2015	12:34:00 PM	PDO	300	W	Alley Related	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Weaving	Straight
360	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	7/8/2015	5:43:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Backing	Stopped
361	PATTERSON RD & E INDIAN CREEK DR	7/14/2015	5:11:00 PM	PDO			Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Slowing
362	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	7/21/2015	10:05:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	All Other Peds	W	Right Turn	UNK
363	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	7/21/2015	3:59:00 PM	PDO	25	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
364	PATTERSON RD & RIO GRANDE DR DR	7/21/2015	11:18:00 AM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Straight
365	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	7/23/2015	5:26:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
366	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	7/23/2015	11:56:00 PM	PDO	500	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
367	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	7/24/2015	2:03:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Right Turn
368	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	7/25/2015	5:58:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Stopped
369	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	7/25/2015	10:43:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Rear to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
370	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	7/28/2015	2:00:00 PM	PDO	300	W	Driveway Access Related	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Changing Lanes	Changing Lanes
371	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	7/28/2015	3:16:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	N	Changing Lanes	Straight
372	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	7/29/2015	12:15:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Straight
373	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	7/29/2015	2:32:00 PM	PDO	25	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Stopped
374	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	7/30/2015	2:26:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
375	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	7/30/2015	5:30:00 PM	PDO	150	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
376	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	8/4/2015	9:06:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
377	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	8/5/2015	3:32:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
378	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	8/6/2015	8:58:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	All Other Peds	S	Right Turn	UNK
379	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	8/8/2015	5:15:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
380	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	8/12/2015	5:29:00 PM	PDO	500	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Side	E	Changing Lanes	Straight
381	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	8/13/2015	9:59:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Straight	Right Turn
382	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	8/14/2015	3:54:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Straight
383	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	8/15/2015	7:17:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
384	PATTERSON RD & MEANDER DR	8/20/2015	11:53:00 AM	PDO	203	E	Driveway Access Related	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Changing Lanes	Straight
385	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	8/21/2015	2:45:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight	Straight
386	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	8/21/2015	3:40:00 PM	INJ	133	E	Non-Intersection	Tree	W	Straight	Stopped
387	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	8/22/2015	3:37:00 PM	PDO	50	W	At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
388	PATTERSON RD & PARTEE DR	8/23/2015	9:58:00 PM	INJ			Intersection Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
389	PATTERSON RD & BURKEE ST	8/24/2015	3:45:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight
390	PATTERSON RD & BURKEE ST	8/25/2015	10:59:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	All Other Peds	S	Right Turn	UNK
391	PATTERSON RD & BEECHWOOD ST	8/26/2015	7:51:00 AM	PDO			Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
392	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	8/27/2015	2:53:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Side	S	Right Turn	Straight
393	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	8/27/2015	2:53:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Right Turn	Straight
394	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	8/28/2015	5:03:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
395	PATTERSON RD & PATTERSON RD	8/28/2015	8:46:00 AM	PDO			Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
396	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	8/29/2015	8:36:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
397	PATTERSON RD & GRAND CASCADE WAY	9/1/2015	7:40:00 AM	PDO	250	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Slowing
398	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	9/2/2015	1:47:00 PM	PDO	500	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
399	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	9/2/2015	11:35:00 AM	PDO	30	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
400	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/3/2015	3:27:00 PM	PDO	150	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
401	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/4/2015	4:57:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
402	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	9/4/2015	3:00:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
403	PATTERSON RD & W INDIAN CREEK DR	9/7/2015	7:34:00 PM	PDO	150	E	Non-Intersection	Sign	E	Straight	UNK
404	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	9/8/2015	1:01:00 PM	PDO	148	E	Non-Intersection	Fence	E	Straight	UNK
405	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/9/2015	12:33:00 PM	PDO	150	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight
406	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	9/10/2015	6:14:00 PM	PDO	205	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight
407	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	9/10/2015	5:03:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
408	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/11/2015	7:04:00 PM	INJ			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
409	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	9/11/2015	8:04:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
410	N 1ST ST & PATTERSON RD	9/12/2015	11:30:00 AM	PDO	25	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Stopped
411	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD	9/14/2015	10:13:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight
412	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/15/2015	7:35:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Straight
413	30 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/16/2015	6:30:00 PM	PDO	258	S	Non-Intersection	Other Fixed Object	N	Straight	UNK
414	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	9/20/2015	3:57:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
415	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	9/24/2015	5:38:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Front	E	Straight	Left Turn
416	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	9/25/2015	1:31:00 PM	PDO	75	E	Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Straight
417	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/28/2015	9:28:00 AM	PDO	100	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
418	PATTERSON RD & MEANDER DR	9/28/2015	5:16:00 PM	PDO			Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
419	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	9/29/2015	3:49:00 PM	INJ	220	E	At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
420	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	9/29/2015	8:38:00 AM	PDO	100	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Slowing
421	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	9/29/2015	7:55:00 AM	PDO	100	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Stopped
422	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	9/29/2015	3:49:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Stopped
423	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	10/1/2015	11:32:00 AM	PDO	100	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
424	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	10/3/2015	9:13:00 AM	PDO	100	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
425	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	10/8/2015	1:20:00 PM	PDO	60	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Changing Lanes	Stopped
426	29 RD & PATTERSON RD	10/9/2015	5:38:00 PM	PDO	50	S	At Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Slowing
427	29 RD & PATTERSON RD	10/9/2015	5:38:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Left Turn	Left Turn
428	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	10/11/2015	3:07:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
429	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	10/11/2015	5:31:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
430	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	10/12/2015	8:51:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Right Turn	Straight
431	29 RD & PATTERSON RD	10/13/2015	7:55:00 AM	PDO	393	S	Non-Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight
432	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	10/14/2015	4:07:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Right Turn	Straight
433	PATTERSON RD & NORTHERN WAY	10/15/2015	11:50:00 AM	INJ			Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	N	Straight	Straight
434	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	10/16/2015	8:47:00 PM	INJ	500	S	At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
435	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	10/16/2015	1:56:00 PM	INJ	150	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
436	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	10/16/2015	1:06:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	S	Right Turn	Left Turn
437	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	10/16/2015	7:19:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
438	30 RD & PATTERSON RD	10/18/2015	5:06:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
439	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	10/19/2015	7:29:00 AM	PDO	30	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Right Turn	Straight
440	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	10/19/2015	4:18:00 PM	PDO	268	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
441	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	10/21/2015	2:05:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	S	Right Turn	Left Turn
442	PATTERSON RD & MIRA VISTA RD	10/24/2015	2:54:00 PM	INJ	250	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Side	E	Changing Lanes	Straight
443	PATTERSON RD & N 8TH CT	10/24/2015	12:51:00 PM	PDO	200	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
444	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	10/26/2015	8:26:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Stopped
445	PATTERSON RD & NORTHERN WAY	10/27/2015	9:15:00 AM	INJ	308	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Straight
446	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	10/30/2015	9:44:00 AM	INJ	75	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Stopped
447	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	10/30/2015	2:28:00 PM	PDO	360	E	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Straight
448	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	10/31/2015	12:09:00 PM	INJ	820	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Slowing
449	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	10/31/2015	11:02:00 AM	PDO	25	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
450	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	11/1/2015	1:42:00 PM	INJ	1114	E	Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Straight
451	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	11/3/2015	5:40:00 PM	INJ	500	S	At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
452	PATTERSON RD & RIO GRANDE DR DR	11/6/2015	4:15:00 PM	INJ	50	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
453	29 RD & PATTERSON RD	11/9/2015	8:35:00 AM	INJ	417	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight
454	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	11/10/2015	3:52:00 PM	INJ	625	S	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Stopped
455	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	11/10/2015	4:39:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight
456	MEANDER DR & PATTERSON RD	11/11/2015	1:09:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	S	Right Turn	Right Turn
457	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	11/11/2015	3:26:00 PM	PDO	400	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Slowing
458	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	11/12/2015	9:56:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	S	Left Turn	UNK
459	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	11/12/2015	12:34:00 PM	PDO	150	E	Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Straight	Stopped
460	PATTERSON RD & SPRING VALLEY CIR	11/13/2015	8:54:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
461	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	11/14/2015	10:59:00 AM	PDO	70	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
462	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	11/14/2015	10:56:00 AM	PDO	10	W	Intersection Related	Vehicle Debris or Cargo	E	Slowing	Stopped
463	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	11/15/2015	1:42:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight
464	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	11/16/2015	8:30:00 PM	PDO	20	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Backing	Stopped
465	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	11/19/2015	9:16:00 AM	PDO	150	N	Driveway Access Related	Side to Side Opp Dir	E	Backing	Stopped
466	MARKET ST & PATTERSON RD	11/19/2015	12:59:00 PM	PDO			Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Straight
467	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	11/20/2015	3:50:00 PM	PDO	80	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
468	2										

#	Intersection	Date	Time	Severity	Distance From Int	Direction from Int	Road Description	Accident Type	Dir	Vehicle 1 Movement	Vehicle 2 Movement
473	N 15TH ST & PATTERSON RD	11/30/2015	8:59:00 AM	PDO	150	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Stopped
474	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	12/1/2015	8:55:00 AM	INJ	25	E	At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
475	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	12/2/2015	5:14:00 PM	INJ	200	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
476	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	12/4/2015	1:54:00 PM	INJ			Intersection Related	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
477	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	12/6/2015	10:21:00 AM	INJ	150	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
478	PATTERSON RD & PARK DR	12/7/2015	12:46:00 PM	INJ			Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
479	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	12/7/2015	11:39:00 AM	PDO	150	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight
480	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	12/9/2015	5:50:00 PM	PDO	600	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
481	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	12/9/2015	3:49:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
482	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	12/11/2015	4:44:00 PM	PDO	10	W	At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
483	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	12/15/2015	5:14:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
484	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	12/15/2015	3:48:00 PM	PDO	50	S	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Stopped
485	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	12/16/2015	12:55:00 PM	INJ	30	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
486	PATTERSON RD & SERANADE ST	12/17/2015	9:50:00 PM	PDO	240	E	Non-Intersection	Light Pole / Utility Pole	W	Straight	Straight
487	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	12/18/2015	3:23:00 PM	INJ	300	E	Non-Intersection	Rear to Side	E	Straight	Stopped
488	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	12/18/2015	3:06:00 PM	PDO	659	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
489	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	12/18/2015	6:45:00 PM	PDO	276	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Front	E	Straight	Straight
490	PATTERSON RD & SERANADE ST	12/22/2015	7:50:00 PM	INJ	290	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight
491	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	12/23/2015	11:46:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Front	N	Left Turn	Straight
492	PATTERSON RD & NORTHERN WAY	12/23/2015	12:45:00 PM	PDO	20	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Front	E	Changing Lanes	Straight
493	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	12/23/2015	2:41:00 PM	PDO	475	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	S	Right Turn	Straight
494	PATTERSON RD & SERANADE ST	12/23/2015	5:34:00 PM	PDO			Driveway Access Related	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Straight
495	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	12/23/2015	1:20:00 PM	PDO	100	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
496	PATTERSON RD & EL CORONA DR	12/23/2015	3:00:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Left Turn	Straight
497	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	12/23/2015	2:54:00 PM	PDO	348	E	At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight
498	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	12/24/2015	9:18:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Changing Lanes	Straight
499	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	12/27/2015	5:31:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Rear to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
500	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	12/28/2015	8:06:00 AM	INJ	200	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight
501	MARKET ST & PATTERSON RD	12/29/2015	2:30:00 PM	PDO	150	N	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	S	Passing	Stopped
502	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	12/30/2015	2:17:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Changing Lanes	Straight
503	PATTERSON RD & RIO GRANDE DR DR	12/31/2015	2:31:00 PM	PDO	145	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Changing Lanes	Stopped
504	PATTERSON RD & SERANADE ST	12/31/2015	12:14:00 PM	PDO	40	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Stopped
505	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	1/1/2016	2:20:00 PM	PDO	25	S	At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Slowing	Slowing
506	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST	1/2/2016	6:14:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Right Turn	Right Turn
507	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	1/5/2016	1:32:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
508	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	1/7/2016	6:11:00 PM	PDO	300	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Stopped
509	PATTERSON RD & VIEW POINT DR	1/7/2016	2:35:00 AM	PDO	161	W	Non-Intersection	Concrete Highway Barrier	W	Straight/following RD	UNK
510	PATTERSON RD & LEGENDS WAY	1/7/2016	7:19:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Sign	E	Straight/following RD	UNK
511	PATTERSON RD & N ST	1/9/2016	5:30:00 PM	PDO	300	W	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Weaving	Straight/following RD
512	PATTERSON RD & 30	1/13/2016	4:11:00 PM	INJ	239	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
513	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	1/14/2016	6:15:00 PM	PDO	500	W	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
514	28 1/4 RD & PATTERSON RD	1/15/2016	6:19:00 AM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Bicycle	S	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
515	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	1/20/2016	5:35:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
516	PATTERSON RD & N ST	1/20/2016	12:34:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
517	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	1/24/2016	1:54:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
518	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	1/25/2016	3:13:00 PM	PDO	350	S	Highway Interchange	Front to Side	W	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
519	PATTERSON RD & 26 3/4 RD	1/28/2016	10:53:00 AM	PDO	100	E	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Straight/following RD
520	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	1/29/2016	8:16:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Right Turn	Right Turn
521	PATTERSON RD & N ST	1/30/2016	7:25:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
522	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD RD	2/1/2016	6:36:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	S	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
523	NORTHERN WAY & PATTERSON RD	2/1/2016	4:02:00 PM	PDO	300	N	Non-Intersection	Rear to Side	E	Backing	Straight/following RD
524	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	2/5/2016	11:10:00 AM	PDO	130	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
525	30 RD & PATTERSON RD	2/8/2016	8:56:00 AM	PDO	200	N	Driveway Access Related	Side to Side Same Dir	S	Passing	Right Turn
526	PATTERSON RD & RIO GRANDE DR	2/11/2016	5:28:00 PM	PDO	100	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Stopped
527	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	2/15/2016	2:36:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Side to Side Opposite Dir	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
528	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	2/16/2016	6:31:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
529	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	2/19/2016	6:42:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Straight/following RD	Stopped
530	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	2/22/2016	8:26:00 PM	PDO	250	S	Intersection Related	Front to Side	W	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
531	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	2/27/2016	10:45:00 AM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
532	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	2/29/2016	3:05:00 AM	INJ	50	W	Intersection Related	Tree	W	Straight/following RD	UNK
533	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD RD	3/2/2016	1:42:00 PM	PDO	200	E	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Straight/following RD
534	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	3/4/2016	1:01:00 PM	PDO	200	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Slowing
535	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD RD	3/4/2016	5:16:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Right Turn	Right Turn
536	PATTERSON RD & 29 3/8 RD RD	3/5/2016	2:35:00 PM	PDO	93	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Rear	W	Changing Lanes	Right Turn
537	PATTERSON RD & BEECHWOOD ST	3/7/2016	3:48:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
538	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST	3/8/2016	8:59:00 AM	PDO	400	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Slowing
539	28 1/4 RD & PATTERSON RD	3/9/2016	8:41:00 AM	PDO	50	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Slowing	Stopped
540	PATTERSON RD & NORTHERN WAY	3/10/2016	12:17:00 PM	INJ	100	E	Driveway Access Related	Side to Side Opposite Dir	S	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
541	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	3/10/2016	4:12:00 PM	PDO	80	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Slowing	Stopped
542	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	3/11/2016	12:43:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	W	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
543	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	3/18/2016	1:01:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Front	S	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
544	PATTERSON RD & PIONEER RD	3/19/2016	11:49:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Left Turn
545	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	3/25/2016	2:05:00 PM	PDO	130	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Slowing
546	PATTERSON RD & MEANDER DR	3/26/2016	5:01:00 PM	PDO	50	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Slowing
547	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD RD	3/27/2016	1:45:00 PM	PDO	1600	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Side	E	U-Turn	Straight/following RD
548	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD RD	3/29/2016	6:19:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	S	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
549	PATTERSON RD & N ST	4/2/2016	2:36:00 PM	INJ	318	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
550	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	4/3/2016	7:09:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight/following RD	Left Turn
551	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	4/5/2016	11:22:00 AM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Slowing
552	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	4/6/2016	4:32:00 PM	INJ	90	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Slowing
553	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	4/8/2016	2:14:00 PM	INJ	100	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Slowing	Stopped
554	PATTERSON RD & 29 3/8 RD	4/11/2016	7:54:00 AM	PDO	150	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Slowing
555	PATTERSON RD & N ST	4/12/2016	10:53:00 AM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Backing	Stopped
556	PATTERSON RD & MEANDER DR	4/12/2016	3:42:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Weaving	Straight/following RD
557	PATTERSON RD & N ST	4/13/2016	1:47:00 PM	PDO	880	W	Driveway Access Related	Other Object	N	Right Turn	UNK
558	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD RD	4/15/2016	7:01:00 PM	PDO	297	W	Driveway Access Related	Bicycle	S	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
559	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	4/15/2016	7:12:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Front	E	Straight/following RD	Left Turn
560	PATTERSON RD & N ST	4/15/2016	3:37:00 PM	PDO	300	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Other	Passing
561	PATTERSON RD & N ST	4/18/2016	12:20:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Side	W	Passing	Straight/following RD
562	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD RD	4/19/2016	9:15:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Front	N	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
563	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD RD	4/20/2016	2:00:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Left Turn
564	PATTERSON RD & PARK AVE	4/20/2016	2:00:00 PM	PDO			Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Changing Lanes	Straight/following RD
565	PATTERSON RD & MIRA VISTA RD	4/20/2016	5:17:00 PM	PDO	20	E	At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Stopped
566	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD RD	4/21/2016	12:01:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
567	30 RD & PATTERSON RD	4/21/2016	12:17:00 PM	PDO	50	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Slowing	Stopped
568	PATTERSON RD & BEECHWOOD ST	4/22/2016	8:00:00 AM	PDO			Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
569	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD RD	4/22/2016	11:27:00 AM	PDO	100	W	Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Changing Lanes	Straight/following RD
570	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD RD	4/23/2016	5:35:00 PM	INJ	225	W	At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
571	PATTERSON RD & N ST	4/27/2016	7:36:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
572	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	4/29/2016	11:16:00 AM	INJ	70	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Slowing	Stopped
573	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	4/29/2016	4:29:00 PM	INJ	70	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
574	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	5/2/2016	2:41:00 PM	INJ	100	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Slowing
575	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD RD	5/2/2016	5:16:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
576	PATTERSON RD & N ST	5/2/2016	4:45:00 PM	PDO	600	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Changing Lanes	Stopped
577	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	5/3/2016	7:19:00 PM	INJ	295	N	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Opposite Dir	E	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
578	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	5/5/2016	4:14:00 PM	PDO	900	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Stopped
579	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD RD	5/6/2016	11:58:00 AM	PDO	1433	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	S	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
580	PATTERSON RD & N ST	5/8/2016	11:28:00 AM	INJ	100	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
581	PATTERSON RD & SPRING VALLEY CIR	5/11/2016	2:26:00 PM	INJ	150	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Slowing
582	PATTERSON RD & BROKEN RD	5/15/2016	6:48:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	

#	Intersection	Date	Time	Severity	Distance From Int	Direction from Int	Road Description	Accident Type	Dir	Vehicle 1 Movement	Vehicle 2 Movement
588	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD RD	5/20/2016	4:39:00 PM	INJ	300	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
589	PATTERSON RD & NORTHGATE DR	5/24/2016	3:20:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	N	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
590	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	5/26/2016	1:52:00 PM	INJ	100	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Stopped
591	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	5/27/2016	5:17:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
592	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	6/14/2016	6:14:00 PM	INJ	350	S	Highway Interchange	Front to Rear	NW	Straight/following RD	Stopped
593	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD RD	6/1/2016	8:00:00 AM	PDO	250	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
594	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST	6/1/2016	8:33:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
595	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD	6/1/2016	5:19:00 PM	PDO	70	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Stopped
596	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	6/3/2016	5:10:00 PM	INJ	300	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Stopped
597	PATTERSON RD & 28 3/4 RD	6/3/2016	8:49:00 AM	PDO	60	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
598	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD RD	6/4/2016	4:27:00 PM	PDO	120	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
599	PATTERSON RD & N ST	6/7/2016	9:57:00 AM	PDO	400	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Changing Lanes	Straight/following RD
600	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	6/7/2016	2:24:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight/following RD	Left Turn
601	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	6/8/2016	8:58:00 AM	PDO	300	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Slowing
602	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	6/8/2016	7:32:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Stopped
603	PATTERSON RD & SPRING VALLEY CIR	6/9/2016	8:57:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Slowing
604	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	6/10/2016	4:57:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	N	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
605	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD RD	6/11/2016	2:25:00 PM	PDO	500	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
606	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	6/14/2016	9:19:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight/following RD	Left Turn
607	PATTERSON RD & VIEW POINT DR	6/15/2016	11:42:00 AM	PDO	240	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
608	PATTERSON RD & N ST	6/16/2016	8:22:00 AM	PDO	10	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
609	PATTERSON RD & RIO DR	6/20/2016	10:22:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
610	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	6/20/2016	4:21:00 PM	PDO	30	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
611	PATTERSON RD & N ST	6/21/2016	8:21:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
612	PATTERSON RD & N ST	6/21/2016	3:42:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
613	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	6/22/2016	4:28:00 PM	INJ	500	S	At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
614	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	6/22/2016	9:06:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
615	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD RD	6/24/2016	3:32:00 PM	PDO	150	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
616	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD	6/25/2016	10:30:00 AM	PDO	300	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
617	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD RD	6/27/2016	12:40:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Front	N	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
618	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD RD	6/29/2016	2:39:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
619	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	6/29/2016	3:04:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
620	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	7/1/2016	2:56:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
621	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	7/1/2016	1:04:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
622	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	7/3/2016	11:50:00 PM	PDO			Non-Intersection	Sign	E	Straight/following RD	UNK
623	PATTERSON RD & N ST	7/8/2016	5:05:00 PM	PDO	300	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
624	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	7/11/2016	6:45:00 AM	INJ			Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
625	PATTERSON RD & LEGENDS WAY	7/12/2016	5:35:00 PM	PDO	50	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
626	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	7/14/2016	5:47:00 PM	PDO	520	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
627	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD RD	7/16/2016	5:12:00 PM	PDO			Non-Intersection	Front to Side	W	U-Turn	Left Turn
628	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	7/17/2016	1:51:00 PM	PDO	60	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
629	PATTERSON RD & MIRA VISTA RD	7/19/2016	3:38:00 PM	PDO	110	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Stopped
630	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	7/21/2016	4:09:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
631	PATTERSON RD & N ST	7/24/2016	5:22:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
632	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	7/29/2016	6:29:00 PM	INJ	320	S	Non-Intersection	All Other Peds	W	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
633	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD RD	8/1/2016	5:32:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
634	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	8/1/2016	7:08:00 PM	PDO			Driveway Access Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Right Turn
635	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST	8/3/2016	7:52:00 AM	INJ	30	E	At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
636	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	8/5/2016	4:05:00 PM	INJ	250	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
637	PATTERSON RD & MESA MALL ACCESS	8/8/2016	5:53:00 PM	INJ	150	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Stopped
638	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	8/8/2016	1:51:00 PM	PDO	100	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
639	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD RD	8/8/2016	4:52:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
640	PATTERSON RD & BURKEY ST	8/10/2016	10:33:00 AM	PDO			Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
641	PATTERSON RD & BEECHWOOD ST	8/11/2016	1:00:00 PM	PDO			Driveway Access Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Right Turn
642	PATTERSON RD & EL DR	8/13/2016	3:28:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Left Turn
643	28 1/4 RD & PATTERSON RD	8/14/2016	5:05:00 PM	INJ			Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	S	U-Turn	Straight/following RD
644	PATTERSON RD & N ST	8/15/2016	9:15:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
645	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST	8/15/2016	3:44:00 PM	PDO	300	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
646	PATTERSON RD & N ST	8/17/2016	7:42:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Slowing	Stopped
647	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	8/23/2016	9:14:00 AM	PDO	40	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
648	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD RD	8/26/2016	2:46:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Right Turn	Stopped
649	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	8/26/2016	12:24:00 PM	PDO	104	N	Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	S	Changing Lanes	Straight/following RD
650	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	8/30/2016	8:20:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following RD	Left Turn
651	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	8/31/2016	3:53:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
652	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	9/5/2016	6:45:00 PM	PDO	200	S	Driveway Access Related	Side to Side Same Dir	S	Changing Lanes	Straight/following RD
653	PATTERSON RD & GRAND CASCADE WAY	9/6/2016	7:50:00 AM	INJ	400	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
654	PATTERSON RD & MESA ACCESS	9/7/2016	9:11:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
655	PATTERSON RD & PARK AVE	9/9/2016	5:18:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
656	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/9/2016	8:30:00 AM	PDO	120	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
657	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	9/12/2016	7:09:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
658	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	9/13/2016	2:56:00 PM	INJ	20	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Right Turn
659	PATTERSON RD & PHEASANT TRAIL CT	9/13/2016	7:56:00 AM	INJ	150	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
660	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD RD	9/13/2016	7:58:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Backing	Stopped
661	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	9/13/2016	7:57:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
662	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	9/16/2016	2:51:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Side	E	Changing Lanes	Straight/following RD
663	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	9/17/2016	8:39:00 PM	INJ			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Stopped
664	PATTERSON RD & GRAND CASCADE WAY	9/20/2016	12:40:00 PM	INJ	125	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
665	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD RD	9/21/2016	4:38:00 PM	PDO	350	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
666	PATTERSON RD & PIONEER RD	9/26/2016	7:47:00 AM	PDO			Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
667	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	9/27/2016	6:41:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
668	PATTERSON RD & N ST	9/28/2016	12:00:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
669	25 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/29/2016	8:12:00 AM	INJ			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight/following RD	Stopped
670	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD RD	9/29/2016	1:45:00 PM	PDO	1150	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
671	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST	9/29/2016	11:36:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	N	Left Turn	Left Turn
672	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	9/30/2016	9:18:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Side to Side Opposite Dir	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
673	PATTERSON RD & BURKEY ST	10/5/2016	3:24:00 PM	INJ			Intersection Related	Other - Non Collision	E	Slowing	Slowing
674	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	10/6/2016	9:47:00 AM	PDO	158	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Slowing
675	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	10/6/2016	3:02:00 PM	PDO	125	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
676	PATTERSON RD & N ST	10/6/2016	11:59:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
677	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	10/8/2016	11:08:00 AM	PDO	315	S	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	S	Changing Lanes	Straight/following RD
678	PATTERSON RD & N ST	10/10/2016	1:33:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
679	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD RD	10/12/2016	8:20:00 PM	INJ	150	W	Intersection Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
680	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	10/13/2016	7:48:00 PM	PDO	30	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
681	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	10/15/2016	10:08:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
682	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	10/17/2016	4:53:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
683	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	10/18/2016	12:10:00 PM	INJ	731	E	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Straight/following RD
684	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD RD	10/18/2016	4:32:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Stopped	Stopped
685	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	10/20/2016	3:36:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
686	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD RD	10/21/2016	11:44:00 AM	PDO	50	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Other	Stopped
687	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	10/21/2016	6:26:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Intersection Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
688	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST	10/23/2016	1:40:00 AM	INJ			Intersection Related	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Stopped
689	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	10/25/2016	1:22:00 PM	INJ	400	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Stopped
690	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	10/26/2016	2:42:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight/following RD	Left Turn
691	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	10/28/2016	4:00:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following RD	Slowing
692	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	11/2/2016	2:28:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
693	PATTERSON RD & GRAND CASCADE WAY	11/4/2016	2:37:00 PM	INJ	450	E	Non-Intersection	Bicycle	E	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
694	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	11/5/2016	6:36:00 AM	PDO	150	E	Non-Intersection	Curb	W	Straight/following RD	UNK
695	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD RD	11/9/2016	12:09:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
696	PATTERSON RD & MIRA RD	11/10/2016	4:07:00 PM	PDO	300	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
697	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	11/10/2016	9:04:00 AM	PDO	225	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
698	PATTERSON RD & SPRING CIR	11/10/2016	3:26:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
699											

#	Intersection	Date	Time	Severity	Distance From Int	Direction from Int	Road Description	Accident Type	Dir	Vehicle 1 Movement	Vehicle 2 Movement
704	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD RD	11/18/2016	6:02:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
705	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	11/23/2016	4:30:00 PM	PDO	300	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Slowing
706	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	11/23/2016	5:45:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
707	PATTERSON RD & 25 3/4 RD	11/25/2016	9:14:00 PM	INJ			Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Slowing
708	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	11/29/2016	11:00:00 AM	PDO	500	S	At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
709	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	11/30/2016	12:48:00 PM	PDO	200	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
710	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD RD	12/1/2016	4:15:00 PM	PDO	300	E	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Straight/following RD
711	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	12/1/2016	9:11:00 PM	PDO	600	W	Non-Intersection	Wild Animal	W	Straight/following RD	UNK
712	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD RD	12/1/2016	9:38:00 AM	PDO	200	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Slowing
713	PATTERSON RD & N ST	12/5/2016	7:42:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
714	PATTERSON RD & N ST	12/5/2016	6:26:00 PM	PDO	400	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
715	PATTERSON RD & N ST	12/5/2016	6:48:00 PM	PDO	100	W	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Straight/following RD
716	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	12/9/2016	12:58:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
717	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	12/10/2016	2:24:00 PM	INJ	395	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
718	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	12/12/2016	10:14:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following RD	Straight/following RD
719	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD RD	12/14/2016	12:24:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following RD
720	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD RD	12/15/2016	1:31:00 PM	INJ	155	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
721	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD RD	12/19/2016	10:22:00 AM	PDO	250	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Straight/following RD
722	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	12/19/2016	4:04:00 PM	PDO	560	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following RD	Stopped
723	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	12/19/2016	4:22:00 PM	PDO	60	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Slowing
724	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	12/19/2016	4:07:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following RD	Stopped
725	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD RD	12/22/2016	12:15:00 PM	PDO	460	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Changing Lanes	Straight/following RD
726	25 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	1/2/2017	11:52:00 AM	PDO	250	N	Driveway Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Slowing
727	N 7TH ST & PATTERSON RD	1/9/2017	7:30:00 AM	PDO	350	N	Non-Int	Side-Side Same Dir	S	Spun Out Of Control	Spun Out Of Control
728	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	1/13/2017	8:20:00 PM	INJ	500	S	Driveway Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
729	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	1/18/2017	11:51:00 AM	PDO	500	S	Driveway Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
730	HWY 6 & 50 & PATTERSON RD	1/18/2017	1:10:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
731	PATTERSON RD & COLANWOOD ST	1/19/2017	4:49:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Straight	Straight
732	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	1/19/2017	5:24:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
733	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	1/21/2017	12:23:00 PM	INJ	500	S	At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight	Straight
734	N 7TH ST & PATTERSON RD	1/22/2017	9:35:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Stopped
735	29 RD & PATTERSON RD	1/23/2017	4:50:00 PM	PDO	30	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Stopped
736	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	1/25/2017	3:16:00 PM	INJ			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Stopped
737	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	1/26/2017	7:52:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
738	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	1/27/2017	3:30:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Left Turn	Left Turn
739	PATTERSON RD & MESA MALL ACCESS RD	1/27/2017	7:55:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
740	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	1/29/2017	11:08:00 PM	PDO	415	W	Non-Int	Curb	W	Straight	UNK
741	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	1/30/2017	5:08:00 PM	INJ			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Stopped
742	MARKET ST & PATTERSON RD	1/30/2017	5:14:00 PM	INJ	420	N	Non-Int	Curb	S	Spun Out Of Control	UNK
743	PATTERSON RD & MEANDER DR	2/2/2017	6:10:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Straight
744	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	2/7/2017	5:52:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight
745	PATTERSON RD & SPRING VALLEY CIR	2/8/2017	10:19:00 AM	INJ			Intersection Related	Bicycle	W	Straight	Stopped
746	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	2/9/2017	4:51:00 PM	PDO	1000	S	Non-Int	Side-Side Same Dir	S	Changing Lanes	Straight
747	PATTERSON RD & CIDER MILL RD	2/9/2017	5:22:00 PM	PDO	245	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
748	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	2/12/2017	12:21:00 PM	INJ	30	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Slowing
749	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	2/14/2017	10:41:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Front	N	Left Turn	Straight
750	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	2/14/2017	5:58:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight
751	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	2/16/2017	5:39:00 PM	PDO	100	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Stopped
752	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	2/22/2017	6:17:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
753	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	2/25/2017	10:04:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Straight
754	PATTERSON RD & MIRA VISTA RD	2/27/2017	12:18:00 PM	PDO	309	E	Non-Int	Side-Side Same Dir	N	Left Turn	Changing Lanes
755	25 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	2/28/2017	10:41:00 AM	PDO	160	S	Non-Int	Curb	S	Straight	UNK
756	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	2/28/2017	10:39:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight	Left Turn
757	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	3/1/2017	10:32:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight	Left Turn
758	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	3/6/2017	5:21:00 PM	INJ	200	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
759	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	3/7/2017	2:22:00 PM	INJ	80	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Slowing
760	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	3/11/2017	3:02:00 PM	PDO	20	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
761	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	3/13/2017	12:02:00 PM	INJ	250	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Slowing
762	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	3/16/2017	9:41:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
763	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	3/18/2017	11:28:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Left Turn
764	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	3/19/2017	3:03:00 PM	INJ	50	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Stopped
765	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	3/20/2017	4:17:00 PM	PDO	30	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Stopped
766	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	3/23/2017	11:40:00 AM	INJ	250	E	At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
767	29 RD & PATTERSON RD	3/24/2017	4:52:00 PM	PDO	75	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Left Turn	Left Turn
768	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	3/27/2017	11:12:00 AM	INJ	481	W	Driveway Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight
769	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	4/2/2017	4:20:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
770	PATTERSON RD & PARK DR	4/5/2017	7:13:00 PM	PDO	100	E	Non-Int	Guard Rail	W	Straight	UNK
771	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	4/9/2017	8:07:00 PM	INJ			Intersection Related	All Other Peds	N	Right Turn	Straight
772	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	4/9/2017	1:53:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight
773	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	4/10/2017	4:52:00 PM	INJ	200	W	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
774	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	4/10/2017	9:49:00 AM	PDO	200	N	Driveway Related	Front to Front	E	Right Turn	Straight
775	25 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	4/12/2017	4:37:00 PM	PDO	20	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Stopped
776	PATTERSON RD & MESA MALL ACCESS RD	4/13/2017	6:46:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight	Straight
777	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	4/14/2017	1:16:00 PM	PDO	100	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Stopped
778	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	4/14/2017	2:47:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Left Turn	Left Turn
779	HWY 6 & 50 & PATTERSON RD	4/15/2017	4:29:00 PM	INJ			Hwy Interchange	Other - Non Collision	SW	Right Turn	UNK
780	PATTERSON RD & BROKEN SPOKE RD	4/15/2017	1:21:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Straight	Straight
781	PATTERSON RD & COMMERCE BLVD	4/18/2017	10:32:00 AM	INJ	305	E	Driveway Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight
782	PATTERSON RD & MESA MALL ACCESS RD	4/20/2017	1:04:00 PM	PDO	30	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Straight
783	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	4/26/2017	5:12:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Side-Side Same Dir	E	Other	Stopped
784	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	4/27/2017	11:35:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
785	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	5/4/2017	2:20:00 PM	INJ	350	E	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
786	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	5/4/2017	7:28:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Straight	Straight
787	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	5/5/2017	5:26:00 PM	INJ	135	W	Driveway Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight
788	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	5/5/2017	12:56:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Left Turn	Left Turn
789	PATTERSON RD & STARLIGHT DR	5/9/2017	12:30:00 AM	INJ	130	E	Non-Int	Tree	W	Straight	UNK
790	PATTERSON RD & E INDIAN CREEK DR	5/9/2017	7:00:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	School Age To/From School	E	Straight	Right Turn
791	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	5/10/2017	3:37:00 PM	PDO	400	N	Driveway Related	Side-Side Same Dir	S	Passing	Right Turn
792	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	5/12/2017	10:20:00 AM	PDO	450	W	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
793	29 RD & PATTERSON RD	5/17/2017	11:02:00 AM	PDO	420	S	Driveway Related	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
794	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	5/19/2017	10:07:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Side-Side Same Dir	S	Left Turn	Left Turn
795	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	5/20/2017	8:22:00 PM	INJ	222	W	Intersection Related	Curb	W	Straight	UNK
796	PATTERSON RD & RIO GRANDE DR	5/22/2017	3:46:00 PM	PDO	40	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
797	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	5/22/2017	5:34:00 PM	PDO	500	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
798	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	5/28/2017	11:20:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight	Straight
799	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	5/30/2017	4:06:00 PM	INJ	200	E	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
800	PATTERSON RD & MIRA VISTA RD	5/30/2017	2:50:00 PM	PDO	330	E	Driveway Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Left Turn
801	PATTERSON RD & 29 3/8 RD	5/30/2017	7:29:00 PM	PDO			Non-Int	Side-Side Same Dir	W	Weaving	Straight
802	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	5/31/2017	4:32:00 PM	PDO	100	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Changing Lanes	Stopped
803	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	6/4/2017	3:05:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Straight	Straight
804	27 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	6/7/2017	8:04:00 AM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Stopped
805	PATTERSON RD & BEECHWOOD ST	6/8/2017	2:18:00 PM	PDO			Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
806	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	6/8/2017	7:24:00 AM	PDO	265	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Slowing
807	PATTERSON RD & BEECHWOOD ST	6/8/2017	2:14:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
808	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	6/12/2017	7:59:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Bicycle	N	Left Turn	Straight
809	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	6/13/2017	4:54:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight
810	PATTERSON RD & CIDER MILL RD	6/15/2017	5:12:00 PM	INJ			Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Straight
811	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	6/17/2017	8:39:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
812	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	6/19/2017	1:18:00 PM	INJ	279	E	Driveway Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight
813	N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD	6/20/2017	4:13:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Stopped
814	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	6/21/2017	8:07:00 PM	INJ	380	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
815	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	6/21/2017	2:10:00 PM	PDO	449	W	Driveway Related	Front to Front	N	Right Turn	Straight
816	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	6/23/2017	5:26:00 PM	PDO	300						

#	Intersection	Date	Time	Severity	Distance From Int	Direction from Int	Road Description	Accident Type	Dir	Vehicle 1 Movement	Vehicle 2 Movement
821	PATTERSON RD & GRAND CASCADE WAY	7/6/2017	7:53:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight	Slowing
822	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	7/7/2017	6:40:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight	Left Turn
823	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	7/9/2017	10:51:00 AM	PDO	100	W	Driveway Related	Side-Side Same Dir	S	Left Turn	Straight
824	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	7/9/2017	11:10:00 AM	PDO	200	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
825	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	7/10/2017	11:02:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Straight
826	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	7/10/2017	4:26:00 PM	PDO	200	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
827	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	7/14/2017	8:47:00 AM	PDO			Driveway Related	Guard Rail	S	U-Turn	UNK
828	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	7/17/2017	2:15:00 PM	INJ	792	E	Driveway Related	Front to Front	E	Straight	Stopped
829	PATTERSON RD & 26 3/4 RD	7/20/2017	8:34:00 AM	PDO	25	W	Non-Int	Side-Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Stopped
830	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	7/21/2017	6:35:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
831	30 RD & PATTERSON RD	7/21/2017	4:07:00 PM	PDO	260	N	Non-Int	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
832	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	7/29/2017	8:45:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Front	N	Left Turn	Straight
833	25 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	7/31/2017	11:52:00 AM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
834	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	8/1/2017	2:59:00 PM	PDO	400	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Slowing
835	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	8/2/2017	8:00:00 AM	PDO	100	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
836	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	8/5/2017	4:25:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight	Straight
837	PATTERSON RD & W GREENFIELD CIR	8/5/2017	7:44:00 AM	PDO	100	E	Non-Int	Side-Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Straight
838	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	8/9/2017	7:42:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Bicycle	W	Straight	Straight
839	PATTERSON RD & BEECHWOOD ST	8/9/2017	7:50:00 AM	PDO	226	E	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Slowing
840	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	8/10/2017	9:37:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	All Other Peds	N	Left Turn	Straight
841	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	8/11/2017	4:49:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
842	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	8/12/2017	2:45:00 PM	PDO	210	S	Driveway Related	Bicycle	W	Straight	Straight
843	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	8/12/2017	12:12:00 AM	PDO	50	E	Intersection Related	Side-Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Slowing
844	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD	8/14/2017	7:12:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight
845	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	8/14/2017	2:09:00 PM	PDO	400	E	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Slowing
846	PATTERSON RD & 25 3/4 RD	8/15/2017	10:30:00 AM	PDO	225	E	Non-Int	Curb	E	Changing Lanes	Avoiding Object
847	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	8/15/2017	12:15:00 PM	PDO	418	W	Driveway Related	Front to Side	N	Straight	Straight
848	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	8/18/2017	5:08:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Straight
849	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	8/22/2017	1:01:00 PM	PDO	190	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
850	PATTERSON RD & COMMERCE BLVD	8/26/2017	5:09:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
851	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	8/27/2017	12:49:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Driveway Related	Front to Side	E	Straight	Straight
852	PATTERSON RD & MESA MALL ACCESS RD	8/28/2017	4:23:00 PM	PDO	60	E	Intersection Related	Other Object	N	Right Turn	UNK
853	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	8/29/2017	3:29:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Side-Side Same Dir	W	Left Turn	Left Turn
854	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	9/6/2017	12:08:00 PM	PDO	250	W	Driveway Related	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Left Turn
855	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	9/6/2017	6:19:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	UNK	Straight
856	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	9/6/2017	11:57:00 AM	PDO	500	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
857	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	9/6/2017	8:34:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	NE	Straight	Straight
858	PATTERSON RD & FORESIGHT CIR	9/7/2017	4:53:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Left Turn
859	MARKET ST & PATTERSON RD	9/9/2017	3:14:00 PM	PDO			Driveway Related	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Left Turn
860	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	9/11/2017	7:28:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Right Turn	Straight
861	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	9/11/2017	6:23:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight	Left Turn
862	N 1ST & PATTERSON RD	9/12/2017	5:43:00 PM	PDO	750	N	Driveway Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Stopped
863	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	9/14/2017	12:43:00 PM	PDO	100	W	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
864	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	9/14/2017	4:12:00 PM	PDO	500	W	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
865	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/16/2017	8:51:00 AM	PDO	40	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Stopped
866	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	9/21/2017	4:24:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Right Turn	Right Turn
867	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	9/21/2017	4:46:00 PM	PDO	100	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
868	24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/22/2017	11:08:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Right Turn	Right Turn
869	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	9/22/2017	12:12:00 PM	PDO	190	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Changing Lanes	Stopped
870	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	9/23/2017	10:10:00 PM	PDO	605	N	Non-Int	Curb	N	Straight	UNK
871	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	9/26/2017	9:16:00 PM	PDO	856	E	Non-Int	Guard Rail	W	Straight	UNK
872	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	9/26/2017	6:37:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Bicycle	W	Left Turn	Straight
873	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	9/28/2017	7:21:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight	Left Turn
874	PATTERSON RD & CRIS-MAR ST	9/28/2017	7:43:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
875	PATTERSON RD & NORTHGATE DR	9/29/2017	7:36:00 PM	PDO			Non-Int	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Straight
876	PATTERSON RD & VIEW POINT DR	10/2/2017	5:18:00 PM	PDO			Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
877	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	10/2/2017	3:16:00 PM	PDO	100	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
878	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	10/4/2017	5:22:00 PM	PDO	100	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight	Stopped
879	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	10/4/2017	12:53:00 PM	PDO	75	E	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
880	24 RD & PATTERSON RD	10/6/2017	6:09:00 PM	INJ			Intersection Related	Front to Front	N	Left Turn	Straight
881	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	10/9/2017	6:40:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	All Other Peds	S	Right Turn	Straight
882	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	10/11/2017	4:34:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Other	Stopped
883	PATTERSON RD & N 8TH CT	10/12/2017	3:48:00 PM	PDO	500	E	Non-Int	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
884	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	10/12/2017	12:08:00 PM	PDO	375	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
885	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	10/12/2017	4:11:00 PM	PDO	600	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
886	PATTERSON RD & MESA MALL ACCESS RD	10/16/2017	6:00:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
887	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	10/17/2017	3:15:00 PM	PDO	170	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
888	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	10/18/2017	5:16:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
889	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	10/19/2017	2:43:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Side-Side Same Dir	E	Changing Lanes	Straight
890	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	10/21/2017	1:29:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight
891	PATTERSON RD & MESA MALL ACCESS RD	10/21/2017	12:06:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Side-Side Same Dir	W	U-Turn	Right Turn
892	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	10/22/2017	1:24:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Right Turn
893	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	10/23/2017	11:14:00 AM	PDO	20	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
894	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	10/24/2017	5:08:00 PM	PDO	300	E	Non-Int	Guard Rail	W	Straight	UNK
895	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	10/24/2017	11:19:00 AM	PDO	40	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Left Turn	Left Turn
896	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	10/26/2017	5:44:00 PM	PDO	363	E	Non-Int	Sign	W	Straight	UNK
897	PATTERSON RD & RIO GRANDE DR	10/27/2017	4:06:00 PM	INJ	100	W	Non-Int	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
898	PATTERSON RD & DARBY DR	10/27/2017	6:24:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight
899	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	10/28/2017	11:07:00 PM	INJ			At Intersection	Front to Front	S	Straight	Straight
900	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	11/2/2017	9:30:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight	Straight
901	PATTERSON RD & PARK DR	11/3/2017	11:26:00 AM	PDO	60	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
902	25 RD & PATTERSON RD	11/6/2017	11:48:00 AM	PDO	127	N	Driveway Related	Front to Front	N	Left Turn	Straight
903	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	11/7/2017	4:47:00 PM	PDO	150	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
904	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	11/8/2017	2:38:00 PM	PDO	199	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
905	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	11/10/2017	9:46:00 AM	PDO	642	E	Non-Int	Side-Side Same Dir	NE	Changing Lanes	Straight
906	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2	11/10/2017	5:53:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
907	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4	11/10/2017	6:34:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
908	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2	11/10/2017	3:19:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Side-Side Same Dir	SE	Changing Lanes	Straight
909	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2	11/11/2017	10:24:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight	Straight
910	29 RD & PATTERSON RD	11/13/2017	4:04:00 PM	PDO	268	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Passing	Stopped
911	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4	11/17/2017	6:49:00 PM	PDO			Non-Int	Side-Side Same Dir	W	Weaving	Straight
912	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	11/17/2017	9:08:00 AM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Front	E	Straight	Left Turn
913	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2	11/18/2017	12:46:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight	Stopped
914	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	11/19/2017	10:03:00 AM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Left Turn	Left Turn
915	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	11/19/2017	4:32:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight	Slowing
916	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	11/22/2017	2:38:00 PM	PDO	10	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Other	Stopped
917	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	11/24/2017	1:46:00 PM	PDO	350	W	Driveway Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Slowing
918	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	11/28/2017	1:34:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight	Straight
919	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2	11/28/2017	5:31:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Straight	Left Turn
920	29 RD & PATTERSON RD	12/2/2017	2:39:00 PM	PDO	350	S	Driveway Related	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
921	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	12/4/2017	8:37:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Front	N	Straight	Straight
922	PATTERSON RD & FORESIGHT CIR	12/5/2017	3:36:00 PM	PDO	480	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
923	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	12/5/2017	5:27:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Stopped
924	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	12/7/2017	2:37:00 PM	PDO	40	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight	Slowing
925	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2	12/8/2017	12:45:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Straight
926	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	12/8/2017	1:50:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Straight	Left Turn
927	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	12/14/2017	11:11:00 PM	PDO			Intersection Related	Front to Side	W	Straight	Left Turn
928	PATTERSON RD & HOME DEPOT SIGNAL	12/14/2017	1:55:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
929	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	12/15/2017	9:50:00 PM	PDO	100	W	Non-Int	Side-Side Same Dir	E	Changing Lanes	Straight
930	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	12/16/2017	2:49:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Straight	Straight
931	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	12/17/2017	5:31:00 PM	PDO	350	E	Driveway Related	Front to Rear	N	Right Turn	Straight
932	PATTERSON RD & AGANA	12/17/2017	1:44:00 PM	PDO	130	W	Intersection Related	Fence	N	Left Turn	UNK
933	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	12/18/2017	2:35:00 PM	PDO	160	W	Intersection Related	Front to Front	NE	Changing Lanes	Straight
934	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2	12/21/2017	6:15:00 PM	PDO							

#	Intersection	Date	Time	Severity	Distance From Int	Direction from Int	Road Description	Accident Type	Dir	Vehicle 1 Movement	Vehicle 2 Movement
939	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	12/31/2017	10:07:00 PM	PDO			At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight
940	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	1/2/2018	10:56:00 AM	PDO	1215	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Left Turn
941	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	1/3/2018	3:01:00 PM	PDO	120	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight/following road	Stopped
942	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	1/3/2018	5:01:00 PM	PDO	602	E	Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Changing Lanes	Straight/following road
943	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	1/4/2018	1:55:00 PM	PDO	108	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following road
944	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	1/8/2018	5:50:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight/following road	Stopped
945	PATTERSON RD & HOME DEPOT SIGNAL	1/9/2018	11:50:00 AM	PDO	500	E	Non-Intersection	Light Pole / Utility Pole	W	Straight/following road	UNK
946	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	1/10/2018	2:14:00 PM	PDO	500	S	At Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Changing Lanes	Stopped
947	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	1/10/2018	2:01:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Stopped
948	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	1/12/2018	10:15:00 AM	PDO	30	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight/following road	Stopped
949	636 MARKET ST & PATTERSON RD	1/12/2018	5:15:00 PM	PDO	224	N	At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Left Turn
950	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	1/18/2018	8:57:00 AM	PDO	20	E	Intersection Related	Enbankment	N	Right Turn	Straight/following road
951	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	1/19/2018	3:52:00 PM	FAT	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
952	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	1/19/2018	8:20:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Traffic Signal Pole	S	Left Turn	UNK
953	PATTERSON RD & HWY 6 & 50	1/19/2018	11:11:00 PM	PDO	150	N	Non-Intersection	Enbankment	W	Straight/following road	UNK
954	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	1/20/2018	7:54:00 PM	INJ	200	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
955	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	1/21/2018	1:16:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Curb	N	Left Turn	UNK
956	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	1/21/2018	12:47:00 PM	PDO	100	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight/following road	Stopped
957	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	1/21/2018	4:07:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
958	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	1/22/2018	8:54:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
959	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	1/23/2018	5:43:00 PM	PDO	73	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight/following road	Stopped
960	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	1/24/2018	5:49:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Left Turn
961	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	1/27/2018	11:40:00 AM	PDO	600	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
962	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	1/29/2018	10:13:00 AM	INJ	1500	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Slowing
963	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	1/30/2018	7:55:00 AM	PDO	1200	E	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Straight/following road
964	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	1/31/2018	3:25:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
965	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	2/2/2018	5:32:00 PM	PDO	300	E	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
966	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	2/3/2018	1:00:00 PM	PDO	200	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight/following road	Stopped
967	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	2/3/2018	10:40:00 PM	PDO	50	N	At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	S	Changing Lanes	Straight/following road
968	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	2/8/2018	7:40:00 AM	INJ	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
969	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	2/8/2018	11:14:00 AM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
970	PATTERSON RD & GRAND CASCADE WY	2/9/2018	7:53:00 AM	PDO	50	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
971	PATTERSON RD & GRAND CASCADE WY	2/9/2018	7:53:00 AM	PDO	70	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
972	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	2/12/2018	2:02:00 PM	PDO	50	E	Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Changing Lanes	Straight/following road
973	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	2/13/2018	11:51:00 AM	PDO	300	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Slowing
974	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	2/14/2018	5:14:00 PM	PDO	230	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Slowing
975	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	2/14/2018	10:07:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	N	Left Turn	Straight/following road
976	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	2/15/2018	6:42:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	N	Left Turn	Straight/following road
977	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	2/15/2018	6:53:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Backing	Stopped
978	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	2/16/2018	8:43:00 AM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Side	W	Changing Lanes	Straight/following road
979	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	2/20/2018	3:08:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following road
980	PATTERSON RD & SPRING VALLEY CIR	2/22/2018	7:11:00 AM	INJ	150	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
981	PATTERSON RD & GRAND CASCADE WY	2/22/2018	6:51:00 AM	PDO	250	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
982	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	2/22/2018	7:11:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following road
983	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	2/25/2018	11:44:00 AM	PDO	50	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
984	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	2/26/2018	2:40:00 PM	PDO	100	W	Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	E	Changing Lanes	Stopped
985	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD	2/28/2018	5:21:00 PM	PDO	70	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
986	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	2/28/2018	7:41:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
987	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	2/28/2018	10:45:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Left Turn
988	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	2/28/2018	1:24:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following road
989	PATTERSON RD & PARTEE DR	3/1/2018	7:45:00 AM	PDO	0		Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
990	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	3/1/2018	3:22:00 PM	PDO	300	W	At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
991	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	3/3/2018	10:01:00 AM	PDO	30	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight/following road	Stopped
992	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	3/3/2018	10:36:00 AM	PDO	20	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight/following road	Stopped
993	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	3/3/2018	2:13:00 PM	PDO	400	S	At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
994	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	3/5/2018	5:56:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
995	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	3/6/2018	5:20:00 PM	PDO	160	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
996	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	3/6/2018	4:57:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
997	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	3/8/2018	10:47:00 AM	PDO	15	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
998	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	3/8/2018	1:23:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following road
999	PATTERSON RD & BURKEY ST	3/8/2018	2:11:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Bicycle	S	Right Turn	Straight/following road
1000	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	3/8/2018	9:46:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1001	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	3/9/2018	4:06:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
1002	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	3/14/2018	4:10:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	UNK	UNK	Straight/following road
1003	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	3/15/2018	12:17:00 PM	PDO	181	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Slowing
1004	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	3/19/2018	5:15:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1005	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	3/21/2018	2:55:00 PM	PDO	220	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
1006	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	3/21/2018	5:08:00 PM	PDO	370	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
1007	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	3/24/2018	5:22:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Left Turn
1008	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	3/28/2018	10:08:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1009	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	3/28/2018	12:30:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Straight/following road	Stopped
1010	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	3/29/2018	7:10:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1011	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	4/1/2018	8:34:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Straight/following road	Stopped
1012	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	4/2/2018	11:11:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1013	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	4/4/2018	7:25:00 AM	PDO	403	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
1014	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	4/4/2018	4:17:00 PM	PDO	363	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
1015	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	4/6/2018	12:27:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1016	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	4/9/2018	4:34:00 PM	PDO	0		Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Avoiding Object
1017	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	4/12/2018	3:42:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Bicycle	W	Straight/following road	Right Turn
1018	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	4/18/2018	1:27:00 PM	PDO	170	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1019	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	4/18/2018	12:27:00 PM	PDO	40	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Changing Lanes	Stopped
1020	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	4/20/2018	11:08:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Straight/following road
1021	PATTERSON RD & MIRA VISTA RD	4/21/2018	6:12:00 PM	PDO	300	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Slowing
1022	PATTERSON RD & VIEW POINT DR	4/21/2018	3:54:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	All Other Peds	S	Right Turn	Straight/following road
1023	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	4/27/2018	3:16:00 PM	PDO	200	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
1024	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	5/2/2018	11:30:00 AM	PDO	100	S	Driveway Access Related	Side to Side Same Dir	N	Changing Lanes	Straight/following road
1025	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	5/2/2018	8:37:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1026	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	5/2/2018	3:12:00 PM	PDO	20	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
1027	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	5/2/2018	4:41:00 PM	PDO	300	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
1028	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	5/3/2018	5:29:00 PM	INJ	500	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
1029	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	5/3/2018	10:47:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1030	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	5/4/2018	12:31:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	N	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1031	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	5/5/2018	9:05:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1032	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	5/5/2018	12:15:00 PM	PDO	570	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
1033	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	5/6/2018	4:32:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
1034	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	5/8/2018	12:58:00 PM	PDO	466	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1035	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	5/9/2018	1:32:00 PM	PDO	130	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1036	PATTERSON RD & RIO GRANDE DR	5/9/2018	4:10:00 PM	PDO	0		Non-Intersection	Curb	W	Straight/following road	UNK
1037	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	5/9/2018	6:38:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1038	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	5/11/2018	12:49:00 PM	PDO	230	S	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	N	Other	Stopped
1039	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	5/12/2018	6:30:00 PM	PDO	400	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Slowing
1040	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	5/13/2018	9:24:00 AM	PDO	1000	W	Driveway Access Related	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Straight/following road
1041	PATTERSON RD & HWY 6 & 50	5/15/2018	2:24:00 PM	PDO	250	N	Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	NE	Changing Lanes	Straight/following road
1042	PATTERSON RD & MIRA VISTA RD	5/15/2018	5:00:00 PM	PDO	355	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	UNK	UNK	UNK
1043	I-70B & PATTERSON RD	5/16/2018	9:51:00 AM	PDO	0		Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	W	Changing Lanes	Left Turn
1044	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	5/18/2018	3:55:00 PM	INJ	250	W	Intersection Related	Overturning	E	Other	UNK
1045	PATTERSON RD & GRAND VALLEY DR	5/22/2018	8:55:00 AM	INJ	155	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1046	PATTERSON RD & GRAND VALLEY DR	5/22/2018	8:55:00 AM	INJ	155	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1047	PATTERSON RD & SANTA FE DR	5/23/2018	7:16:00 AM	PDO	50	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Slowing
1048	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	5/23/2018	4:12:00 PM	PDO							

#	Intersection	Date	Time	Severity	Distance From Int	Direction from Int	Road Description	Accident Type	Dir	Vehicle 1 Movement	Vehicle 2 Movement
1057	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	6/6/2018	11:56:00 AM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
1058	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	6/6/2018	5:02:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
1059	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	6/7/2018	12:50:00 PM	PDO	350	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Slowing
1060	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	6/7/2018	2:26:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1061	PATTERSON RD & 28 3/4 RD	6/8/2018	4:42:00 PM	PDO	0		Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
1062	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	6/9/2018	12:38:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1063	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	6/9/2018	6:19:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Right Turn	Right Turn
1064	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	6/12/2018	7:05:00 AM	PDO	40	E	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Opposite Dir	E	Drove Wrong Way	Straight/following road
1065	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	6/12/2018	3:40:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1066	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	6/14/2018	4:22:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1067	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	6/16/2018	2:54:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Slowing
1068	PATTERSON RD & MCMULLIN DR	6/19/2018	5:13:00 PM	INJ	0	W	At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1069	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	6/20/2018	11:25:00 AM	PDO	150	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	W	Straight/following road	Left Turn
1070	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	6/23/2018	11:53:00 PM	PDO	750	S	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	S	Straight/following road	Slowing
1071	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	6/25/2018	10:38:00 AM	PDO	150	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Straight/following road	Stopped
1072	PATTERSON RD & MIRA VISTA RD	6/27/2018	2:26:00 PM	FAT	260	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	UNK	UNK	Left Turn
1073	PATTERSON RD & COMMERCE BLVD	6/27/2018	8:55:00 AM	INJ	306	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1074	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	6/27/2018	9:45:00 AM	PDO	580	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1075	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	6/28/2018	3:57:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1076	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	6/29/2018	10:56:00 AM	PDO	400	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
1077	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	7/2/2018	2:23:00 PM	PDO	173	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1078	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	7/2/2018	3:48:00 PM	PDO	50	S	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Straight/following road	Slowing
1079	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	7/2/2018	11:19:00 PM	PDO	0		Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Slowing
1080	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	7/2/2018	2:38:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1081	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	7/5/2018	10:04:00 AM	PDO	80	N	Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	S	Right Turn	Straight/following road
1082	PATTERSON RD & SPRING VALLEY CIR	7/5/2018	12:48:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
1083	PATTERSON RD & 28 RD	7/7/2018	10:39:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1084	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	7/8/2018	7:46:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1085	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	7/9/2018	3:17:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1086	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	7/9/2018	1:43:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
1087	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	7/12/2018	12:57:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1088	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	7/20/2018	1:32:00 PM	PDO	150	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	N	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1089	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	7/22/2018	12:41:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Slowing
1090	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	7/23/2018	5:32:00 PM	PDO	132	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Slowing
1091	636 MARKET ST & PATTERSON RD	7/24/2018	9:08:00 AM	PDO	224	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Left Turn
1092	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	7/26/2018	4:28:00 PM	PDO	50	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
1093	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	7/26/2018	5:20:00 PM	PDO	350	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Slowing
1094	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	7/26/2018	2:24:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	E	Straight/following road	Left Turn
1095	PATTERSON RD & PLACER ST	7/28/2018	10:52:00 AM	PDO	286	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Front	E	Other	Straight/following road
1096	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	7/29/2018	4:29:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1097	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	7/31/2018	4:23:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Overturning	E	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1098	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	8/6/2018	11:54:00 AM	PDO	250	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1099	636 MARKET ST & PATTERSON RD	8/7/2018	12:05:00 PM	PDO	294	N	At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Left Turn
1100	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	8/8/2018	4:08:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1101	636 MARKET ST & PATTERSON RD	8/12/2018	2:24:00 PM	PDO	220	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Left Turn
1102	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	8/12/2018	9:52:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Stopped
1103	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	8/14/2018	2:16:00 PM	PDO	695	W	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	S	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1104	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	8/14/2018	3:19:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight/following road	Left Turn
1105	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	8/14/2018	1:52:00 PM	PDO	245	W	Intersection Related	Other - Non Collision	E	Other	UNK
1106	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	8/18/2018	6:10:00 AM	INJ	0		At Intersection	All Other Peds	N	UNK	Straight/following road
1107	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	8/22/2018	11:41:00 AM	PDO	50	N	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	S	Changing Lanes	Stopped
1108	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	8/22/2018	3:35:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	N	Left Turn	Left Turn
1109	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	8/23/2018	6:46:00 PM	PDO	20	E	Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	N	Right Turn	Straight/following road
1110	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	8/26/2018	2:14:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1111	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	8/26/2018	8:01:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1112	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	8/26/2018	8:17:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1113	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	8/27/2018	5:43:00 PM	INJ	685	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Slowing
1114	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	8/29/2018	5:41:00 PM	PDO	150	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
1115	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	8/29/2018	12:27:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1116	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	8/29/2018	12:40:00 PM	PDO	100	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
1117	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	8/29/2018	5:26:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	S	Right Turn	Straight/following road
1118	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	9/4/2018	8:03:00 AM	PDO	175	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Slowing
1119	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	9/4/2018	3:17:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Right Turn
1120	PATTERSON RD & 25 1/2 RD	9/5/2018	5:27:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1121	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	9/6/2018	4:05:00 PM	PDO	200	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Straight/following road
1122	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	9/7/2018	11:54:00 AM	PDO	350	E	Intersection Related	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Changing Lanes	Straight/following road
1123	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	9/14/2018	6:24:00 PM	INJ	15	W	At Intersection	All Other Peds	N	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1124	PATTERSON RD & N 1ST ST	9/14/2018	3:07:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1125	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	9/18/2018	11:30:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1126	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	9/21/2018	12:36:00 PM	PDO	150	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1127	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	9/25/2018	10:33:00 AM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Right Turn	Straight/following road
1128	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	9/25/2018	1:53:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1129	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	9/27/2018	5:25:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
1130	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	9/29/2018	2:15:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
1131	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	10/1/2018	5:22:00 PM	PDO	500	S	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Slowing	Stopped
1132	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	10/6/2018	7:48:00 PM	PDO	500	S	At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1133	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	10/7/2018	10:34:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1134	PATTERSON RD & BEECHWOOD ST	10/9/2018	7:59:00 AM	PDO	250	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Slowing
1135	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	10/11/2018	7:54:00 AM	PDO	600	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Stopped
1136	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	10/13/2018	2:25:00 PM	PDO	60	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Slowing
1137	PATTERSON RD & SERANADE ST	10/13/2018	10:32:00 PM	PDO	158	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1138	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	10/14/2018	10:06:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1139	PATTERSON RD & N 15TH ST	10/17/2018	4:55:00 PM	PDO	20	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
1140	PATTERSON RD & BELHAVEN WY	10/18/2018	3:54:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1141	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	10/19/2018	12:30:00 PM	PDO	100	E	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
1142	PATTERSON RD & N 12TH ST	10/24/2018	11:00:00 AM	PDO	150	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Rear	W	Slowing	Slowing
1143	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	10/25/2018	11:09:00 AM	PDO	200	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Slowing
1144	PATTERSON RD & COTTAGE MEADOWS	10/26/2018	6:04:00 PM	INJ	0		At Intersection	Other - Non Collision	W	Changing Lanes	UNK
1145	PATTERSON RD & HWY 6 & 50	10/26/2018	8:37:00 PM	INJ	0		Non-Intersection	Enbankment	SW	Straight/following road	UNK
1146	PATTERSON RD & BEECHWOOD ST	10/27/2018	3:28:00 PM	PDO	50	W	Non-Intersection	Sign	W	Straight/following road	UNK
1147	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	10/30/2018	10:29:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
1148	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	10/31/2018	3:06:00 PM	PDO	200	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Slowing
1149	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	11/3/2018	4:05:00 PM	PDO	492	E	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
1150	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	11/3/2018	11:20:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1151	PATTERSON RD & GRAND CASCADE WY	11/4/2018	7:34:00 PM	PDO	0	W	Non-Intersection	Side to Side Same Dir	W	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1152	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	11/6/2018	7:53:00 PM	PDO	0		Intersection Related	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
1153	PATTERSON RD & 27 1/2 RD	11/7/2018	6:46:00 PM	PDO	200	E	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1154	636 MARKET ST & PATTERSON RD	11/9/2018	11:52:00 AM	PDO	250	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Right Turn	Left Turn
1155	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	11/9/2018	6:22:00 PM	PDO	400	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	UNK	UNK	Stopped
1156	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	11/12/2018	6:28:00 PM	PDO	250	W	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	E	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1157	PATTERSON RD & N 7TH ST	11/12/2018	6:09:00 PM	PDO	0	S	At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1158	PATTERSON RD & MIRA VISTA RD	11/13/2018	12:13:00 PM	PDO	500	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Slowing
1159	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	11/14/2018	4:03:00 PM	PDO	400	S	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1160	PATTERSON RD & 29 RD	11/16/2018	6:07:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Slowing	Stopped
1161	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	11/17/2018	2:38:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Front	S	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1162	PATTERSON RD & MARKET ST	11/17/2018	5:18:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Left Turn
1163	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	11/22/2018	5:08:00 PM	PDO	0	W	At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1164	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	11/28/2018	9:58:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	S	Straight/following road	Straight/following road
1165	PATTERSON RD & GRAND CASCADE WY										

#	Intersection	Date	Time	Severity	Distance From Int	Direction from Int	Road Description	Accident Type	Dir	Vehicle 1 Movement	Vehicle 2 Movement
1174	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	12/7/2018	2:52:00 PM	PDO	189	N	Driveway Access Related	Front to Side	W	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1175	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	12/8/2018	2:21:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	N	Straight/following road	Stopped
1176	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	12/10/2018	6:45:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Right Turn	Straight/following road
1177	PATTERSON RD & 24 RD	12/11/2018	8:57:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	N	Left Turn	Straight/following road
1178	PATTERSON RD & MESA MALL ENTRANCE	12/13/2018	10:48:00 AM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	W	Straight/following road	Left Turn
1179	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	12/13/2018	3:19:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight/following road	Left Turn
1180	PATTERSON RD & 25 RD	12/14/2018	3:15:00 PM	PDO	570	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
1181	PATTERSON RD & 24 1/2 RD	12/15/2018	4:56:00 PM	PDO	500	S	At Intersection	Front to Front	E	Left Turn	Left Turn
1182	PATTERSON RD & 30 RD	12/16/2018	3:14:00 PM	PDO	400	W	Intersection Related	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Slowing
1183	PATTERSON RD & 28 1/4 RD	12/18/2018	12:23:00 PM	PDO	150	W	Non-Intersection	Front to Rear	E	Straight/following road	Stopped
1184	PATTERSON RD & MIRA VISTA RD	12/19/2018	3:51:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Rear	W	Straight/following road	Stopped
1185	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	12/23/2018	5:37:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Bicycle	W	Straight/following road	Left Turn
1186	PATTERSON RD & 29 1/2 RD	12/24/2018	2:59:00 PM	PDO	0		At Intersection	Front to Side	E	Straight/following road	Left Turn

Appendix D - Traffic Methodology, Data, and Analysis

**CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION
PATTERSON ROAD
ACCESS STUDY –
TRAFFIC OPERATIONS**

US 6/ US 50/ I-70B to Lodgepole Street

January 2021

Prepared for:

City of Grand Junction
250 North 5th Street
Grand Junction, CO 81501

Prepared by:

Stolfus & Associates, Inc.
5690 DTC Boulevard, Suite 330W
Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111
Andrew Amend, PE, PTOE - Project Manager
SAI Reference No. 4000.038.01

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Existing Traffic Operations.....	3
1.1	Traffic Volumes	3
1.2	Level of Service Criteria.....	3
1.3	LOS Analysis	4
1.3.1	Intersections	4
1.3.2	Facility Operations	5
2.0	Year 2045 No Build Traffic Operations	6
2.1	Year 2045 Traffic Volumes and Roadway Network.....	6
2.2	Signal Warrants	7
2.3	Auxiliary Lanes.....	8
2.4	Additional Geometric Changes to No Build Model	8
2.5	2045 No Build Traffic Operations	9
2.5.1	Intersections	9
2.5.2	Facility Operations	10
3.0	Year 2045 ACP Traffic Operations	10
3.1	Year 2045 ACP Scenario	10
3.2	Auxiliary Lanes.....	11
3.3	Geometric Changes to 2045 ACP Model	12
3.4	2045 ACP Traffic Operations	12
3.4.1	Intersections	12
3.4.2	Facility Operations	13
3.5	Evaluated Alternatives	13

1.0 EXISTING TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

1.1 Traffic Volumes

Existing traffic volumes were collected throughout the study area. Turning movement counts were collected on Tuesday, March 3, 2020 during the AM peak period (7:00-9:00 AM) and the PM peak period (4:00-6:00 PM) at 15 intersections. Vehicle classification counts were collected at 13 locations along Patterson Rd from March 3-4, 2020. Since turning movements were not collected at 15th St, the volumes at that intersection were taken from a Traffic Impact Study conducted by Kimley Horn for the intersection of 12th St and Patterson Rd. The existing traffic counts are included in Appendix D.

1.2 Level of Service Criteria

Traffic analyses were conducted in accordance with procedures outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition (HCM), and include intersection and highway segment Level-of-Service (LOS). LOS is a measure of the quality of traffic flow and ranges from LOS A (nearly ideal traffic conditions with very little delay for motorists) to LOS F (poor traffic conditions with long motorist delays). LOS C is typically considered a “good” traffic condition. LOS D or better conditions are typically desirable during peak traffic periods; however, LOS E conditions are not uncommon. LOS F, although undesirable, is also not uncommon for side street traffic movements at full movement, unsignalized intersections with high volume arterial roadways.

Where an unsignalized intersection operates at LOS E or F, a volume to capacity (V/C) ratio has been reported for the critical movement. The V/C ratio is a measure of how close a movement is to capacity, with 1.00 indicating that the movement has reached capacity. Where V/C exceeds 1.00, traffic demand during peak periods exceeds the capacity for the movement. This condition will cause queues (amount of traffic backed up at an intersection) to grow, potentially overflowing auxiliary lanes and blocking adjacent traffic lanes until demand decreases. Examples of LOS for various modes of travel are shown below.

Level of Service	Automobile	Bicycle	Pedestrian	Bus
A/B				 >4 buses/hour
C/D				 2 to 4 buses/hour
E/F				 <1 bus/hour
				

Source: FDOT Quality/Level of Service Handbook

Table 1 provides a summary of the HCM's LOS Criteria for intersections and Table 2 provides a summary of the LOS Criteria for urban street segments.

Table 1 – Intersection LOS Criteria

Level of Service (LOS)	Signalized Intersection	Unsignalized Intersection	Traffic Characteristics
	Average Intersection Delay (sec/veh)	Worst Movement (sec/veh)	
A	<= 10	<= 10	Free Flow / Insignificant Delays
B	> 10-20	> 10-15	Stable Flow / Minimal Delays
C	> 20-35	>15-25	Stable Flow / Acceptable Delays
D	> 35-55	>25-35	Nearing Unstable / Tolerable Delays
E	> 55-80	>35-50	Unstable Flow / Significant Delays
F	> 80	> 50	Forced Flow / Excessive Delays

Table 2 – Urban Street LOS Criteria

LOS	Travel Speed Threshold by Base Free-Flow Speed (mi/h)							Volume to Capacity Ratio
	55	50	45	40	35	30	25	
A	>44	>40	>36	>32	>28	>24	>20	<=1.0
B	>37	>34	>30	>27	>23	>20	>17	
C	>28	>25	>23	>20	>18	>15	>13	
D	>22	>20	>18	>16	>14	>12	>10	
E	>17	>15	>14	>12	>11	>9	>8	
F	<=17	<=15	<=14	<=12	<=11	<=9	<=8	
F	Any							>1.0

1.3 LOS Analysis

1.3.1 Intersections

Traffic operations were evaluated using the *Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition* methods as

applied in the HCS 7 software. The Streets module in HCS was the primary tool used for analyzing traffic operations for both the intersections and the roadway segments between intersections along Patterson Rd. TEAPAC files containing the existing signal timings were provided by the City of Grand Junction. Since HCS is better suited to conduct the traffic analysis required by this ACP, the timings from TEAPAC were imported into the HCS models. Table 3 and

Table 4 show the existing traffic operations at the stop-controlled and signalized intersections.

Table 3 – Existing Stop-Controlled Intersection Delay & LOS

Intersection	Movement	AM		Movement	PM	
		Delay (sec)	LOS (V/C)		Delay (sec)	LOS (V/C)
28 Rd & Patterson Rd	SBL	613.0	F (1.57)	SBL	527.9	F (1.34)

Table 4 – Existing Signalized Intersection Delay & LOS

Intersection	AM		PM	
	Delay (sec)	LOS	Delay (sec)	LOS
24 Rd & Patterson Rd	31.4	C	48.6	D
Market St & Patterson Rd	11.8	B	27.8	C
Mesa Mall Access & Patterson Rd	8.4	A	19.2	B
24 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd	15.7	B	27.4	C
25 Rd & Patterson Rd	33.2	C	108.8	F
25 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd	21.7	C	34.0	C
N 1st St & Patterson Rd	24.3	C	19.0	B
N 7th St & Patterson Rd	26.1	C	28.2	C
N 12th St & Patterson Rd	32.9	C	39.4	D
N 15th St & Patterson Rd	5.1	A	7.1	A
27 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd	18.9	B	18.5	B
28 1/4 Rd & Patterson Rd	18.4	B	19.0	B
29 Rd & Patterson Rd	56.1	E	54.8	D
29 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd	19.0	B	14.1	B
30 Rd & Patterson Rd	31.7	C	30.2	C

All the signalized intersections operate at acceptable levels of service, with the exception of Patterson Rd & 25 Rd, which operates at LOS F in the PM peak hour. This is primarily due to the eastbound thru movement being over capacity. The stop-controlled intersection of Patterson Rd & 28 Rd fails in both the AM and PM time periods as a result of the southbound left turn movement having difficulty finding gaps in traffic while turning onto Patterson Rd. The V/C ratio is well over 1.00 in both time periods, indicating that queuing is likely a problem for the southbound left turning movement. Since the traffic volumes at this intersection are too low to warrant a signal, restricting the southbound left turn movement should be considered. The HCS results have been included in Appendix D.

1.3.2 Facility Operations

In accordance with HCM methods, traffic operations for both the individual urban street segments and the overall facility were analyzed. Table 5 shows the travel speed, percent of free flow speed (PFFS), and the LOS.

Table 5 – Existing Facility Operations

Segment	AM						PM					
	Eastbound			Westbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
	Travel Speed MPH	PFFS	LOS	Travel Speed MPH	PFFS	LOS	Travel Speed MPH	PFFS	LOS	Travel Speed MPH	PFFS	LOS
24 Rd to Market St	12.3	29.2	F	24.8	59.7	C	12.7	30.1	E	13.1	31.6	E
Market St to Mesa Mall Access	21.6	51.3	C	25.8	61.8	C	14.4	34.1	E	18.0	43.1	D
Mesa Mall Access to 24 1/2 Rd	30.2	75.2	B	30.3	74.5	B	26.3	65.5	C	28.9	70.9	B
24 1/2 Rd to 25 Rd	34.8	85.5	A	25.2	58.6	C	26.1	64.2	C	26.2	61.0	C
25 Rd to 25 1/2 Rd	22.2	51.4	C	35.5	82.3	A	7.4	17.2	F	30.4	70.4	B
25 1/2 Rd to 1st St	31.5	73.1	B	29.6	72.6	B	21.6	50.1	C	33.2	81.6	A
1st St to 7th St	30.6	72.5	B	27.4	68.7	B	29.8	70.7	B	24.4	61.1	C
7th St to 12th St	33.6	84.0	A	23.6	55.7	C	25.9	64.7	C	22.6	53.4	C
12th St to 15th St	14.7	36.8	E	34.1	80.7	A	14.5	36.3	E	31.7	75.1	B
15th St to 27 1/2 Rd	36.2	82.2	A	27.0	61.3	C	32.8	74.5	B	26.4	59.8	C
27 1/2 Rd to 28 1/4 Rd	36.5	84.0	A	34.7	75.6	B	37.4	85.9	A	36.4	79.4	B
28 1/4 Rd to 29 Rd	35.8	84.1	A	32.6	72.6	B	34.7	81.6	A	32.4	72.2	B
29 Rd to 29 1/2 Rd	27.6	62.8	C	31.1	70.8	B	24.5	55.9	C	36.8	83.7	A
29 1/2 Rd to 30 Rd	36.7	80.6	A	20.5	50.2	C	37.4	82.1	A	19.6	48.1	D
Facility (24 Rd to 30 Rd)	29.2	68.7	B	28.5	66.8	C	21.9	51.5	F	27.3	64.0	C

As can be seen in the table, most of the segments operate acceptably. Notably, the segment from 24 Rd to Market St operates at a LOS F for the eastbound direction in the AM, and LOS E in both directions during the PM. The segment from 25 Rd to 25 ½ Rd operates at LOS F for the eastbound direction in the PM. Overall, the facility operates at LOS B and C for the eastbound and westbound directions in the AM. The westbound direction operates at LOS C in the PM, while the eastbound direction operates at LOS F. HCS gives a facility LOS of F if any of the segments are over capacity, so while the eastbound direction in the PM is technically LOS F, it is only due to one segment operating over capacity. Overall, the travel speeds along the corridor are good.

2.0 YEAR 2045 NO BUILD TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

2.1 Year 2045 Traffic Volumes and Roadway Network

The 2045 No Build scenario models the projected traffic conditions in the year 2045, assuming the access recommendations proposed by the ACP have not been implemented. The No Build scenario is used to identify which locations will potentially develop operational issues due to growth in traffic volumes, and is used to compare operational characteristics with the Year 2045 ACP scenario. Traffic operations or conditions in the 2045 No Build scenario may be unacceptable, with potential solutions to these issues proposed in the ACP scenario.

While the roadway geometry remained consistent with the Existing scenario, the traffic volumes were increased to reflect the expected growth in the surrounding area. The 2045 intersection volumes were forecasted using the Grand Valley MPO Travel Demand Model. The primary purpose of the year 2045 Travel Demand Model is to forecast traffic and travel in communities throughout the region. Additionally, the model can support evaluation of proposed roadway projects, help evaluate potential impacts of proposed development projects, and support various other studies of the region, subareas, corridors, and other planning activities. The model has been calibrated to reflect a base year of 2018, and contains future year data reflecting the forecasted year 2045 conditions. Generally speaking, collector roadways and

above are reflected in the model. Local roadways and private accesses are not represented. Land and roadway developments that are expected to be completed by the year 2045 have been incorporated into the model, while projects that are not yet a certainty, have not been included. The City of Grand Junction has provided information on several proposed developments that are expected to be completed by the year 2045. The location of each development is listed below:

- NW corner of 27 Rd and Patterson Rd
- 2566 & 2580 Patterson
- Burkey Park
- Matchett Park
- Orange Grove and Thunder Valley
- NW of 7th and Patterson

The year 2045 model from the Grand Valley MPO has the option to include an interchange between I-70 and 29 Rd. This interchange would increase traffic volumes along a portion of Patterson Rd, and decrease the volumes along a different portion. This project is currently unfunded, and there is no certainty of it being completed by 2045. Because of the uncertainty, the year 2045 Travel Demand Model that is used for this project will not include an interchange at 29 Rd.

The Iterative Procedure – Directional Method as described in NCHRP Report 765 Analytical Travel Forecasting Approaches for Project-Level Planning and Design was used to generate intersection turning movement forecasts for the horizon year. The directional method uses an iterative approach to alternatively balance entering traffic and departing traffic volumes until an acceptable level of convergence is reached. The program Turns32 was used to balance the volumes. There were three sets of volumes for this project: year 2020 turning movement counts, year 2018 travel demand model, and year 2045 travel demand model. The increase in link volumes from the 2018 to 2045 travel demand model was calculated, and then added to the link volumes of the 2020 turning movement counts. These link volumes, along with the raw 2020 turning movement counts were input into Turns32. The turning movement counts were then increased in Turns32 to balance with the link volumes. These increased turning movements are the 2045 projected turning movements used in the traffic analysis. The 2045 projected turning movement volumes are 33% higher than the 2020 turning movement counts in the AM peak hour, and 24% higher in the PM peak hour.

2.2 Signal Warrants

In order to identify potential future traffic control at full movement intersections, traffic signal warrants were evaluated at a high level. The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) contains nine traffic signal warrants that help determine if installing a traffic signal at a particular location is justified. The signal warrants are listed below.

- #1 – Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume
- #2 – Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
- #3 – Peak Hour Vehicular Volume
- #4 – Pedestrian Volume
- #5 – School Crossing
- #6 – Coordinated Signal System
- #7 – Crash Experience

#8 – Roadway Network

#9 – Intersection Near a (Railroad) Grade Crossing

The only unsignalized intersection being studied along Patterson Rd is the intersection of Patterson Rd & 28 Rd, which is currently stop-controlled. Since 2045 traffic volumes are speculative, only the Peak Hour (#3) signal warrant was evaluated at corridor intersections. In order for a signal to be warranted, the left turning movement from 28 Rd onto Patterson Rd would have to be at least 100 vph. It is projected to be 49 vph in the AM, and 77 vph in the PM, meaning that a signal is not warranted. In 2021 the City will be connecting 28 Rd to the signal at 28 ¼ Rd via Hawthorne Ave. This will alleviate the left turn delay problem.

2.3 Auxiliary Lanes

With the increased volumes expected for 2045, each turning movement was assessed to see if an auxiliary lane is warranted, based on the requirements outlined by the Grand Junction Transportation Engineering Design Standards (TEDS) Manual. The number of vehicles required to warrant an auxiliary lane is based on the number of thru lanes on the arterial, the speed limit, and whether it is a right or left turning movement. These requirements can be found in section 29.28.170 of the TEDS Manual. According to the manual, dual lefts were included in locations where the left turning movement exceeded 300 vph. The warranted auxiliary lanes were included in both the 2045 No Build and Build HCS models. Some level of ROW impacts, typical to a public project, are anticipated to occur in order to accommodate the additional auxiliary lanes. Table 6 shows the warranted auxiliary lanes that are not currently in place. The ACP found that thirteen intersections warranted auxiliary lanes as listed in the table below.

Table 6–Required Auxiliary Lanes

Intersection	Movement Warranting Auxiliary Lane	
	Left Decel	Right Decel
24 Rd & Patterson Rd		EBR, WBR, SBR
Market St & Patterson Rd		EBR
Mesa Mall Access & Patterson Rd		EBR
24 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd		EBR, WBR
25 Rd & Patterson Rd		EBR, WBR
25 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd		EBR, WBR
N 1st St & Patterson Rd		WBR
N 7th St & Patterson Rd		WBR
N 12th St & Patterson Rd	WBL (Dual Lefts)	WBR
28 1/4 Rd & Patterson Rd		EBR
29 Rd & Patterson Rd		EBR, WBR
29 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd	NBL, SBL	EBR, WBR
30 Rd & Patterson Rd		EBR, WBR

2.4 Additional Geometric Changes to No Build Model

Along with the required auxiliary lanes that were added to the HCS models for the 2045 No Build scenario, several other intersection improvements that are expected to be completed by the year 2045 were added to the models. The intersection of Patterson Rd & 24 Rd was

modeled with two northbound thru lanes and two eastbound left turn lanes. The intersection of Patterson Rd & 12th St was assumed to have dual lefts for each approach, and the intersection of Patterson Rd & 29 Rd was assumed to have dual northbound left turn lanes. These three intersection improvements were modeled in both the 2045 No Build and the 2045 ACP models. It is anticipated that the 12th Street project will require additional ROW. The other two projects may be able to fit the proposed infrastructure within existing ROW, but may need temporary easements for tie-ins.

2.5 2045 No Build Traffic Operations

2.5.1 Intersections

Traffic operations were evaluated using *Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition* methods as applied in the HCS 7 software. The Streets module in HCS is the primary tool for analyzing traffic operations for both the intersections and the roadway segments between intersections along Patterson Rd. The cycle lengths, splits, and offsets were optimized to accommodate the 2045 traffic patterns. The roadway network was updated to include all of the warranted auxiliary lanes, but the specific changes proposed by this ACP are not in the No Build scenario. Table 7 and

Table 8 show the traffic operations for the intersections along Patterson Rd in the 2045 No Build scenario. The HCS printouts of the results can be found in Appendix D.

Table 7 – 2045 No Build Stop-Control Intersection Delay & LOS

Intersection	AM			PM		
	Movement	Delay (sec)	LOS (V/C)	Movement	Delay (sec)	LOS (V/C)
28 Rd & Patterson Rd	SBL	1520.1	F (3.30)	SBL	1682.2	F (3.92)

Table 8 – 2045 No Build Signalized Intersection Delay & LOS

Intersection	AM		PM	
	Delay (sec)	LOS	Delay (sec)	LOS
24 Rd & Patterson Rd	38.2	D	40.4	D
Market St & Patterson Rd	9.0	A	24.4	C
Mesa Mall Access & Patterson Rd	13.5	B	34.4	C
24 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd	22.6	C	39.5	D
25 Rd & Patterson Rd	31.2	C	74.0	E
25 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd	20.9	C	24.4	C
N 1st St & Patterson Rd	30.7	C	50.5	D
N 7th St & Patterson Rd	20.4	C	52.5	D
N 12th St & Patterson Rd	33.3	C	76.4	E
N 15th St & Patterson Rd	5.9	A	6.0	A
27 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd	19.9	B	19.2	B
28 1/4 Rd & Patterson Rd	26.1	C	36.0	D
29 Rd & Patterson Rd	30.1	C	39.2	D
29 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd	14.3	B	50.6	D
30 Rd & Patterson Rd	27.2	C	20.1	C

The stop-controlled intersection at 28 Rd & Patterson Rd is expected to continue to operate at LOS F in 2045, due to left turns out of the side streets having difficulty finding gaps in the traffic along Patterson Rd. The V/C ratio is far over 1.00, indicating that queueing will be problematic.

Delays have increased at most of the signalized intersections along the corridor due to the increase in traffic volume. The intersections of Patterson Rd & 25 Rd and of Patterson Rd & 12th St are expected to operate at LOS E in the PM. The poor level of service is caused by the eastbound thru movement operating over capacity at both intersections. Without a third eastbound thru lane, it will be difficult to allocate enough green time to the eastbound thru movement without causing operational issues for the side streets.

2.5.2 Facility Operations

Traffic operations for both the individual urban street segments and the overall facility were analyzed using the HCS Streets methods. Table 9 shows the travel speed, percent of free flow speed (PFFS), and the LOS.

Table 9 – 2045 No Build Facility Operations

Segment	AM						PM					
	Eastbound			Westbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
	Travel Speed MPH	PFFS	LOS	Travel Speed MPH	PFFS	LOS	Travel Speed MPH	PFFS	LOS	Travel Speed MPH	PFFS	LOS
24 Rd to Market St	7.5	17.9	F	18.6	44.8	D	3.2	7.7	F	10.8	26.1	F
Market St to Mesa Mall Access	29.8	70.9	B	26.5	63.6	C	18.2	43.3	D	12.3	29.6	F
Mesa Mall Access to 24 1/2 Rd	26.6	66.3	C	28.2	69.2	B	16.9	42.0	D	18.4	56.7	C
24 1/2 Rd to 25 Rd	28.1	69.2	B	23.1	53.7	C	21.0	51.6	C	12.3	28.6	F
25 Rd to 25 1/2 Rd	25.9	60.0	C	34.3	79.5	B	11.5	26.7	F	36.7	85.0	A
25 1/2 Rd to 1st St	30.6	71.0	B	23.6	57.8	C	30.1	69.9	B	26.0	63.8	C
1st St to 7th St	22.6	53.6	C	31.0	77.7	B	13.5	32.0	F	23.0	57.8	C
7th St to 12th St	29.9	74.6	B	20.2	47.8	D	11.1	27.7	F	19.2	45.3	D
12th St to 15th St	13.8	34.6	E	33.9	80.1	A	5.2	13.1	F	35.6	84.1	A
15th St to 27 1/2 Rd	32.8	74.5	B	19.0	43.2	D	28.8	65.4	C	22.4	50.8	C
27 1/2 Rd to 28 1/4 Rd	35.7	82.1	A	29.4	64.1	C	37.4	85.9	A	27.4	59.8	C
28 1/4 Rd to 29 Rd	32.8	77.0	B	28.1	62.6	C	26.2	61.6	C	24.7	54.9	C
29 Rd to 29 1/2 Rd	24.2	55.1	C	34.9	79.5	B	20.7	47.3	D	23.2	53.0	C
29 1/2 Rd to 30 Rd	41.5	91.1	A	28.1	68.9	B	14.9	32.8	F	31.9	78.1	B
Facility (24 Rd to 30 Rd)	26.7	62.9	C	26.8	62.8	C	15.5	42.5	F	22.4	52.3	F

The travel speeds along the highway segments of Patterson Rd have decreased from the Existing Conditions scenario. The roadway segment between 24 Rd and Market St is the most problematic, operating at LOS F for the eastbound direction in the AM and LOS F for both directions in the PM. Several other segments operate at LOS F for the PM time period, most notably the eastbound segment of Patterson Rd from 1st St to 15th St.

3.0 YEAR 2045 ACP TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

3.1 Year 2045 ACP Scenario

The ACP scenario analyzes the traffic conditions assuming that all of the recommendations proposed by the ACP have been implemented. The base traffic volumes remain the same as in

the 2045 No Build scenario, however, in locations where a movement has been restricted in the ACP scenario, the vehicles are rerouted, resulting in different turning movement volumes.

3.2 Auxiliary Lanes

Since the volumes of several of the turning movements in the ACP scenario differ from those in the No Build scenario, each turning movement was reassessed to see if an auxiliary lane is warranted based on the requirements outlined by the Grand Junction Transportation Engineering Design Standards (TEDS) Manual. The number of vehicles required to warrant an auxiliary lane is based on the number of thru lanes on the arterial, the speed limit, and whether it is a right or left turning movement. These requirements can be found in section 29.28.170 of the TEDS Manual. Per the TEDS Manual, dual lefts were included in locations where the left turning movement exceeded 300 vph. Along with identifying the warranted auxiliary lanes, their required lengths were calculated as well, and are shown in Table 10. The total length for both right and left turn lanes in the TEDS Manual standards is calculated by adding the taper length to the 90% queue length. The required auxiliary lanes have been included in the HCS models. It is anticipated that some level of ROW impacts, typical to a public project, will occur to accommodate the additional auxiliary lanes.

Table 10 – 2045 ACP Required Auxiliary Lanes

Intersection	Movement	Volume	Speed Limit	Taper Length	90% Queue Length	Total Length
Patterson Rd & 24 Rd	SBR	71	40	90	43	133
	EBR	207	35	60	0	60
	WBR	359	35	60	0	60
Patterson Rd & Market St	EBR	141	35	60	41	101
Patterson Rd & Home Depot	EBR	227	35	60	103	163
	NBL	279	20	60	139	199
	NBR	249	20	60	205	265
Patterson Rd & 24 1/2 Rd	EBR	251	35	60	165	225
	WBR	282	35	60	92	152
Patterson Rd & 25 Rd	EBR	181	40	90	124	214
	WBR	147	40	90	89	179
Patterson Rd & 25 1/2 Rd	EBR	144	40	90	84	174
	WBR	147	40	90	17	107
Patterson Rd & 1st St	WBR	124	35	60	93	153
Patterson Rd & 7th St	WBR	172	35	60	30	90
Patterson Rd & 12 St	SBL	288	40	90	139	229
	WBL (Dual)	382	40	90	79	169
	WBR	151	40	90	59	149
Patterson Rd & 15 St	EBR	30	40	90	175	265
	WBR	194	40	90	30	120
Patterson Rd & 28 1/4 Rd	EBR	329	40	90	73	163
Patterson Rd & 29 Rd	EBR	310	45	90	154	244
	WBR	98	45	90	21	111
Patterson Rd & 29 1/2 Rd	EBR	96	45	90	73	163
	WBR	265	45	90	114	204
	NBL	86	35	60	73	133
	SBL	155	35	60	191	251
Patterson Rd & 30 Rd	EBR	319	35	60	34	94
	WBR	69	45	90	33	123

3.3 Geometric Changes to 2045 ACP Model

The required auxiliary lanes were included in the 2045 ACP HCS models. The following three changes were added to the 2045 ACP models, just as they were to the 2045 No Build models as well. The intersection of Patterson Rd & 24 Rd was modeled with two northbound thru lanes and two eastbound left turn lanes. The intersection of Patterson Rd & 12th St was assumed to have dual lefts for each approach, and the intersection of Patterson Rd & 29 Rd was assumed to have dual northbound left turn lanes.

3.4 2045 ACP Traffic Operations

3.4.1 Intersections

Traffic operations were evaluated using *Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition* methods as applied in the HCS 7 software. The Streets module in HCS is the primary tool for analyzing traffic operations for both the intersections and the roadway segments between intersections along Patterson Rd. The signal cycle lengths, splits, and offsets were optimized to accommodate the changed traffic patterns. Table 11 and Table 13 show the traffic operations for the intersections along Patterson Rd in the 2045 ACP scenario. The HCS printouts of the results can be found in Appendix D.

Table 11 – 2045 ACP Stop-Control Intersection Delay & LOS

Intersection	AM			PM		
	Movement	Delay (sec)	LOS (V/C)	Movement	Delay (sec)	LOS (V/C)
28 Rd & Patterson Rd	SBR	26.4	D	SBR	16.6	C

Table 12 – 2045 ACP Signalized Intersection Delay & LOS

Intersection	AM		PM	
	Delay (sec)	LOS	Delay (sec)	LOS
24 Rd & Patterson Rd	30.1	C	37.6	D
Market St & Patterson Rd	9.7	A	22.6	C
Mesa Mall Access & Patterson Rd	8.7	A	35.5	D
24 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd	20.5	C	35.4	D
25 Rd & Patterson Rd	28.9	C	55.7	E
25 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd	25.7	C	31.1	C
N 1st St & Patterson Rd	27.8	C	49.0	D
N 7th St & Patterson Rd	25.6	C	34.8	C
N 12th St & Patterson Rd	27.4	C	62.4	E
N 15th St & Patterson Rd	4.5	A	10.5	B
27 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd	20.0	C	30.4	C
28 1/4 Rd & Patterson Rd	24.5	C	33.4	C
29 Rd & Patterson Rd	26.7	C	38.4	D
29 1/2 Rd & Patterson Rd	17.8	B	32.9	C
30 Rd & Patterson Rd	21.5	C	23.0	C

The intersection results are similar to those of the 2045 No Build scenario, with the intersections of Patterson Rd & 25 Rd and Patterson Rd & 12th St still expected to operate at LOS E in the

PM. Unless geometric changes are made to increase the capacity of the eastbound thru movement, it is likely that operations at these two intersections will be problematic by year 2045.

3.4.2 Facility Operations

Traffic operations for both the individual urban street segments and the overall facility were analyzed using the HCS Streets methods. Table 13 shows the travel speed, percent of free flow speed (PFFS), and the LOS.

Table 13 – 2045 ACP Facility Operations

Segment	AM						PM					
	Eastbound			Westbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
	Travel Speed MPH	PFFS	LOS	Travel Speed MPH	PFFS	LOS	Travel Speed MPH	PFFS	LOS	Travel Speed MPH	PFFS	LOS
24 Rd to Market St	7.3	17.3	F	18.6	44.8	D	6.2	14.7	F	16.2	39.1	E
Market St to Mesa Mall Access	27.7	66.7	C	27.1	65.2	C	16.7	40.3	D	13.5	32.5	E
Mesa Mall Access to 24 1/2 Rd	31.9	79.2	B	26.6	65.0	C	16.8	41.7	D	21.3	52.2	C
24 1/2 Rd to 25 Rd	29.8	72.8	B	23.8	54.9	C	21.2	51.6	C	21.8	50.4	C
25 Rd to 25 1/2 Rd	25.7	59.9	C	29.8	69.4	B	16.1	37.6	F	31.6	73.6	B
25 1/2 Rd to 1st St	29.1	67.2	B	24.2	59.1	C	24.3	56.1	C	22.8	55.6	C
1st St to 7th St	24.3	56.8	C	27.0	66.8	C	14.7	34.5	F	29.3	72.6	B
7th St to 12th St	23.9	58.7	C	23.4	54.4	C	31.6	77.5	B	23.7	55.0	C
12th St to 15th St	14.0	34.7	E	34.4	80.7	A	6.1	15.0	F	24.2	56.8	C
15th St to 27 1/2 Rd	35.2	79.8	B	20.7	46.9	D	28.6	65.0	C	12.4	28.2	F
27 1/2 Rd to 28 1/4 Rd	37.7	87.2	A	31.6	69.4	B	36.6	84.6	A	16.4	36.0	F
28 1/4 Rd to 29 Rd	32.8	75.5	B	31.4	68.6	B	31.4	72.3	B	23.9	52.3	C
29 Rd to 29 1/2 Rd	28.1	62.6	C	32.1	71.3	B	23.3	51.8	C	31.5	70.1	B
29 1/2 Rd to 30 Rd	33.6	73.8	B	28.7	70.3	B	28.2	61.9	C	30.5	74.8	B
Facility (24 Rd to 30 Rd)	26.8	62.7	C	27.4	63.6	C	19.9	46.5	F	22.3	51.8	F

The roadway segment between 24 Rd and Market St is the most problematic, operating at LOS F for the eastbound direction during both time periods, similar to the 2045 No Build scenario. Much of Patterson Rd, between 25 Rd and 27 1/2 Rd, is expected to be over capacity for the eastbound direction during the PM peak hour. Overall, however, travel times for both directions and time periods are expected to experience a slight improvement with the implementation of the ACP. This can mostly be attributed to the reduction of access points along the corridor.

3.5 Evaluated Alternatives

Prior to finalizing the ACP, several alternative designs were evaluated along Patterson Rd.

Market St as a Stop-Controlled Intersection: Due to the proximity of Market St to 24 Rd, and the resulting overlap of functional intersection areas, this alternative called for the signal to be removed from the intersection of Patterson Rd & Market St. The north side of the intersection would be right-in right-out, and the south side would be a 3/4 movement. The northbound thru and left turn movements were rerouted to the Home Depot access point, while the southbound thru and left turn movements, and eastbound left turn movement were rerouted to 24 Rd. Although the intersection of Patterson Rd & Market St was expected to operate well in this scenario, it increased the volumes at the intersections of Patterson Rd & 24 Rd and Patterson Rd & Home Depot access. The southern leg of the Home Depot access would require dual northbound left turn lanes, a thru lane, and a right turn lane in order to operate acceptably. This

would result in impacts to the Mesa Mall circulation road and parking. The southbound left turn movement at 24 Rd was expected to increase from 465 vph to 808 vph in the PM. In order for the movement to operate under capacity, there would need to be three left turn lanes, or an alternative intersection design, such as a continuous flow intersection (CFI). Due to the impacts along 24 Rd and at the Mesa Mall and in consideration of the potential relief that a future extension of F 1/2 Rd as a principal arterial would provide, it was decided to keep Market St signalized.

Patterson Rd & 24 Rd as CFI: With Market St as a stop-controlled intersection, the intersection of Patterson Rd & 24 Rd was evaluated as a CFI to handle the increased southbound left turn movement. Only the northern leg of the intersection was analyzed as a CFI, since making the other legs CFI's did not provide significant improvements to traffic operations. The CFI option was expected to operate well during all time periods. Since it was decided to keep Market St as a signalized intersection, the forecasted southbound left turn volume was reduced back to 465 vph, making a CFI unnecessary. The intersection of Patterson Rd & 24 Rd will remain a conventional signalized intersection, with an additional northbound thru and eastbound left turn lane constructed to help traffic operations.

Patterson Rd & 15th St as a $\frac{3}{4}$ movement: A scenario was analyzed where 15th St was made a $\frac{3}{4}$ movement stop-controlled intersection, with the left turns out restricted. Although traffic operations were good under this alternative, the pedestrian crossings would be eliminated if the intersection were to be made stop controlled. As this intersection sees frequent pedestrian crossings, it was considered necessary for the pedestrian crossings to remain, so the intersection will remain signalized.



Memorandum

To: Patterson Road Access Control Plan (ACP) Project Team
From: Maxwell Rusch, PE
Date: March 18, 2020
Re: Patterson Road Traffic Methodology

This memorandum describes the general traffic engineering and transportation planning approach proposed by Stolfus & Associates, Inc. for the Patterson Road Access Control Plan (ACP). The purpose of this memorandum is to outline the primary assumptions and procedures that will be used in the traffic analyses for the project. All traffic analyses conducted for the ACP will be in accordance with this methodology, and used to support access-related decisions made during the course of the project. While access point consolidation is the primary goal of the project, recommendations resulting from the ACP traffic analyses, such as the addition of auxiliary lanes, may be incorporated as well.

STUDY AREA

The study area consists of a 7-mile segment of Patterson Road in Mesa County. The roadway is an undivided, 4-lane roadway. The segment begins at the intersection of I-70 Business & Patterson Road and ends at the intersection of Lodgepole St & Patterson Rd. The entirety of the study area lies within the Grand Junction City boundaries.

EXISTING TRAFFIC

Daily Classification Counts that will be conducted over a two-day period, have been proposed for the following 13 locations:

- East of 24 Road
- West of 24 Road
- East of 24½ Road
- West of 24½ Road
- West of 25 Road
- East of 25 Road
- West of 1st Street
- West of 7th Street
- West of 12th Street
- West of 28 ¼ Road
- West of 29 Road
- East of 29 Road
- East of 30 Road

Peak Hour Turning Movement Counts (7:00-9:00 AM & 4:00-6:00 PM) have been proposed for the following 15 locations:

- 24 Road & Patterson
- Market Street/Mall Access & Patterson
- Home Depot Access/Mesa Mall Access & Patterson
- 24 ½ Road & Patterson
- 25 Road & Patterson
- 25 ½ Road & Patterson
- 1st Street & Patterson
- 7th Street & Patterson
- 12th Street & Patterson
- 27 ½ Road & Patterson
- 28 Road & Patterson.
- 28 ¼ Road & Patterson
- 29 Road & Patterson
- 29 ½ Road & Patterson
- 30 Road & Patterson

FUTURE TRAFFIC

Future intersection volumes will be forecasted using the Grand Valley MPO Travel Demand Model. Models for existing conditions (year 2018-2019) and future conditions (year 2045) will be provided by the MPO. Roadway volumes from the model's base year traffic assignment will be compared to available traffic count data in order to ensure that the model is reasonably representing observed traffic patterns. Some amount of deviation between existing and modeled volumes is acceptable and expected. As is typical with regional models, traffic volumes on higher volume facilities are more reliable than traffic volumes on low volume facilities, such as collector streets and arterial streets. The table below reports the maximum desirable amount of deviation between modeled traffic volume and ground counts for the base year. If the deviation exceeds what is listed below, alterations may be made to the future models link volumes.

Model Volume Validation Criteria

Link Type	Max. Deviation
Freeway	+/- 10%
Expressway	+/- 10%
Principal Arterial	+/- 10%
Minor Arterial	+/- 15%
Collector	+/- 25%

The primary purpose of the year 2045 Travel Demand Model is to forecast traffic and travel in communities throughout the region. Additionally, the model can support evaluation of proposed roadway projects, help evaluate potential impacts of proposed development projects, and support various other studies of the region, subareas, corridors, and other planning activities. The model has been calibrated to reflect a base year of 2018 and contains future year data reflecting the forecasted year 2045 conditions. Generally speaking, Collector roadways and above are reflected in the model. Local roadways and private accesses are not represented. Land and roadway developments that are expected to be completed by the year 2045 have been incorporated into the model, while projects that are not yet a certainty, have not been included. The City of Grand Junction has provided information on several proposed developments that are expected to be completed by the year 2045. The location of each development is listed below:

- NW corner of 27 Rd and Patterson Rd
- 2566 & 2580 Patterson
- Burkey Park
- Matchett Park
- Orange Grove and Thunder Valley
- NW of 7th and Patterson

Intersection improvements have been proposed at the following intersections:

- Patterson Road & 25 Road
- Patterson Road & 28 ½ Road
- Patterson Road & 29 Road
- Patterson Road & 12th Street

Once the 2045 Travel Demand Models have been provided, they will be checked to confirm that these projects and intersection improvements are reflected in the models.

The year 2045 model will have the option to include an interchange between I-70 and 29 Rd. This interchange would increase traffic volumes along a portion of Patterson Rd, and decrease the volumes along a different portion. This project is currently unfunded, and there is no certainty of it being completed by 2045. Because of the uncertainty, the year 2045 Travel Demand Model that is used for this project will not include an interchange at 29 Rd.

The Iterative Procedure – Directional Method as described in NCHRP Report 765 Analytical Travel Forecasting Approaches for Project-Level Planning and Design will be used to generate intersection turning movement forecasts for the horizon year. The directional method uses an iterative approach to alternatively balance entering traffic and departing traffic volumes until an acceptable level of convergence is reached. This method applies existing turning movement volumes, and base and future year link volumes. The iterative procedure—directional method was previously documented in *NCHRP Report 255*. The method has been in use for many years and is widely accepted by transportation practitioners. Directional link volume forecasts and an estimate of intersection turning movement percentages are required. Estimated turning percentages can be based on existing turning movement counts, turning movement patterns at similar intersections, or professional judgment. The method alternatively balances intersection approach and departure volumes in an iterative process until an acceptable level of convergence is reached.

TRAFFIC ANALYSIS SCENARIOS

Traffic operations will be evaluated for the following three scenarios:

- Existing
- '2045 No ACP'
- '2045 ACP'

The existing scenario will be evaluated using existing count data and the existing roadway geometry. The 2045 No ACP scenario will evaluate traffic conditions using volumes from the Year 2045 Travel Demand Model. Roadway developments that are expected to occur irrespective of this ACP will be incorporated into the models. The 2045 ACP scenario will analyze the study area assuming full implementation of the proposed ACP plan. Movements will be rerouted when necessary. Traffic signal timings will be optimized in both 2045 scenarios, and where warranted by the Transportation Engineering Design Standards (TEDS) criteria, auxiliary lanes will also be assumed.

TRAFFIC ANALYSIS APPROACH

Traffic operations will be evaluated using *Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition* methods as applied in the HCS 7 software. The Streets module in HCS will be the primary tool for analyzing traffic operations for both the intersections and the roadway segments between intersections along Patterson Rd.


The impacts of the ACP, from a traffic operations standpoint, are applicable to two of the project goals. The first goal is to provide effective and efficient thru travel for traffic on Patterson Road. This will be evaluated by the corridor travel time in the HCS reports. A decrease in corridor travel time will be deemed favorable, while an increase will be unfavorable. The second goal is to provide safe, effective, and efficient access to and from Patterson Road for businesses, residents, and guests. This will be evaluated by looking at three metrics. The first will be to analyze the left turning movements onto and off of Patterson Road. A decrease in the number of left turning movements with unacceptable traffic operations (LOS E or F) will be deemed favorable, while an increase will be unfavorable. Another criterion that will be evaluated is the extent to which the auxiliary lanes along Patterson Road conform to the Grand Junction TEDS Manual, with the objective being to increase the compliance between the No ACP and ACP scenarios. Finally, while not a directly quantifiable measure, the amount of out of direction travel required to access stores, business, and homes from Patterson Rd, and vice versa, will be evaluated.

TEAPAC files containing the existing signal timings have been provided by the City of Grand Junction. Since HCS is better suited to conduct the traffic analysis required by this ACP, the timings from TEAPAC will be imported into the HCS models. In the year 2045 HCS models, the signal timings will be optimized to accommodate changing traffic patterns. HCS printouts summarizing the optimized timings and LOS results will be included in the technical appendices. The metrics used by HCS to determine the LOS for multimodal forms of travel along a corridor are unlikely to be changed by this ACP. As a result, while the future signal timings will ensure sufficient pedestrian crossing times, multimodal results will not be reported in this ACP.

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Intersection Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Duration, h	0.250
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Area Type	Other
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year		PHF	0.91
Intersection	24 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Period	1 > 7:00
Project Description					



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	67	140	51	118	151	115	67	426	360	112	204	17

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	6									
Offset, s	85	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	7.8	0.5	33.2	7.8	0.9	27.8		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.5	0.0	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	2.0	4.0	1.1	3.0	2.0	4.0
Phase Duration, s	16.3	42.7	11.8	38.2	11.8	32.8	12.7	33.7
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	12.2		5.6		4.7	26.9	5.4	7.2
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.1	0.9	0.3	7.3
Phase Call Probability	1.00		0.97		0.87	1.00	0.97	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		0.00		0.08	1.00	0.17	0.19

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	292	436	396	130	152	140	74	468	396	123	122	121
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1725	1841	1669	1716	1826	1571	1810	1826	1585	1730	1841	1789
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	10.2	15.6	15.2	3.6	6.1	6.5	2.7	24.9	21.4	3.4	5.1	5.2
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	10.2	15.6	15.2	3.6	6.1	6.5	2.7	24.9	21.4	3.4	5.1	5.2
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.47	0.38	0.38	0.08	0.33	0.33	0.36	0.28	0.36	0.09	0.29	0.29
Capacity (c), veh/h	564	694	629	267	607	522	462	508	564	301	528	513
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.518	0.629	0.630	0.485	0.250	0.269	0.159	0.922	0.701	0.409	0.231	0.235
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	175	200.8	175.1	64.1	107.5	99.9	45.9	425.2	271.7	58.6	90	91.2
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	7.6	8.8	7.9	2.8	4.7	4.5	2.1	18.6	12.2	2.6	4.0	3.9
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.00	1.54	0.44	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	19.0	16.3	15.3	44.2	24.3	24.5	21.8	35.0	27.6	43.2	27.2	27.3
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	1.1	4.3	4.7	1.7	0.9	1.1	0.2	22.3	4.2	1.3	0.3	0.3
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	20.1	20.6	20.0	45.9	25.2	25.6	22.0	57.4	31.8	44.5	27.6	27.6
Level of Service (LOS)	C	C	C	D	C	C	C	E	C	D	C	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	20.3	C		31.7	C		43.8	D		33.3	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	31.4						C					

Multimodal Results


	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.28	B		2.28	B		2.44	B		2.29	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	0.72	A		0.84	A		2.03	B		0.79	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	
Intersection	Market Street/Mall Acce...	File Name	Existing AM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	44	541	31	12	348	72	12	6	10	60	7	23

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	0.9	3.6	68.7	3.0	4.8	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6		8		4
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0		11.0		10.0
Phase Duration, s	8.5	77.3	4.9	73.7		8.0		9.8
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0		5.0		5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	3.1	0.0	3.1	0.0		3.3		3.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	3.3		2.1			3.2		4.1
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.2
Phase Call Probability	0.90		0.18			0.61		0.95
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.00		0.00

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	85	555	545	7	208	43		22	12	72	36	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1711	1856	1820	1810	1766	1522		1839	1610	1702	1670	
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	1.3	16.3	16.4	0.1	1.0	0.2		1.2	0.7	2.1	2.1	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	1.3	16.3	16.4	0.1	1.0	0.2		1.2	0.7	2.1	2.1	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.75	0.72	0.72	0.70	0.69	0.69		0.03	0.03	0.05	0.05	
Capacity (c), veh/h	912	1342	1316	369	2426	1045		56	49	162	79	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.093	0.414	0.414	0.019	0.086	0.041		0.388	0.246	0.447	0.455	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	14.6	227.7	219.8	1.5	13.7	3		22.1	12.2	36.6	36.1	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.6	10.1	10.0	0.1	0.6	0.1		1.0	0.6	1.6	1.6	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.03		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	3.4	9.1	9.1	5.8	2.6	1.0		47.6	47.4	46.3	46.4	
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.1	0.1		1.6	1.0	0.7	1.5	
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	3.4	9.9	10.0	5.8	2.7	1.1		49.2	48.3	47.1	47.9	
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A	B	A	A	A		D	D	D	D	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	9.5		A	2.5		A	48.9		D	47.3		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	11.8						B					


Multimodal Results

	EB		WB		NB		SB	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.85	B	2.05	B	2.47	B	2.31	B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	0.92	A	0.54	A	0.67	A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information


Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Intersection Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Duration, h	0.250
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Area Type	Other
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year		PHF	0.84
Intersection	Home Depot Access/Me...	File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	33	559	13	12	405	13	7	5	10	26	4	19

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2										
Offset, s	51	Reference Point	Begin										
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	Off										
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	Off										
				Green	0.9	3.3	70.2	4.0	2.6	0.0			
				Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0			
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0			

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2		4		8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0		11.0		10.0
Phase Duration, s	8.2	78.5	4.9	75.2		7.6		9.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0		5.0		5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0		5.4		5.4
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	3.0		2.1			2.8		3.7
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1		0.2
Phase Call Probability	0.85		0.18			0.52		0.80
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.00		0.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	67	587	582	7	236	8		14	12	31	27	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1711	1856	1840	1810	1752	1610		1846	1610	1767	1654	
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	1.0	12.4	12.4	0.1	2.5	0.2		0.8	0.7	1.7	1.6	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	1.0	12.4	12.4	0.1	2.5	0.2		0.8	0.7	1.7	1.6	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.76	0.74	0.74	0.71	0.70	0.70		0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	
Capacity (c), veh/h	883	1364	1353	376	2459	1130		48	56	71	66	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.076	0.430	0.430	0.019	0.096	0.007		0.299	0.213	0.437	0.413	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	11.3	147.2	144	1.5	32.5	2.2		16.5	13.1	35.4	30.6	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.5	6.5	6.5	0.1	1.4	0.1		0.7	0.6	1.6	1.4	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.15	0.27	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	3.2	5.2	5.2	4.9	5.6	5.9		47.8	46.9	46.9	46.8	
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.1	0.0		4.9	2.7	5.9	5.7	
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	3.3	6.1	6.1	4.9	5.7	6.0		52.7	49.6	52.8	52.6	
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A	A	A	A	A		D	D	D	D	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	6.0		A	5.7		A	51.3		D	52.7		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	8.4						A					

Multimodal Results


	EB		WB		NB		SB	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.85	B	1.86	B	2.46	B	2.32	B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.08	A	0.91	A	0.53	A	0.58	A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	
Intersection	24 1/2 Rd & Patterson	File Name	Existing AM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	26	502	45	71	358	102	71	121	59	117	156	24

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	28	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	3.1	0.7	59.9	5.3	2.9	9.5		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	3.5	0.0	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	0.0	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	7.9	66.1	7.1	65.4	9.3	14.5	12.2	17.4
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	3.2		2.7		5.9	7.6	8.3	6.5
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	2.0	0.1	2.2
Phase Call Probability	0.78		0.63		0.88	1.00	0.97	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		1.00	0.03	1.00	0.01

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	54	577	561	36	117	114	77	100	96	127	170	26
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1697	1870	1816	1810	1856	1716	1767	1856	1656	1767	1738	1397
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	1.2	6.9	6.4	0.7	2.2	2.5	3.9	5.1	5.6	6.3	4.5	1.7
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	1.2	6.9	6.4	0.7	2.2	2.5	3.9	5.1	5.6	6.3	4.5	1.7
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.64	0.61	0.61	0.63	0.60	0.60	0.15	0.10	0.10	0.19	0.12	0.12
Capacity (c), veh/h	767	1134	1101	389	1111	1028	236	177	158	264	432	174
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.071	0.509	0.510	0.092	0.106	0.110	0.328	0.564	0.606	0.482	0.392	0.150
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	17.4	81.6	73.1	10.9	34.6	35.7	70.2	102.3	98.5	111.7	80	26.6
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.7	3.6	3.3	0.5	1.5	1.6	3.1	4.5	4.4	5.0	3.5	1.1
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.84	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	7.1	2.9	2.6	7.4	6.8	7.4	38.0	43.2	43.4	35.9	40.3	39.1
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.0	1.5	1.5	0.1	0.2	0.2	1.1	4.0	5.2	1.9	0.8	0.6
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	7.1	4.3	4.1	7.5	7.0	7.6	39.1	47.2	48.7	37.8	41.1	39.6
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A	A	A	A	A	D	D	D	D	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	4.3	A		7.3	A		45.4	D		39.7	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	15.7						B					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.24	B		2.39	B		2.31	B		2.30	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.00	A		0.96	A		0.71	A		0.75	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Intersection Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Duration, h	0.250
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Area Type	Other
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year		PHF	0.87
Intersection	25 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	70	532	37	157	524	105	92	241	91	174	270	37

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	65	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	10.0	36.0	10.0	25.0	0.0	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	4.5	3.5	4.0	0.0	0.0		
				Red	0.5	1.5	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	14.0	42.0	14.0	42.0	14.0	30.0	14.0	30.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	5.5		3.7		6.2	15.5	10.1	17.5
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	2.9	0.0	2.5
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		0.35		1.00	0.46	1.00	0.65

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	117	480	469	57	115	112	106	277	87	200	310	43
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1753	1870	1827	1795	1885	1777	1753	1811	1610	1795	1811	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	3.5	22.7	22.6	1.7	4.9	4.9	4.2	13.5	3.7	8.1	15.5	2.1
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	3.5	22.7	22.6	1.7	4.9	4.9	4.2	13.5	3.7	8.1	15.5	2.1
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.46	0.36	0.36	0.46	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.25	0.35	0.35	0.25	0.25
Capacity (c), veh/h	578	673	658	319	679	640	323	453	564	349	453	396
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.202	0.713	0.713	0.177	0.169	0.175	0.327	0.612	0.155	0.574	0.685	0.107
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	51.3	341.2	331.1	29	88.6	84.2	78.5	226.9	58.2	145.7	258.5	33.6
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.3	15.3	14.8	1.3	4.0	3.8	3.5	9.8	2.6	6.6	11.2	1.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.33	1.10	0.00	0.25
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	12.5	29.9	29.4	17.3	26.6	25.4	24.2	33.2	22.3	25.3	33.9	28.9
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.7	5.9	6.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	2.7	6.1	0.6	6.7	8.2	0.5
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	13.3	35.8	35.5	18.3	27.0	25.9	26.9	39.3	22.9	32.0	42.1	29.4
Level of Service (LOS)	B	D	D	B	C	C	C	D	C	C	D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	33.2	C		24.9	C		33.4	C		37.5	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	33.2						C					

Multimodal Results


	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.13	B		2.11	B		2.29	B		2.29	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.09	A		1.23	A		1.26	A		1.40	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	
Intersection	25 1/2 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing AM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	17	678	43	138	863	90	40	55	83	133	108	48

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2											
Offset, s	17	Reference Point	Begin	Green	3.4	2.1	53.9	8.0	13.6	0.0				
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.5	3.5	4.0	0.0				
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	1.0	0.0				

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0
Phase Duration, s	7.4	59.9	9.6	62.0	12.0	18.6	12.0	18.6
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	2.5		3.0		4.2	7.9	10.0	12.5
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	1.1
Phase Call Probability	0.43		0.69		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		1.00	0.14	1.00	0.66

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	20	431	422	43	148	146	49	67	101	162	190	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1870	1831	1781	1870	1808	1767	1885	1585	1682	1758	
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	0.5	12.5	11.9	1.0	2.5	2.4	2.2	3.2	5.9	8.0	10.5	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	0.5	12.5	11.9	1.0	2.5	2.4	2.2	3.2	5.9	8.0	10.5	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.57	0.54	0.54	0.60	0.56	0.56	0.22	0.14	0.14	0.22	0.14	
Capacity (c), veh/h	701	1008	986	439	1048	1013	226	256	215	303	239	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.029	0.428	0.428	0.097	0.142	0.144	0.216	0.262	0.471	0.535	0.798	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	7.2	166.7	154.6	14.9	39.2	36.6	43.5	60.2	96.3	151.9	182.2	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.3	7.5	7.0	0.7	1.8	1.6	1.9	2.7	4.3	6.4	8.1	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.39	0.00	1.09	1.13	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	9.5	11.8	11.0	9.6	6.7	6.3	32.4	38.7	39.9	34.4	41.9	
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	2.2	0.8	2.3	6.6	10.7	
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	9.6	13.0	12.3	9.7	6.9	6.5	34.6	39.5	42.2	41.0	52.6	
Level of Service (LOS)	A	B	B	A	A	A	C	D	D	D	D	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	12.5	B		7.1	A		39.6	D		47.3	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	21.7						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.08	B		1.89	B		2.30	B		2.30	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.23	A		1.59	B		0.85	A		1.07	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Intersection Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Duration, h	0.250
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Area Type	Other
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year		PHF	0.77
Intersection	1st Street & Patterson	File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	20	704	131	174	966	22	113	125	143	94	250	30

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	73	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	2.9	3.4	48.3	5.5	1.7	19.7		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	3.0	3.5	0.0	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	2.5	0.5	0.0	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	6.9	53.8	10.3	57.2	9.5	24.7	11.2	26.4
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	2.4		3.4		5.3	11.7	7.3	18.4
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.3	3.8	0.2	3.1
Phase Call Probability	0.36		0.79		0.98	1.00	0.97	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		0.73	0.17	1.00	0.41

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	16	566	105	56	159	159	147	162	186	122	325	39
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1766	1598	1781	1870	1855	1743	1856	1598	1810	1885	1610
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	0.4	8.5	2.2	1.4	5.0	5.0	3.3	7.7	9.7	5.3	16.4	1.9
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	0.4	8.5	2.2	1.4	5.0	5.0	3.3	7.7	9.7	5.3	16.4	1.9
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.51	0.48	0.54	0.56	0.52	0.52	0.25	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.21	0.24
Capacity (c), veh/h	606	1705	859	489	967	959	399	366	416	329	404	391
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.027	0.332	0.123	0.114	0.165	0.165	0.367	0.443	0.446	0.372	0.804	0.100
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	6.4	116.3	30	20.2	79.2	79.2	55.6	133.9	139.1	92.5	263.1	29
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.3	5.2	1.4	0.9	3.5	3.5	2.5	5.9	6.3	4.2	11.9	1.3
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.05	0.00	0.23	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.00	1.05	0.84	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	11.6	12.9	7.5	9.9	14.4	14.3	30.7	35.3	30.9	29.0	37.3	29.4
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.8	1.2	1.1	1.0	7.6	0.2
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	11.7	13.4	7.7	10.0	14.6	14.5	31.5	36.5	32.0	30.0	44.9	29.5
Level of Service (LOS)	B	B	A	A	B	B	C	D	C	C	D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	12.5	B		13.9	B		33.3	C		39.9	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	24.3						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.26	B		2.09	B		2.30	B		2.45	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.40	A		1.73	B		1.30	A		1.29	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Intersection Information		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Duration, h	0.250	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Area Type	Other	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year		PHF	0.80	
Intersection	7th Street & Patterson	File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Period	1 > 7:00	
Project Description						



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	101	573	204	147	955	89	88	292	78	75	391	188

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	22	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	4.4	2.0	49.4	5.7	1.0	19.5		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	3.5	0.0	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	10.4	56.5	8.4	54.4	10.7	25.5	9.7	24.5
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	6.8		5.2		6.9	10.5	6.1	15.0
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	6.3	0.0	4.5
Phase Call Probability	0.91		0.82		0.95	1.00	0.93	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.26		0.00		1.00	0.43	1.00	0.73

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	87	496	154	61	217	213	110	365	81	94	489	235
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1766	1431	1810	1870	1816	1767	1795	1588	1795	1809	1578
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	4.8	3.9	1.0	3.2	7.9	7.9	4.9	8.5	3.8	4.1	12.6	13.0
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	4.8	3.9	1.0	3.2	7.9	7.9	4.9	8.5	3.8	4.1	12.6	13.0
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.06	0.51	0.58	0.04	0.49	0.49	0.26	0.20	0.25	0.25	0.20	0.26
Capacity (c), veh/h	115	1818	842	79	924	898	240	736	395	269	706	410
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.758	0.273	0.183	0.772	0.235	0.237	0.458	0.496	0.206	0.349	0.693	0.574
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	98	52.9	13.1	61.6	124.4	124.2	87.8	132.8	56.8	72.8	191.8	175.2
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	4.5	2.3	0.6	2.8	5.6	5.4	3.9	6.0	2.6	3.3	8.7	7.9
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.56	0.00	0.08	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.32	0.66	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	48.9	5.7	1.6	39.4	18.1	17.9	30.0	32.0	27.1	30.0	37.5	32.3
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	11.1	0.3	0.4	15.3	0.4	0.5	1.9	0.7	0.4	1.1	2.4	1.8
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	60.0	6.0	2.0	54.7	18.6	18.4	31.9	32.7	27.5	31.1	39.8	34.1
Level of Service (LOS)	E	A	A	D	B	B	C	C	C	C	D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	11.5	B		22.9	C		31.8	C		37.2	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	26.1						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB		WB		NB		SB	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.43	B	2.41	B	2.30	B	2.49	B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.37	A	1.71	B	0.95	A	1.16	A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Intersection Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Duration, h	0.250
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Area Type	Other
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	Existing	PHF	0.80
Intersection	12th Street & Patterson	File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Period	1 > 7:00
Project Description					



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	109	470	116	259	993	96	137	295	74	73	338	82

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	69	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	9.0	12.0	24.5	11.0	21.0	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.5	4.0	0.0		
				Red	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	1.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0
Phase Duration, s	13.0	30.0	29.0	46.0	15.0	26.0	15.0	26.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	7.3		5.1		9.2	11.1	5.6	15.6
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.1	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.1	4.2	0.1	2.8
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		0.00		1.00	0.55	0.90	0.97

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	131	565	139	142	303	295	171	369	93	91	270	255
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1766	1602	1795	1885	1826	1781	1781	1572	1795	1870	1740
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	5.3	14.0	5.9	3.1	14.0	13.8	7.2	9.1	3.4	3.6	13.3	13.6
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	5.3	14.0	5.9	3.1	14.0	13.8	7.2	9.1	3.4	3.6	13.3	13.6
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.34	0.24	0.36	0.52	0.40	0.40	0.32	0.21	0.46	0.32	0.21	0.21
Capacity (c), veh/h	433	866	570	599	763	740	316	748	723	362	393	365
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.302	0.653	0.245	0.237	0.397	0.399	0.543	0.493	0.128	0.252	0.688	0.698
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	83.6	187.7	81.4	46.3	225.2	215.9	133.5	150	50.3	67.1	231.5	223.7
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.7	8.3	3.7	2.1	10.2	9.7	6.0	6.7	2.2	3.0	10.4	10.0
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.47	0.00	0.56	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.23	0.51	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	23.3	31.9	21.2	9.5	29.5	28.8	27.1	34.8	15.5	25.0	36.5	36.6
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	1.1	2.3	0.6	0.8	1.3	1.3	6.6	2.3	0.4	1.7	9.4	10.6
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	24.4	34.3	21.9	10.3	30.8	30.1	33.6	37.1	15.9	26.7	45.9	47.1
Level of Service (LOS)	C	C	C	B	C	C	C	D	B	C	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	30.7	C		26.6	C		33.1	C		43.6	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	32.9						C					

Multimodal Results


	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.44	B		2.27	B		2.30	B		2.45	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.20	A		1.88	B		1.01	A		1.00	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	Existing
Intersection	Patterson Rd & 15th St	File Name	Existing AM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	37	541	12	23	1518	29	13	3	20	13	3	62

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2								
Offset, s	47	Reference Point	Begin								
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	1.4	2.5	75.9	6.7	0.0	0.0	
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	3.5	3.5	0.0	0.0	
				Red	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2		8		4
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0		7.0		7.0
Phase Duration, s	8.4	82.9	5.9	80.4		11.2		11.2
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5		4.5		4.5
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	3.1	0.0	3.1	0.0		3.3		3.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	2.6		2.2			3.4		6.6
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.2		0.2
Phase Call Probability	0.78		0.28			0.98		0.98
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.00		0.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	54	408	405	12	405	402		19	24		19	75
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1870	1856	1781	1870	1858		1508	1585		1508	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	0.6	2.0	2.0	0.2	3.3	3.3		0.0	1.4		0.0	4.6
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	0.6	2.0	2.0	0.2	3.3	3.3		1.1	1.4		1.1	4.6
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.80	0.78	0.78	0.77	0.76	0.76		0.07	0.07		0.07	0.07
Capacity (c), veh/h	632	1467	1455	597	1420	1411		166	106		166	106
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.086	0.278	0.278	0.020	0.285	0.285		0.116	0.228		0.116	0.707
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	5.3	21.8	22	1.4	35.3	35.4		18.3	23.1		18.3	76.5
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.2	1.0	1.0	0.1	1.6	1.6		0.8	1.0		0.8	3.4
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.52		0.00	1.73
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	2.2	0.8	0.8	2.6	1.5	1.6		44.0	44.2		44.0	45.7
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.4		0.1	0.4		0.1	3.2
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	2.2	1.2	1.3	2.6	2.0	2.0		44.2	44.6		44.2	48.9
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A	A	A	A	A		D	D		D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	1.3		A	2.0		A	44.4		D	47.9		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	5.1						A					

Multimodal Results


	EB		WB		NB		SB	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.83	B	1.84	B	2.31	B	2.31	B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.07	A	2.05	B	0.56	A	0.64	A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	Existing
Intersection	27 1/2 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing AM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	71	503			1418	444				344		152

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2										
Offset, s	47	Reference Point	Begin										
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	6.0	59.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	4.5	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
				Red	0.5	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6		2				4
Case Number	1.0	4.0		7.3				9.0
Phase Duration, s	10.0	75.0		65.0				25.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.0		6.0				5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0		0.0				5.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	3.2							12.9
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.0	0.0		0.0				2.2
Phase Call Probability	1.00							1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00							0.67

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6			2	12				7		14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	64	453			672	210				414		183
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1753	1795			1795	1610				1757		1522
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	1.2	7.1			8.8	4.9				10.7		10.9
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	1.2	7.1			8.8	4.9				10.7		10.9
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.67	0.69			0.59	0.59				0.20		0.20
Capacity (c), veh/h	488	2477			2118	950				703		304
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.131	0.183			0.317	0.221				0.590		0.602
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	17.6	95			114.4	62				168.3		173.8
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.8	4.3			5.2	2.8				7.7		7.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.12	0.00			0.00	1.17				1.01		0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	6.2	9.8			9.4	7.2				36.3		36.4
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.4	0.1			0.3	0.4				3.6		8.5
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0				0.0		0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	6.6	9.9			9.7	7.7				39.9		44.9
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A			A	A				D		D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	9.5	A		9.2	A		0.0			41.4		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	18.9						B					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	0.66	A		2.07	B		2.32	B		2.32		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.06	A		2.34	B							F

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	
Intersection	28 1/4 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing AM.xus
Project Description			



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	53	697	155	138	1550	61	207	20	47	23	11	22

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
----------	-------	-----------------	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0
Phase Duration, s	14.0	56.0	14.0	56.0	14.0	21.0	9.0	16.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.1	0.0	5.2	5.4	5.2	5.4
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	2.7		3.5		12.0	6.1	3.3	3.5
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.10		0.30		1.00	0.05	1.00	0.24

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	31	253	240	66	744	29	244	79		27	13	26
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1885	1767	1795	1795	1610	1795	1687		1810	1900	1610
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	0.7	5.6	5.2	1.5	11.5	1.0	10.0	4.1		1.3	0.6	1.5
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	0.7	5.6	5.2	1.5	11.5	1.0	10.0	4.1		1.3	0.6	1.5
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.60	0.50	0.50	0.60	0.50	0.55	0.23	0.16		0.16	0.11	0.11
Capacity (c), veh/h	511	943	883	637	1795	886	383	270		295	209	177
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.060	0.268	0.272	0.104	0.414	0.033	0.635	0.292		0.092	0.062	0.146
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	11.3	84.3	75.5	21.5	136.4	28.4	210	74.3		24	12.5	25.9
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.5	3.8	3.4	1.0	6.2	1.3	9.5	3.4		1.1	0.6	1.2
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.29	0.79	0.00		0.22	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	9.9	9.7	8.6	8.4	13.0	13.1	35.0	37.0		35.8	39.9	40.3
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.2	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.0	7.8	2.7		0.6	0.6	1.7
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	10.1	10.2	9.2	8.6	13.5	13.2	42.8	39.7		36.4	40.4	42.0
Level of Service (LOS)	B	B	A	A	B	B	D	D		D	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	9.7		A	13.1		B	42.0		D	39.4		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	18.4						B					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.09		B	2.09		B	2.45		B	2.30		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.37		A	2.19		B	1.02		A	0.54		A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	
Intersection	29 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing AM.xus
Project Description			



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	97	506	169	115	1269	62	243	157	57	50	142	267

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
----------	-------	-----------------	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
Phase Duration, s	14.0	52.0	14.0	52.0	16.0	22.0	12.0	18.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.5	6.5	4.5	6.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.1	0.0	4.1	0.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	3.9		4.1		13.5	11.3	5.3	15.0
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.21		0.24		1.00	0.67	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	76	273	254	81	470	462	293	189	27	60	171	261
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1885	1724	1767	1870	1839	1767	1870	1610	1753	1870	1610
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	1.9	11.1	11.4	2.1	17.7	17.5	11.5	9.3	1.2	3.3	8.8	13.0
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	1.9	11.1	11.4	2.1	17.7	17.5	11.5	9.3	1.2	3.3	8.8	13.0
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.55	0.46	0.46	0.55	0.46	0.46	0.12	0.17	0.27	0.08	0.13	0.23
Capacity (c), veh/h	396	858	784	519	851	837	203	318	427	131	243	362
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.191	0.318	0.324	0.155	0.553	0.553	1.441	0.595	0.062	0.458	0.704	0.722
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	30.1	168.6	162	30.6	223.4	216.5	589.3	172.8	19.8	74.1	176.5	226.6
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	1.3	7.6	7.2	1.4	10.0	9.7	26.1	7.7	0.9	3.3	7.9	10.3
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	2.66	0.00	0.09	0.56	0.00	1.72
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	12.0	22.8	22.9	10.7	18.6	18.3	44.3	38.3	27.5	44.3	41.7	35.9
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.4	1.8	1.8	223.9	8.0	0.3	11.1	15.7	11.8
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	12.8	23.5	23.7	11.2	20.4	20.1	268.2	46.3	27.7	55.4	57.4	47.6
Level of Service (LOS)	B	C	C	B	C	C	F	D	C	E	E	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	22.2	C		19.5	B		173.1	F		52.0	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	56.1						E					

Multimodal Results


	EB		WB		NB		SB	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.15	B	2.17	B	2.30	B	2.30	B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.25	A	1.92	B	1.33	A	1.30	A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	
Intersection	29 1/2 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing AM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	14	496	88	94	1231	129	78	34	59	71	49	56

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2										
Offset, s	71	Reference Point	Begin										
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	1.5	3.1	50.1	14.3	10.5	0.0			
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	0.0			
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	0.0			

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2		4		8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0		12.0		11.0
Phase Duration, s	5.5	56.6	8.6	59.8		19.3		15.5
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.5	4.0	6.5		5.0		5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.5	0.0	4.5	0.0		4.7		4.7
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	2.3		4.4			13.7		9.7
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0		0.6		0.9
Phase Call Probability	0.30		0.92			1.00		1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.07		0.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	13	270	259	91	671	652		201			141	66
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1885	1786	1795	1885	1822		1669			1788	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	0.3	5.1	4.5	2.4	22.7	21.7		11.7			7.7	3.9
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	0.3	5.1	4.5	2.4	22.7	21.7		11.7			7.7	3.9
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.52	0.50	0.50	0.56	0.53	0.53		0.14			0.11	0.11
Capacity (c), veh/h	219	945	895	551	1004	970		238			188	167
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.058	0.286	0.289	0.166	0.669	0.671		0.845			0.752	0.396
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	5.2	71.9	61.3	35.2	238.2	214.6		191.8			138.3	62.9
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.2	3.2	2.8	1.6	10.7	9.4		8.3			6.1	2.8
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	14.4	7.7	6.6	10.9	12.8	11.5		41.8			43.5	41.8
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.1	2.6	2.7		9.3			5.9	1.5
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	14.5	8.2	7.2	11.0	15.4	14.2		51.1			49.4	43.3
Level of Service (LOS)	B	A	A	B	B	B		D			D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	7.9		A	14.5		B	51.1		D	47.5		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	19.0						B					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.67		B	1.89		B	2.31		B	2.32		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.07		A	1.90		B	0.82		A	0.83		A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Intersection Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Duration, h	0.250
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Area Type	Other
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year		PHF	0.83
Intersection	30 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	72	368	142	101	827	14	303	45	45	37	95	182

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	19	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	10.2	40.8	8.5	6.0	11.0	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	5.0	3.5	3.5	4.0	0.0		
				Red	0.5	1.5	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6	3	8	7	4
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	14.2	47.3	14.2	47.3	22.5	26.0	12.5	16.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.5	4.0	6.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.1	0.0	4.2	0.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	4.5		6.2		19.7	4.8	4.0	7.8
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.4
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.19		1.00		1.00	0.00	0.73	1.00

Movement Group Results


Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	87	321	294	145	607	603	365	54	53	45	114	108
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1885	1706	1795	1885	1874	1781	1870	1560	1810	1885	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	2.5	7.6	7.7	4.2	29.4	29.4	17.7	2.4	2.8	2.0	5.8	5.8
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	2.5	7.6	7.7	4.2	29.4	29.4	17.7	2.4	2.8	2.0	5.8	5.8
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.51	0.41	0.41	0.51	0.41	0.41	0.31	0.21	0.21	0.19	0.11	0.21
Capacity (c), veh/h	301	769	696	508	769	765	462	393	328	370	207	336
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.289	0.417	0.422	0.286	0.789	0.789	0.790	0.138	0.162	0.121	0.552	0.323
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	41.6	98.3	92.5	67.9	449.1	447.2	285.8	45.5	46.2	37.5	122.3	97.4
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	1.9	4.4	4.2	3.1	20.2	20.2	12.8	2.0	2.0	1.7	5.5	4.4
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.00	0.00	1.29	0.00	0.26	0.28	0.00	0.73
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	18.7	10.7	10.7	12.4	30.7	30.7	29.9	32.1	32.3	33.2	42.2	33.3
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	1.6	1.1	1.3	1.4	8.0	8.1	12.9	0.7	1.1	0.7	10.2	2.5
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	20.3	11.8	12.0	13.8	38.8	38.8	42.8	32.9	33.4	33.9	52.3	35.9
Level of Service (LOS)	C	B	B	B	D	D	D	C	C	C	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	12.9	B		36.1	D		40.6	D		42.6	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	31.7						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.10	B		2.23	B		2.30	B		2.30	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.07	A		1.42	A		1.27	A		0.93	A	

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Year	Existing	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	24 Road & Patterson	Market Street/Mall Access & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (24 Rd - Market St)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
1	35	35	2	2	637	637	50	50	0	0	100	0	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12
1	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h					never	
1	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h				never		never
1	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	41.58			42.05		
1	Running Time, s	14.79			15.06		
1	Running Speed, mph	29.37			28.85		
1	Through Delay, s/veh	2.71			20.29		
1	Travel Time, s	17.50			35.34		
1	Travel Speed, mph	24.82			12.29		
1	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.12			0.47		
1	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.97			3.88		
1	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.09			0.63		
1	Percent of Base FFS	59.69			29.23		
1	Level of Service	C			F		
1	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.28			2.76		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

1	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.30	B	3.47	C
1	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.00	A	2.64	B
1	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.18	A	2.57	B

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		753.72		737.51	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		28.53		29.16	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.47	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		66.77		68.66	
Facility Level of Service		C		B	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.31		2.27	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.20	C	3.14	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.63	C	2.66	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.01	A	0.92	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Year	Existing	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Market Street/Mall Access & Pat	Home Depot Access/Mesa Mall Access &		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (Market St - Home Depot)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
2	35	35	2	2	903	903	50	50	2	1	70	0	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12
2	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never				
2	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never					
2	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	41.72			42.05		
2	Running Time, s	18.20			18.58		
2	Running Speed, mph	33.83			33.13		
2	Through Delay, s/veh	5.69			9.94		
2	Travel Time, s	23.89			28.53		
2	Travel Speed, mph	25.77			21.58		
2	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.24			0.45		
2	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.42			2.62		
2	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.10			0.41		
2	Percent of Base FFS	61.77			51.32		
2	Level of Service	C			C		
2	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.35			2.55		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

2	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.71	B	3.63	D
2	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.22	B	2.77	C
2	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.12	A	1.62	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	753.72	737.51
Facility Travel Speed, mph	28.53	29.16
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	66.77	68.66
Facility Level of Service	C	B
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.31	2.27


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.14	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.63	C	2.66	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.01	A	0.92	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Year	Existing	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Home Depot Access/Mesa Mall / 24 1/2 Rd & Patterson			Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (Home Depot - 24 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
3	35	35	2	2	1665	1665	50	50	550	550	70	100	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
3	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
3	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never			never		
3	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.71			40.19		
3	Running Time, s	30.21			31.44		
3	Running Speed, mph	37.58			36.11		
3	Through Delay, s/veh	7.20			6.10		
3	Travel Time, s	37.41			37.54		
3	Travel Speed, mph	30.34			30.24		
3	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.27			0.25		
3	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.85			0.79		
3	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.11			0.43		
3	Percent of Base FFS	74.53			75.24		
3	Level of Service	B			B		
3	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.27			2.26		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

3	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.83	C	3.58	D
3	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.29	B	2.82	C
3	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.81	A	0.98	A

Facility Output Data


	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	753.72	737.51
Facility Travel Speed, mph	28.53	29.16
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	66.77	68.66
Facility Level of Service	C	B
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.31	2.27

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.14	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.63	C	2.66	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.01	A	0.92	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Year	Existing	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	24 1/2 Rd & Patterson	25 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (24 1/2 Rd - 25 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
4	40	35	2	2	2542	2542	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
4	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
4	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		
4	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.99			40.64		
4	Running Time, s	42.20			45.62		
4	Running Speed, mph	41.07			37.99		
4	Through Delay, s/veh	26.61			4.26		
4	Travel Time, s	68.81			49.87		
4	Travel Speed, mph	25.19			34.75		
4	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.69			0.12		
4	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.44			0.26		
4	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.17			0.51		
4	Percent of Base FFS	58.59			85.52		
4	Level of Service	C			A		
4	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.36			2.18		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

4	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.81	C	3.18	C
4	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.35	B	2.77	C
4	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.22	A	0.71	A


Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		753.72		737.51	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		28.53		29.16	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.47	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		66.77		68.66	
Facility Level of Service		C		B	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.31		2.27	

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.14	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.63	C	2.66	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.01	A	0.92	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Year	Existing	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	25 Road & Patterson	25 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (25 Rd - 25 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
5	40	40	2	2	2561	2561	50	50	260	260	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
5	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
5	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never			never		never
5	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	43.13			43.13		
5	Running Time, s	42.42			43.26		
5	Running Speed, mph	41.16			40.37		
5	Through Delay, s/veh	6.75			35.44		
5	Travel Time, s	49.17			78.70		
5	Travel Speed, mph	35.51			22.19		
5	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.23			0.83		
5	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.48			1.71		
5	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.14			0.71		
5	Percent of Base FFS	82.34			51.44		
5	Level of Service	A			C		
5	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.21			2.40		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

5	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.64	B	3.44	C
5	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.39	B	2.81	C
5	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.54	A	1.58	A


Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		753.72		737.51	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		28.53		29.16	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.47	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		66.77		68.66	
Facility Level of Service		C		B	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.31		2.27	

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.14	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.63	C	2.66	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.01	A	0.92	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Year	Existing	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	25 1/2 Road & Patterson	1st Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (25 1/2 Rd - 26 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
6	35	40	2	2	2605	2605	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
6	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
6	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never			never		
6	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.74			43.09		
6	Running Time, s	45.46			43.74		
6	Running Speed, mph	39.07			40.61		
6	Through Delay, s/veh	14.58			12.69		
6	Travel Time, s	60.04			56.42		
6	Travel Speed, mph	29.58			31.48		
6	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.47			0.39		
6	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.96			0.79		
6	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.16			0.42		
6	Percent of Base FFS	72.62			73.05		
6	Level of Service	B			B		
6	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.28			2.26		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

6	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.85	C	3.12	C
6	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.45	B	2.73	B
6	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.90	A	0.88	A


Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		753.72		737.51	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		28.53		29.16	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.47	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		66.77		68.66	
Facility Level of Service		C		B	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.31		2.27	

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.20	C	3.14	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.63	C	2.66	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.01	A	0.92	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Year	Existing	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	1st Street & Patterson	7th Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (26 Rd - 26 1/2)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
7	35	40	2	2	2566	2566	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
7	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
7	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never			never		never
7	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	39.83			42.18		
7	Running Time, s	45.92			43.76		
7	Running Speed, mph	38.10			39.98		
7	Through Delay, s/veh	18.00			13.49		
7	Travel Time, s	63.93			57.26		
7	Travel Speed, mph	27.37			30.56		
7	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.57			0.40		
7	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.16			0.82		
7	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.23			0.32		
7	Percent of Base FFS	68.72			72.45		
7	Level of Service	B			B		
7	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.31			2.26		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

7	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	2.94	C
7	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.53	B	2.64	B
7	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.07	A	0.89	A

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		753.72		737.51	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		28.53		29.16	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.47	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		66.77		68.66	
Facility Level of Service		C		B	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.31		2.27	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.20	C	3.14	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.63	C	2.66	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.01	A	0.92	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Year	Existing	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	7th Street & Patterson	12th Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (26 1/2 Rd to 12th St)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
8	40	35	2	2	2569	2569	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
8	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
8	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never			never		never
8	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.34			39.99		
8	Running Time, s	43.73			46.13		
8	Running Speed, mph	40.05			37.97		
8	Through Delay, s/veh	30.49			6.04		
8	Travel Time, s	74.22			52.17		
8	Travel Speed, mph	23.60			33.57		
8	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.83			0.19		
8	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.71			0.39		
8	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.40			0.27		
8	Percent of Base FFS	55.74			83.95		
8	Level of Service	C			A		
8	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.40			2.20		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

8	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.01	C	2.94	C
8	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.70	B	2.60	B
8	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.41	A	0.70	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	753.72	737.51
Facility Travel Speed, mph	28.53	29.16
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	66.77	68.66
Facility Level of Service	C	B
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.31	2.27


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.14	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.63	C	2.66	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.01	A	0.92	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Year	Existing	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	12th Street & Patterson	Patterson Rd & 15th St		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (12th St - 27 1/4 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
9	40	35	2	2	1276	1276	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
9	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
9	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never			never		never
9	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.30			39.95		
9	Running Time, s	23.50			24.88		
9	Running Speed, mph	37.03			34.97		
9	Through Delay, s/veh	2.00			34.28		
9	Travel Time, s	25.49			59.16		
9	Travel Speed, mph	34.13			14.71		
9	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.08			0.75		
9	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.32			3.11		
9	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.28			0.65		
9	Percent of Base FFS	80.68			36.81		
9	Level of Service	A			E		
9	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.19			2.63		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

9	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.99	C	3.33	C
9	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.67	B	2.70	B
9	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.68	A	2.25	B

Facility Output Data


	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	753.72	737.51
Facility Travel Speed, mph	28.53	29.16
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	66.77	68.66
Facility Level of Service	C	B
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.31	2.27

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.14	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.63	C	2.66	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.01	A	0.92	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Year	Existing	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Patterson Rd & 15th St	27 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
10	40	40	2	2	1326	1326	50	50	0	0	70	70	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
10	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
10	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		
10	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	44.07			44.07		
10	Running Time, s	23.72			23.71		
10	Running Speed, mph	38.11			38.13		
10	Through Delay, s/veh	9.73			1.25		
10	Travel Time, s	33.45			24.96		
10	Travel Speed, mph	27.03			36.22		
10	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.33			0.05		
10	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.32			0.19		
10	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.32			0.28		
10	Percent of Base FFS	61.33			82.20		
10	Level of Service	C			A		
10	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.56			2.17		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

10	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.43	C	3.45	C
10	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.78	C	2.72	B
10	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.16	A	0.57	A


Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		753.72		737.51	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		28.53		29.16	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.47	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		66.77		68.66	
Facility Level of Service		C		B	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.31		2.27	

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.20	C	3.14	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.63	C	2.66	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.01	A	0.92	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Year	Existing	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	27 1/2 Road & Patterson	28 1/4 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (27 1/4 Rd - 27 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
11	45	40	2	2	3888	3888	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement		2	12	1	6	
11	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
11	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		
11	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	45.84			43.49		
11	Running Time, s	60.16			62.64		
11	Running Speed, mph	44.06			42.32		
11	Through Delay, s/veh	16.34			9.91		
11	Travel Time, s	76.50			72.55		
11	Travel Speed, mph	34.65			36.54		
11	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.48			0.40		
11	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.65			0.55		
11	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.44			0.18		
11	Percent of Base FFS	75.59			84.01		
11	Level of Service	B			A		
11	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.34			2.22		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

11	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.61	D	2.96	C
11	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.81	C	2.55	B
11	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.69	A	0.51	A

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		753.72		737.51	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		28.53		29.16	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.47	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		66.77		68.66	
Facility Level of Service		C		B	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.31		2.27	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.14	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.63	C	2.66	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.01	A	0.92	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Year	Existing	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	28 1/4 Road & Patterson	29 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (28 1/4 Rd - 29 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
12	45	40	2	2	3811	3811	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
12	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
12	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
12	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	44.90			42.55		
12	Running Time, s	59.68			62.81		
12	Running Speed, mph	43.54			41.37		
12	Through Delay, s/veh	20.06			9.82		
12	Travel Time, s	79.74			72.63		
12	Travel Speed, mph	32.58			35.78		
12	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.53			0.30		
12	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.74			0.41		
12	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.58			0.27		
12	Percent of Base FFS	72.57			84.07		
12	Level of Service	B			A		
12	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.25			2.20		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

12	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.58	D	2.95	C
12	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.86	C	2.57	B
12	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.85	A	0.56	A

Facility Output Data


	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	753.72	737.51
Facility Travel Speed, mph	28.53	29.16
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	66.77	68.66
Facility Level of Service	C	B
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.31	2.27

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.14	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.63	C	2.66	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.01	A	0.92	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Year	Existing	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	29 Road & Patterson	29 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (29 Rd - 29 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
13	45	45	2	2	2585	2585	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
13	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
13	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never			never		never
13	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	43.89			43.89		
13	Running Time, s	41.28			40.34		
13	Running Speed, mph	42.69			43.69		
13	Through Delay, s/veh	15.41			23.58		
13	Travel Time, s	56.69			63.92		
13	Travel Speed, mph	31.09			27.57		
13	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.41			0.68		
13	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.83			1.38		
13	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.69			0.32		
13	Percent of Base FFS	70.84			62.82		
13	Level of Service	B			C		
13	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.26			2.35		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

13	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.81	D	3.24	C
13	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.95	C	2.62	B
13	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.02	A	1.10	A

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		753.72		737.51	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		28.53		29.16	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.47	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		66.77		68.66	
Facility Level of Service		C		B	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.31		2.27	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.14	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.63	C	2.66	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.01	A	0.92	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing AM.xus	Analysis Year	Existing	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	29 1/2 Road & Patterson	30 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (29 1/2 Rd - 30 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
14	35	45	2	2	2609	2609	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
14	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h					never	
14	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h				never		
14	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.82			45.52		
14	Running Time, s	46.85			40.65		
14	Running Speed, mph	37.97			43.76		
14	Through Delay, s/veh	40.02			7.82		
14	Travel Time, s	86.88			48.46		
14	Travel Speed, mph	20.48			36.71		
14	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.92			0.23		
14	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.86			0.47		
14	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.81			0.29		
14	Percent of Base FFS	50.16			80.63		
14	Level of Service	C			A		
14	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.42			2.21		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

14	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.95	D	2.92	C
14	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.89	C	2.56	B
14	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.77	A	0.54	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	753.72	737.51
Facility Travel Speed, mph	28.53	29.16
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	66.77	68.66
Facility Level of Service	C	B
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.31	2.27

Multimodal Results (Facility)


Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.14	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.63	C	2.66	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.01	A	0.92	A

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

General Information

Analyst		Intersection	28 RD
Agency/Co.	Stolfus and Associates	Jurisdiction	
Date Performed	4/30/2020	East/West Street	
Analysis Year	2018	North/South Street	
Time Analyzed	AM	Peak Hour Factor	0.92
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	Patterson ACP		

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0		0	0	0		1	0	1
Configuration		L	T				T	TR						L		R
Volume (veh/h)	0	10	833				1759	57						37		58
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	1												3		0
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)													0			
Right Turn Channelized													No			
Median Type Storage	Undivided															

Critical and Follow-up Headways

Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.5		6.9
Critical Headway (sec)		4.12												6.86		6.90
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.21												3.53		3.30

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		11												40		63
Capacity, c (veh/h)		294												26		250
v/c Ratio		0.04												1.57		0.25
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.1												4.9		1.0
Control Delay (s/veh)		17.7												613.0		24.2
Level of Service (LOS)		C												F		C
Approach Delay (s/veh)	0.2												253.5			
Approach LOS													F			

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020
Intersection	24 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

Approach Movement	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	63	155	176	493	322	177	42	291	215	207	444	25

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	6
Offset, s	81	Reference Point	Begin
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	2.0	4.0	1.1	3.0	2.0	4.0
Phase Duration, s	14.7	41.8	25.3	52.4	10.0	29.3	13.7	32.9
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	10.1		18.1		4.1	19.3	8.9	15.3
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.6	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.1	5.0	0.8	6.3
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		0.75	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.42		0.07		0.00	0.47	0.22	0.20

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	209	513	583	536	285	257	46	316	234	225	257	253
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1870	1579	1743	1885	1662	1810	1885	1598	1743	1856	1819
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	8.1	27.4	36.8	16.1	11.2	11.5	2.1	17.3	11.0	6.9	13.2	13.3
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	8.1	27.4	36.8	16.1	11.2	11.5	2.1	17.3	11.0	6.9	13.2	13.3
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.43	0.33	0.33	0.19	0.43	0.43	0.28	0.22	0.41	0.09	0.25	0.25
Capacity (c), veh/h	512	626	528	674	813	716	268	416	661	307	471	462
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.408	0.820	1.103	0.795	0.351	0.359	0.170	0.761	0.353	0.734	0.546	0.548
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	132.4	415	740.9	228.3	171.7	160.6	36.5	272	149.4	120.3	205.3	209.7
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	6.0	18.6	33.4	10.3	7.7	7.2	1.7	12.3	6.7	5.4	9.1	9.0
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.75	0.00	0.00	1.04	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.85	0.91	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	20.8	32.1	41.9	42.3	21.0	21.1	30.3	40.2	22.1	48.9	35.6	35.6
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.7	11.5	70.4	2.8	1.1	1.2	0.4	5.6	0.5	4.8	1.4	1.4
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	21.6	43.6	112.3	45.1	22.0	22.3	30.7	45.8	22.6	53.7	37.0	37.0
Level of Service (LOS)	C	D	F	D	C	C	C	D	C	D	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	70.8		E	33.5		C	35.5		D	42.1		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	48.6						D					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.29		B	2.27		B	2.45		B	2.29		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	0.84		A	1.38		A	1.47		A	1.09		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020
Intersection	Market Street/Mall Acce...	File Name	Existing PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.94
Analysis Period	1> 7:00



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	83	435	86	28	756	256	76	46	22	228	21	179

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	2												
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	Begin		Green	1.5	2.2	56.7	9.7	17.0	0.0				
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On		Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0				
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On		Red	0.5	0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0				

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6		8		4
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0		11.0		10.0
Phase Duration, s	11.7	67.9	5.5	61.7		14.7		22.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0		5.0		5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	3.1	0.0	3.1	0.0		3.3		3.4
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	7.4		2.3			9.6		15.9
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.2		1.0
Phase Call Probability	1.00		0.29			0.99		1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.00		0.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	198	639	605	11	307	104		130	23	243	213	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1885	1777	1810	1795	1610		1843	1610	1757	1636	
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	5.4	28.6	29.5	0.3	6.3	5.1		7.6	1.5	6.9	13.9	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	5.4	28.6	29.5	0.3	6.3	5.1		7.6	1.5	6.9	13.9	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.60	0.57	0.57	0.53	0.52	0.52		0.09	0.09	0.15	0.15	
Capacity (c), veh/h	691	1077	1015	219	1849	830		163	142	542	252	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.287	0.593	0.596	0.052	0.166	0.125		0.798	0.165	0.448	0.844	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	79.8	395.5	387.5	5	103.7	79		134.6	24	115.8	197.5	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.6	17.8	17.6	0.2	4.7	3.6		6.1	1.1	5.3	9.0	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.55	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.75		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	10.1	21.7	22.9	14.8	18.6	20.3		49.2	46.4	42.3	45.2	
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.1	2.0	2.1	0.0	0.2	0.3		3.4	0.2	0.2	3.0	
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	10.2	23.6	25.0	14.9	18.7	20.6		52.6	46.6	42.5	48.2	
Level of Service (LOS)	B	C	C	B	B	C		D	D	D	D	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	22.4		C	19.1		B		51.6		D	45.2	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	27.8						C					

Multimodal Results


	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.89		B	2.09		B	2.48		B	2.30		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.02		A	1.40		A	0.74		A	1.24		A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020
Intersection	Home Depot Access/Me...	File Name	Existing PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	58	598	44	74	879	65	59	22	88	89	13	89

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	2											
Offset, s	43	Reference Point	Begin		Green	3.6	1.6	66.3	10.1	9.3	0.0			
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	Off		Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0			
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	Off		Red	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0			

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2		4		8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0		11.0		10.0
Phase Duration, s	9.2	73.0	7.6	71.3		14.3		15.1
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0		5.0		5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0		5.5		5.5
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	4.8		2.9			8.3		9.3
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.0		1.0		0.8
Phase Call Probability	0.98		0.72			1.00		1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.00		0.09

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	121	677	663	42	500	37		89	97	98	112	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1885	1839	1810	1809	1610		1833	1585	1810	1642	
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	2.8	20.4	20.2	0.9	10.1	1.7		5.1	6.3	5.7	7.3	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	2.8	20.4	20.2	0.9	10.1	1.7		5.1	6.3	5.7	7.3	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.65	0.62	0.62	0.64	0.60	0.60		0.08	0.12	0.09	0.09	
Capacity (c), veh/h	594	1165	1136	297	2181	971		155	186	167	151	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.204	0.581	0.583	0.142	0.229	0.038		0.574	0.520	0.587	0.741	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	41.9	237.8	227.6	13.4	154.9	23.3		101.7	106.3	108.9	127.4	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	1.9	10.7	10.3	0.6	7.0	1.1		4.6	4.8	4.9	5.8	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00		0.00	1.20	0.82	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	8.0	9.7	9.4	8.9	16.3	14.8		48.4	45.6	47.9	48.7	
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.2	1.9	2.0	0.3	0.2	0.1		4.7	3.2	4.6	9.7	
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	8.2	11.6	11.4	9.2	16.6	14.9		53.2	48.8	52.5	58.4	
Level of Service (LOS)	A	B	B	A	B	B		D	D	D	E	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	11.2	B		15.9	B		50.9	D		55.7	E	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	19.2						B					

Multimodal Results


	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.88		B	1.88		B	2.46		B	2.32		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.12		A	1.41		A	0.79		A	0.83		A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020
Intersection	24 1/2 Rd & Patterson	File Name	Existing PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

Approach Movement	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	53	515	161	204	720	174	219	222	166	170	208	80

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	2										
Offset, s	23	Reference Point	Begin	Green	5.3	0.5	58.3	9.0	1.4	13.0			
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0			
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	0.5	1.0			

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	9.3	63.8	9.7	64.3	18.4	23.4	13.0	18.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	5.1		5.4		14.4	15.0	11.0	8.7
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.4	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1	3.4	0.0	3.6
Phase Call Probability	0.97		0.98		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.01		0.00		1.00	0.36	1.00	0.30

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	112	740	692	123	277	262	241	224	202	187	229	88
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1885	1732	1795	1885	1758	1810	1885	1622	1795	1781	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	3.1	31.2	29.8	3.4	5.8	5.6	12.4	12.4	13.0	9.0	6.7	5.7
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	3.1	31.2	29.8	3.4	5.8	5.6	12.4	12.4	13.0	9.0	6.7	5.7
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.58	0.53	0.53	0.58	0.53	0.53	0.27	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.12	0.12
Capacity (c), veh/h	552	1000	918	252	1008	940	365	316	272	243	421	188
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.203	0.740	0.754	0.489	0.275	0.279	0.659	0.710	0.743	0.770	0.542	0.469
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	50.3	382.9	313.2	57.3	90.1	82	195.6	204.2	192	186.6	116.6	94.6
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.3	17.3	14.2	2.6	4.1	3.7	8.9	9.2	8.7	8.4	5.2	4.2
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.00	1.48	0.00	0.00	1.41	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	11.1	16.7	13.9	17.4	8.2	7.8	34.5	43.2	43.5	41.0	45.7	45.3
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.2	4.4	5.1	1.9	0.6	0.7	4.7	4.2	6.3	14.8	1.5	2.6
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	11.4	21.1	19.0	19.3	8.8	8.4	39.1	47.5	49.8	55.8	47.2	47.8
Level of Service (LOS)	B	C	B	B	A	A	D	D	D	E	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	19.5	B		10.6	B		45.2	D		50.5	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	27.4						C					

Multimodal Results


	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.26	B		2.41	B		2.30	B		2.31	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.15	A		1.48	A		1.04	A		0.90	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020
Intersection	25 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	66	741	147	222	834	147	180	308	176	205	301	111

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	2										
Offset, s	102	Reference Point	Begin										
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	16.9	40.2	12.5	21.4	0.0	0.0			
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	4.5	3.5	4.0	0.0	0.0			
				Red	0.5	1.5	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.0			

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	20.9	46.2	20.9	46.2	16.5	26.4	16.5	26.4
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	5.6		6.5		11.6	21.7	13.1	21.3
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.02		0.04		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	131	890	872	141	318	304	200	342	179	228	334	123
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1885	1778	1795	1885	1786	1781	1885	1598	1795	1870	1610
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	3.6	40.2	40.2	4.5	12.0	12.9	9.6	19.7	9.0	11.1	19.3	7.3
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	3.6	40.2	40.2	4.5	12.0	12.9	9.6	19.7	9.0	11.1	19.3	7.3
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.52	0.37	0.37	0.52	0.37	0.37	0.31	0.19	0.35	0.31	0.19	0.19
Capacity (c), veh/h	530	689	650	341	689	653	269	367	556	269	364	313
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.247	1.291	1.342	0.412	0.462	0.465	0.744	0.933	0.322	0.845	0.919	0.394
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	56.2	1399.3	1481.6	79.1	168.5	177.5	184.7	373.2	134.3	221.2	363.5	120.5
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.6	63.1	66.3	3.6	7.6	7.9	8.3	16.8	6.1	10.0	16.3	5.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.84	0.00	0.76	1.67	0.00	0.91
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	11.9	39.5	40.3	20.7	20.4	22.5	31.7	43.6	26.3	32.2	43.5	38.6
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	1.0	141.2	163.2	3.1	1.9	2.0	17.0	32.8	1.5	26.4	30.6	3.7
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	12.9	180.7	203.5	23.8	22.2	24.5	48.7	76.4	27.8	58.7	74.0	42.3
Level of Service (LOS)	B	F	F	C	C	C	D	E	C	E	E	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	179.6	F		23.4	C		56.7	E		63.2	E	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	108.8						F					

Multimodal Results


	EB		WB		NB		SB	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.13	B	2.11	B	2.30	B	2.30	B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.36	A	1.59	B	1.68	B	1.62	B

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020
Intersection	25 1/2 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	36	1077	89	182	1079	104	92	145	189	185	118	46

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	2										
Offset, s	28	Reference Point	Begin										
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	6.2	1.5	54.5	11.0	17.7	0.0			
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.5	3.5	4.0	0.0			
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	1.0	0.0			

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0
Phase Duration, s	10.2	60.5	11.8	62.1	15.0	22.7	15.0	22.7
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	3.4		5.2		7.0	16.0	12.6	12.7
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	1.7	0.0	2.3
Phase Call Probability	0.78		0.97		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.02		1.00	0.85	1.00	0.39

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	49	803	790	114	375	364	103	163	212	208	184	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1767	1885	1834	1795	1885	1826	1781	1870	1610	1795	1780	
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	1.4	42.1	42.9	3.2	12.0	11.2	5.0	8.8	14.0	10.6	10.7	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	1.4	42.1	42.9	3.2	12.0	11.2	5.0	8.8	14.0	10.6	10.7	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.55	0.50	0.50	0.57	0.51	0.51	0.26	0.16	0.16	0.26	0.16	
Capacity (c), veh/h	438	935	909	226	961	931	299	301	259	309	287	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.112	0.860	0.869	0.503	0.390	0.391	0.346	0.541	0.819	0.673	0.643	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	20.2	578.7	578.3	62.5	163.6	149	96.3	152.5	215.6	189.1	173.5	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.9	26.1	26.1	2.8	7.4	6.6	4.3	6.8	9.8	8.5	7.8	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.87	0.00	2.45	1.43	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	11.2	27.0	27.2	24.6	14.1	12.6	32.7	42.4	44.6	34.6	43.2	
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.1	9.3	10.2	2.0	1.0	1.0	3.2	2.1	14.1	11.2	3.7	
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	11.3	36.3	37.4	26.6	15.0	13.7	35.8	44.6	58.7	45.8	46.8	
Level of Service (LOS)	B	D	D	C	B	B	D	D	E	D	D	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	36.1		D	16.0		B	49.0		D	46.3		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	34.0						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.09		B	1.90		B	2.30		B	2.30		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.60		B	1.75		B	1.28		A	1.13		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020
Intersection	1st Street & Patterson	File Name	Existing PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.93
Analysis Period	1> 7:00



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	55	1203	167	187	1110	60	184	179	183	82	132	37

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	74	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	6.8	1.0	59.6	7.5	0.5	16.2		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	3.0	3.5	0.0	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	2.5	0.5	0.0	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	10.8	65.1	11.8	66.0	12.0	21.7	11.5	21.2
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	3.5		4.9		7.1	14.0	6.5	9.6
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	2.7	0.2	3.1
Phase Call Probability	0.85		0.97		1.00	1.00	0.93	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		0.70	0.19	0.37	0.08

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	62	1358	189	114	360	354	198	192	197	88	142	40
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1795	1610	1781	1885	1851	1757	1885	1598	1795	1885	1610
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	1.5	25.1	3.6	2.9	6.9	6.6	5.1	10.6	12.0	4.5	7.6	2.2
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	1.5	25.1	3.6	2.9	6.9	6.6	5.1	10.6	12.0	4.5	7.6	2.2
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.60	0.54	0.61	0.61	0.55	0.55	0.22	0.15	0.22	0.22	0.15	0.21
Capacity (c), veh/h	521	1943	989	296	1037	1018	576	287	356	225	278	337
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.119	0.699	0.191	0.386	0.347	0.348	0.343	0.671	0.553	0.392	0.511	0.118
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	22.1	236.5	45.3	48.5	89.6	86	87.4	179.9	169.2	81	136.1	34.8
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	1.0	10.7	2.1	2.2	4.0	3.8	4.0	8.1	7.6	3.7	6.1	1.6
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.17	0.00	0.34	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.00	1.28	0.73	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	8.8	11.8	5.4	14.3	6.9	6.5	35.8	44.0	37.9	36.4	43.2	35.3
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.1	1.8	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	3.8	1.9	1.6	2.1	0.2
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	8.9	13.6	5.8	15.1	7.4	7.0	36.3	47.9	39.8	37.9	45.3	35.5
Level of Service (LOS)	A	B	A	B	A	A	D	D	D	D	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	12.5	B		8.3	A		41.3	D		41.5	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	19.0						B					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.26	B		2.09	B		2.30	B		2.46	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.75	B		1.69	B		1.46	A		0.93	A	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020
Intersection	7th Street & Patterson	File Name	Existing PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.93
Analysis Period	1> 7:00



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	142	1204	163	104	920	46	247	407	197	66	255	174

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	15	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	7.5	0.4	46.5	7.1	4.3	18.3		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.5	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	15.8	55.9	11.5	51.5	19.4	31.6	11.1	23.3
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	11.6		7.2		14.8	12.9	5.5	12.9
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.6	7.2	0.1	5.4
Phase Call Probability	0.99		0.93		1.00	1.00	0.89	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.41		0.01		1.00	0.20	1.00	0.50

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	156	1326	151	89	414	407	266	438	198	71	274	187
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1795	1795	1438	1795	1885	1856	1810	1795	1590	1810	1781	1562
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	9.6	30.6	2.7	5.2	17.9	17.8	12.8	10.9	10.1	3.5	7.7	10.9
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	9.6	30.6	2.7	5.2	17.9	17.8	12.8	10.9	10.1	3.5	7.7	10.9
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.11	0.46	0.60	0.07	0.42	0.42	0.32	0.24	0.31	0.23	0.17	0.27
Capacity (c), veh/h	193	1659	889	122	797	784	410	868	493	283	591	430
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.809	0.799	0.170	0.729	0.519	0.520	0.647	0.504	0.401	0.251	0.464	0.435
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	172.2	317.5	30	91.1	251	253.1	194.9	159.6	136.1	61.6	128.5	151.8
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	7.8	14.3	1.4	4.1	11.3	11.1	8.9	7.2	6.1	2.8	5.7	6.8
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.98	0.00	0.19	0.69	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.77	0.56	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	53.2	17.1	4.5	45.0	23.7	23.4	30.0	31.9	26.5	34.0	41.4	33.0
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	9.8	3.4	0.3	8.5	1.8	1.8	2.9	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.8	1.0
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	63.0	20.5	4.8	53.4	25.5	25.2	33.0	32.6	27.3	34.7	42.3	34.0
Level of Service (LOS)	E	C	A	D	C	C	C	C	C	C	D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	23.1	C		28.1	C		31.5	C		38.3	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	28.2						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB		WB		NB		SB	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.44	B	2.43	B	2.30	B	2.49	B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.80	B	1.43	A	1.23	A	0.93	A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020
Intersection	12th Street & Patterson	File Name	Existing PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.95
Analysis Period	1> 7:00



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	89	1185	175	152	692	52	212	364	216	136	416	112

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	85	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	12.5	44.0	10.3	2.6	18.1	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0	0.0		
				Red	0.5	1.5	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0
Phase Duration, s	16.5	49.5	16.5	49.5	20.9	29.7	14.3	23.1
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	5.2		9.1		12.3	14.1	9.0	18.8
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.5	5.2	0.1	0.0
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.23		1.00		1.00	0.59	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	97	1295	191	210	521	508	223	383	227	143	287	269
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1809	1593	1795	1885	1838	1810	1809	1598	1810	1885	1741
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	3.2	36.3	6.1	7.1	25.5	25.8	10.3	10.1	12.1	7.0	16.5	16.8
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	3.2	36.3	6.1	7.1	25.5	25.8	10.3	10.1	12.1	7.0	16.5	16.8
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.51	0.40	0.55	0.51	0.40	0.40	0.34	0.22	0.34	0.26	0.16	0.16
Capacity (c), veh/h	349	1447	883	288	754	735	354	812	540	329	310	287
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.279	0.895	0.217	0.730	0.691	0.691	0.631	0.472	0.421	0.435	0.925	0.938
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	57.3	434.5	80	128.8	356.7	356.7	178	162.4	171.4	127.2	327.7	321.2
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.6	19.8	3.6	5.8	16.1	16.1	8.1	7.4	7.7	5.8	14.8	14.4
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.32	0.00	0.55	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.00	0.78	0.96	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	19.6	28.6	11.0	22.2	28.3	29.2	29.4	37.0	28.1	33.0	45.3	45.4
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	1.2	5.7	0.3	12.7	4.3	4.4	8.3	2.0	2.4	4.1	35.1	39.5
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	20.9	34.4	11.4	34.9	32.6	33.6	37.7	39.0	30.5	37.2	80.4	84.9
Level of Service (LOS)	C	C	B	C	C	C	D	D	C	D	F	F
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	30.8	C		33.4	C		36.3	D		73.3	E	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	39.4						D					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.43	B		2.28	B		2.30	B		2.46	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.75	B		1.27	A		1.18	A		1.06	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Intersection Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Duration, h	0.250
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Area Type	Other
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020	PHF	0.95
Intersection	Patterson Rd & 15th St	File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	90	1577	39	35	1002	39	20	6	53	65	5	85

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	88	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	3.6	1.0	83.4	8.5	0.0	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	3.5	3.5	0.0	0.0		
				Red	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2		8		4
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0		7.0		7.0
Phase Duration, s	9.1	88.9	8.1	87.9		13.0		13.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5		4.5		4.5
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	3.1	0.0	3.1	0.0		3.3		3.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	3.1		2.6			5.7		8.1
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.4		0.4
Phase Call Probability	0.92		0.72			1.00		1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.00		0.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	83	750	745	42	632	624		27	56		74	89
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1856	1840	1781	1885	1860		1522	1585		1435	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	1.1	9.9	10.1	0.6	9.6	9.3		0.0	3.7		3.8	6.1
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	1.1	9.9	10.1	0.6	9.6	9.3		1.7	3.7		5.5	6.1
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.80	0.77	0.77	0.79	0.76	0.76		0.08	0.08		0.08	0.08
Capacity (c), veh/h	437	1424	1412	355	1430	1411		175	122		174	122
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.191	0.527	0.528	0.119	0.442	0.442		0.156	0.457		0.425	0.733
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	9.9	90.1	88.7	5.5	90.9	86.4		28.7	60.2		80.3	100.9
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.4	4.0	4.0	0.2	4.1	3.9		1.3	2.7		3.6	4.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00		0.00	1.36		0.00	2.28
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	2.8	2.1	2.2	3.2	3.0	2.8		47.6	48.6		49.4	49.7
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.1	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.6	0.7		0.2	1.0		0.6	3.2
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	2.9	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.6	3.5		47.8	49.6		50.0	52.8
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A	A	A	A	A		D	D		D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	3.3		A	3.5		A		49.0	D		51.6	D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	7.1						A					

Multimodal Results


	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.84		B	1.84		B	2.31		B	2.31		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.97		B	1.42		A	0.62		A	0.76		A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020
Intersection	27 1/2 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	138	1436			822	342				545		124

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	2										
Offset, s	88	Reference Point	Begin										
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	10.3	64.4	20.3	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	4.5	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
				Red	0.5	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6		2				4
Case Number	1.0	4.0		7.3				9.0
Phase Duration, s	14.3	84.7		70.4				25.3
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.0		6.0				5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0		0.0				5.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	4.0							18.7
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.2	0.0		0.0				0.7
Phase Call Probability	1.00							1.00
Max Out Probability	0.43							1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6			2	12				7		14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	99	1028			932	388				551		125
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1795			1795	1610				1757		1610
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	2.0	13.4			13.3	10.4				16.7		7.6
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	2.0	13.4			13.3	10.4				16.7		7.6
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.70	0.72			0.59	0.59				0.18		0.18
Capacity (c), veh/h	431	2568			2101	943				649		297
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.229	0.400			0.443	0.411				0.849		0.422
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	30.5	150.9			154.1	115				263.8		124.3
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	1.4	6.8			6.9	5.2				12.0		5.7
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.20	0.00			0.00	2.18				1.58		0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	7.2	6.9			9.7	7.7				43.4		39.7
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.9	0.3			0.6	1.1				13.1		4.3
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0				0.0		0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	8.1	7.3			10.2	8.8				56.4		44.0
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A			B	A				E		D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	7.3	A		9.8	A		0.0			54.1		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	18.5						B					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	0.66	A		2.08	B		2.32	B		2.32		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.80	B		1.46	A							F

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Intersection Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Duration, h	0.250
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Area Type	Other
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020	PHF	0.97
Intersection	28 1/4 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	29	1509	266	95	913	26	226	14	156	39	22	45

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	63	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	Off	Green	9.2	57.8	7.0	0.4	12.6	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	4.5	3.5	3.5	4.0	0.0		
				Red	0.5	1.5	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0
Phase Duration, s	13.2	63.8	13.2	63.8	15.4	22.0	11.0	17.6
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.1	0.0	5.2	5.4	5.2	5.4
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	2.5		4.2		13.4	13.2	4.1	4.9
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.8
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.13		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	0.38

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	18	561	532	90	868	25	233	175		40	23	46
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1885	1786	1810	1795	1560	1810	1631		1810	1900	1610
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	0.5	15.8	14.2	2.2	13.5	0.6	11.4	11.2		2.1	1.2	2.9
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	0.5	15.8	14.2	2.2	13.5	0.6	11.4	11.2		2.1	1.2	2.9
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.61	0.53	0.53	0.61	0.53	0.59	0.24	0.15		0.18	0.11	0.11
Capacity (c), veh/h	460	991	939	407	1886	919	372	252		223	218	184
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.039	0.566	0.567	0.222	0.460	0.027	0.626	0.695		0.180	0.104	0.252
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	7.2	169.1	144.2	33.9	148.5	7.8	206.4	186.8		40.1	24.1	51.9
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.3	7.6	6.4	1.5	6.7	0.3	9.4	8.5		1.8	1.1	2.4
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.08	0.78	0.00		0.36	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	10.3	9.8	8.3	10.2	11.7	7.6	37.3	44.0		38.4	43.6	44.4
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.1	1.8	1.9	0.8	0.5	0.0	7.7	14.7		1.8	1.0	3.2
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	10.4	11.6	10.2	11.0	12.3	7.6	45.0	58.8		40.1	44.6	47.6
Level of Service (LOS)	B	B	B	B	B	A	D	E		D	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	10.9		B	12.0		B	50.9		D	44.2		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	19.0						B					

Multimodal Results


	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.09		B	2.09		B	2.46		B	2.31		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.02		B	1.37		A	1.16		A	0.58		A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020
Intersection	29 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	155	1207	310	127	766	28	238	136	183	52	83	76

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	2																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	</
----------	-------	-----------------	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
Phase Duration, s	16.0	58.0	16.0	58.0	14.0	22.0	14.0	22.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.5	6.5	4.5	6.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.1	0.0	4.1	0.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	5.0		6.5		11.5	10.8	5.3	6.7
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	1.2
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.11		0.50		1.00	0.44	1.00	0.07

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	111	562	523	158	498	491	251	143	156	55	87	27
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1795	1885	1751	1795	1885	1861	1795	1826	1598	1711	1826	1560
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	3.0	27.5	27.7	4.5	19.8	19.7	9.5	7.9	8.8	3.3	4.7	1.5
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	3.0	27.5	27.7	4.5	19.8	19.7	9.5	7.9	8.8	3.3	4.7	1.5
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.57	0.47	0.47	0.57	0.47	0.47	0.09	0.15	0.26	0.09	0.15	0.26
Capacity (c), veh/h	408	883	820	357	883	871	155	282	414	148	282	404
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.272	0.637	0.637	0.443	0.564	0.564	1.616	0.507	0.376	0.370	0.310	0.068
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	47.1	378.7	366.9	73	245.6	241.3	579.9	151.1	135.7	70.7	95.1	23.7
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.1	17.1	16.3	3.3	11.1	10.8	26.1	6.6	6.1	3.0	4.2	1.0
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.00	2.63	0.00	0.62	0.53	0.00	0.18
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	12.5	28.0	28.6	16.2	19.0	18.7	50.3	42.7	33.5	47.4	41.3	30.7
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	1.2	2.6	2.8	2.7	1.8	1.8	304.8	6.4	2.6	7.0	2.8	0.3
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	13.8	30.6	31.4	18.9	20.8	20.5	355.0	49.0	36.1	54.4	44.1	31.1
Level of Service (LOS)	B	C	C	B	C	C	F	D	D	D	D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	29.4	C		20.4	C		184.9	F		45.3	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	54.8						D					

Multimodal Results


	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.15	B		2.17	B		2.30	B		2.30	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.94	B		1.29	A		1.39	A		0.77	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020
Intersection	29 1/2 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	69	1265	73	61	793	59	82	46	124	84	19	32

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	56	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	4.0	0.5	61.9	28.0	0.0	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	5.0	4.0	0.0	0.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2		4		8
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0		8.0		7.0
Phase Duration, s	8.0	68.4	8.6	69.0		33.0		33.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.5	4.0	6.5		5.0		5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.5	0.0	4.5	0.0		4.8		4.8
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	3.4		4.0			30.0		16.0
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0		0.0		1.5
Phase Call Probability	0.81		0.91			1.00		1.00
Max Out Probability	0.01		0.04			1.00		0.08

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	54	525	514	79	562	548		260			106	33
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1885	1849	1810	1885	1839		1009			712	1572
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	1.4	9.7	9.3	2.0	11.2	10.6		14.0			0.0	1.8
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	1.4	9.7	9.3	2.0	11.2	10.6		28.0			14.0	1.8
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.60	0.56	0.56	0.60	0.57	0.57		0.25			0.25	0.25
Capacity (c), veh/h	363	1062	1041	403	1071	1044		300			241	400
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.148	0.494	0.494	0.197	0.525	0.525		0.865			0.441	0.082
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	20	102.1	96.6	29.9	116.4	110.5		282.7			106.8	27.3
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.9	4.6	4.4	1.4	5.2	4.9		12.6			4.6	1.2
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00		0.00			0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	10.3	5.5	5.2	9.9	5.8	5.4		43.6			35.5	31.2
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.1	1.1	1.1	0.2	1.3	1.4		22.2			1.3	0.1
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	10.4	6.6	6.3	10.1	7.2	6.7		65.9			36.8	31.3
Level of Service (LOS)	B	A	A	B	A	A		E			D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	6.6		A	7.2		A		65.9		E	35.5	D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	14.1						B					

Multimodal Results

	EB		WB		NB		SB	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.67	B	1.89	B	2.29	B	2.29	B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.68	B	1.26	A	0.92	A	0.72	A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2020
Intersection	30 Road & Patterson	File Name	Existing PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.96
Analysis Period	1> 7:00



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	155	933	219	55	580	52	197	87	80	36	55	97

Signal Information

Cycle, s	110.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	26	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On									
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On									

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6	3	8	7	4
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	19.0	53.0	14.0	48.0	14.0	29.0	14.0	29.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.5	4.0	6.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.1	0.0	4.2	0.0	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	7.1		5.1		12.0	6.6	3.6	4.7
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.8
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.05		0.50		1.00	0.00	0.06	0.00

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	161	619	581	92	537	521	205	91	82	38	57	5
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1795	1885	1761	1810	1885	1830	1767	1900	1610	1810	1900	1572
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	5.1	23.6	23.8	3.1	29.0	29.0	10.0	4.3	4.6	1.6	2.7	0.2
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	5.1	23.6	23.8	3.1	29.0	29.0	10.0	4.3	4.6	1.6	2.7	0.2
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.53	0.42	0.42	0.47	0.38	0.38	0.31	0.22	0.22	0.31	0.22	0.35
Capacity (c), veh/h	371	797	744	319	711	690	460	415	351	428	415	558
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.435	0.777	0.780	0.289	0.755	0.755	0.446	0.219	0.234	0.088	0.138	0.009
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	82.2	203.4	195	56.1	449	440.1	164.7	83.8	77.4	29.1	51.8	3.7
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.7	9.2	8.8	2.6	20.2	19.8	7.3	3.8	3.5	1.3	2.4	0.2
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.62	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.74	0.00	0.44	0.22	0.00	0.03
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	19.5	12.9	12.9	18.0	36.6	36.8	29.8	35.3	35.4	27.0	34.7	23.0
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	2.5	5.1	5.5	2.3	7.3	7.5	3.1	1.2	1.6	0.4	0.7	0.0
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	22.0	18.0	18.4	20.3	43.9	44.3	32.9	36.5	37.0	27.4	35.4	23.0
Level of Service (LOS)	C	B	B	C	D	D	C	D	D	C	D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	18.6	B		42.2	D		34.7	C		31.7	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	30.2						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.11	B		2.24	B		2.30	B		2.30	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.61	B		1.08	A		1.11	A		0.65	A	

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Streets Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Intersections	15
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Segments	14
File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Year	2020	Number of Iterations	15
Intersections	24 Road & Patterson	Market Street/Mall Access & Patterson		System Cycle Length, s	110
Project Description	Analysis Period				
					1> 7:00



Basic Segment Information (24 Rd - Market St)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
1	35	35	2	2	637	637	50	50	0	0	100	0	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement		2	12	1	6	
1	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h				0.02	never	
1	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h				0.15		never
1	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	41.58			42.05		
1	Running Time, s	14.85			15.15		
1	Running Speed, mph	29.24			28.67		
1	Through Delay, s/veh	18.20			19.12		
1	Travel Time, s	33.05			34.27		
1	Travel Speed, mph	13.14			12.67		
1	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.55			0.46		
1	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	4.53			3.77		
1	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.17			0.22		
1	Percent of Base FFS	31.60			30.14		
1	Level of Service	E			E		
1	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.88			2.75		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

1	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.52	B	3.63	D
1	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.20	B	2.65	B
1	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.34	B	2.55	B

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	786.87	983.12
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.34	21.89
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.99	51.53
Facility Level of Service	C	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.34


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.47	C	3.69	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	2.89	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	1.37	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Streets Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Intersections	15
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Segments	14
File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Year	2020	Number of Iterations	15
Intersections	Market Street/Mall Access & Pat	Home Depot Access/Mesa Mall Access &		System Cycle Length, s	110
Project Description				Analysis Period	1> 7:00



Basic Segment Information (Market St - Home Depot)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
2	35	35	2	2	903	903	50	50	2	1	70	0	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
2	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never				
2	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never					
2	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	41.72			42.05		
2	Running Time, s	18.36			18.75		
2	Running Speed, mph	33.53			32.83		
2	Through Delay, s/veh	15.91			24.16		
2	Travel Time, s	34.27			42.91		
2	Travel Speed, mph	17.97			14.35		
2	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.56			0.71		
2	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	3.26			4.13		
2	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.23			0.59		
2	Percent of Base FFS	43.06			34.12		
2	Level of Service	D			E		
2	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.66			2.81		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

2	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.93	C	3.87	D
2	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.47	B	2.77	C
2	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.86	A	2.37	B

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	786.87	983.12
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.34	21.89
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.99	51.53
Facility Level of Service	C	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.34


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.47	C	3.69	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	2.89	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	1.37	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Year	2020	System Cycle Length, s	110
Intersections	Home Depot Access/Mesa Mall / 24 1/2 Rd & Patterson			Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (Home Depot - 24 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
3	35	35	2	2	1674	1674	50	50	550	550	70	100	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
3	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
3	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never			never		
3	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.72			40.20		
3	Running Time, s	30.68			31.84		
3	Running Speed, mph	37.21			35.84		
3	Through Delay, s/veh	8.84			11.51		
3	Travel Time, s	39.52			43.35		
3	Travel Speed, mph	28.88			26.33		
3	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.27			0.35		
3	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.85			1.11		
3	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.28			0.58		
3	Percent of Base FFS	70.93			65.49		
3	Level of Service	B			C		
3	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.27			2.31		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

3	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.21	C	3.66	D
3	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.61	B	2.84	C
3	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.99	A	1.30	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	786.87	983.12
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.34	21.89
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.99	51.53
Facility Level of Service	C	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.34


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.47	C	3.69	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	2.89	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	1.37	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Year	2020	System Cycle Length, s	110
Intersections	24 1/2 Rd & Patterson	25 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (24 1/2 Rd - 25 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
4	40	35	2	2	2569	2569	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
4	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
4	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		
4	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	43.00			40.65		
4	Running Time, s	43.15			46.64		
4	Running Speed, mph	40.60			37.55		
4	Through Delay, s/veh	23.66			20.46		
4	Travel Time, s	66.81			67.10		
4	Travel Speed, mph	26.22			26.10		
4	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.55			0.54		
4	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.12			1.12		
4	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.46			0.74		
4	Percent of Base FFS	60.98			64.22		
4	Level of Service	C			C		
4	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.31			2.31		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

4	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.32	C	3.68	D
4	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.70	B	2.86	C
4	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.18	A	1.36	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	786.87	983.12
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.34	21.89
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.99	51.53
Facility Level of Service	C	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.34


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.47	C	3.69	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	2.89	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	1.37	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Year	2020	System Cycle Length, s	110
Intersections	25 Road & Patterson	25 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (25 Rd - 25 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
5	40	40	2	2	2562	2562	50	50	260	260	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
5	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never		never	0.65	
5	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never			never		0.25
5	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	43.13			43.13		
5	Running Time, s	43.02			44.51		
5	Running Speed, mph	40.61			39.25		
5	Through Delay, s/veh	14.48			190.39		
5	Travel Time, s	57.50			234.90		
5	Travel Speed, mph	30.38			7.44		
5	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.41			1.93		
5	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.84			3.97		
5	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.39			1.31		
5	Percent of Base FFS	70.44			17.24		
5	Level of Service	B			F		
5	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.26			2.78		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

5	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.22	C	4.11	D
5	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.71	B	3.00	C
5	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.95	A	3.37	C

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	786.87	983.12
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.34	21.89
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.99	51.53
Facility Level of Service	C	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.34


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.47	C	3.69	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	2.89	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	1.37	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Year	2020	System Cycle Length, s	110
Intersections	25 1/2 Road & Patterson	1st Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (25 1/2 Rd - 26 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
6	35	40	2	2	2572	2572	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
6	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
6	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never			never		
6	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.73			43.08		
6	Running Time, s	45.51			44.31		
6	Running Speed, mph	38.53			39.57		
6	Through Delay, s/veh	7.25			36.91		
6	Travel Time, s	52.76			81.23		
6	Travel Speed, mph	33.24			21.59		
6	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.22			0.84		
6	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.45			1.73		
6	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.35			0.87		
6	Percent of Base FFS	81.61			50.12		
6	Level of Service	A			C		
6	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.21			2.40		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

6	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.26	C	3.95	D
6	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.71	B	2.97	C
6	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.75	A	1.72	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	786.87	983.12
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.34	21.89
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.99	51.53
Facility Level of Service	C	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.34


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.47	C	3.69	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	2.89	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	1.37	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Year	2020	System Cycle Length, s	110
Intersections	1st Street & Patterson	7th Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (26 Rd - 26 1/2)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
7	35	40	2	2	2566	2566	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
7	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
7	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never			never		never
7	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	39.83			42.18		
7	Running Time, s	46.50			45.07		
7	Running Speed, mph	37.63			38.82		
7	Through Delay, s/veh	25.35			13.61		
7	Travel Time, s	71.85			58.68		
7	Travel Speed, mph	24.35			29.82		
7	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.64			0.36		
7	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.32			0.74		
7	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.52			0.70		
7	Percent of Base FFS	61.14			70.69		
7	Level of Service	C			B		
7	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.34			2.25		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

7	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.33	C	3.59	D
7	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.74	B	2.94	C
7	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.37	A	1.09	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	786.87	983.12
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.34	21.89
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.99	51.53
Facility Level of Service	C	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.34


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.47	C	3.69	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	2.89	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	1.37	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Year	2020	System Cycle Length, s	110
Intersections	7th Street & Patterson	12th Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (26 1/2 Rd to 12th St)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
8	40	35	2	2	2567	2567	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
8	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h	never	never		never	never	
8	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never			never		never
8	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.34			39.99		
8	Running Time, s	44.36			47.57		
8	Running Speed, mph	39.46			36.80		
8	Through Delay, s/veh	33.07			20.13		
8	Travel Time, s	77.42			67.69		
8	Travel Speed, mph	22.61			25.86		
8	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.77			0.51		
8	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.57			1.05		
8	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.69			0.80		
8	Percent of Base FFS	53.39			64.65		
8	Level of Service	C			C		
8	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.38			2.30		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

8	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.56	D	3.64	D
8	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.92	C
8	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.56	A	1.37	A

Facility Output Data


	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	786.87	983.12
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.34	21.89
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.99	51.53
Facility Level of Service	C	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.34

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.47	C	3.69	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	2.89	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	1.37	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Year	2020	System Cycle Length, s	110
Intersections	12th Street & Patterson	Patterson Rd & 15th St		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (12th St - 27 1/4 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
9	40	35	2	2	1271	1271	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
9	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never		never	never	never
9	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never			never		never
9	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.29			39.94		
9	Running Time, s	23.76			25.45		
9	Running Speed, mph	36.48			34.05		
9	Through Delay, s/veh	3.54			34.36		
9	Travel Time, s	27.30			59.82		
9	Travel Speed, mph	31.74			14.49		
9	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.13			0.80		
9	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.52			3.31		
9	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.44			0.89		
9	Percent of Base FFS	75.05			36.27		
9	Level of Service	B			E		
9	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.22			2.67		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

9	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.57	D	4.07	D
9	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.73	B	2.93	C
9	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.91	A	2.40	B

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		786.87		983.12	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		27.34		21.89	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.47	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		63.99		51.53	
Facility Level of Service		C		F	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.32		2.34	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.47	C	3.69	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	2.89	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	1.37	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Year	2020	System Cycle Length, s	110
Intersections	Patterson Rd & 15th St	27 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
10	40	40	2	2	1321	1321	50	50	0	0	70	70	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
10	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
10	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		
10	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	44.07			44.07		
10	Running Time, s	23.96			24.17		
10	Running Speed, mph	37.59			37.27		
10	Through Delay, s/veh	10.22			3.28		
10	Travel Time, s	34.18			27.44		
10	Travel Speed, mph	26.35			32.82		
10	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.31			0.10		
10	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.26			0.40		
10	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.44			0.53		
10	Percent of Base FFS	59.80			74.48		
10	Level of Service	C			B		
10	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.55			2.20		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

10	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.87	D	4.14	D
10	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.90	C	2.96	C
10	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.28	A	0.89	A

Facility Output Data


	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	786.87	983.12
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.34	21.89
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.99	51.53
Facility Level of Service	C	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.34

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.47	C	3.69	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	2.89	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	1.37	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Year	2020	System Cycle Length, s	110
Intersections	27 1/2 Road & Patterson	28 1/4 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (27 1/4 Rd - 27 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
11	45	40	2	2	3900	3900	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement		2	12	1	6	
11	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
11	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		
11	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	45.85			43.50		
11	Running Time, s	60.72			63.94		
11	Running Speed, mph	43.79			41.59		
11	Through Delay, s/veh	12.38			7.25		
11	Travel Time, s	73.10			71.20		
11	Travel Speed, mph	36.38			37.35		
11	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.34			0.28		
11	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.46			0.39		
11	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.47			0.40		
11	Percent of Base FFS	79.35			85.87		
11	Level of Service	B			A		
11	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.31			2.20		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

11	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.81	D	3.59	D
11	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.86	C	2.87	C
11	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.62	A	0.57	A

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		786.87		983.12	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		27.34		21.89	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.47	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		63.99		51.53	
Facility Level of Service		C		F	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.32		2.34	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.47	C	3.69	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.77	C	2.89	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.10	A	1.37	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Year	2020	System Cycle Length, s	110
Intersections	28 1/4 Road & Patterson	29 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (28 1/4 Rd - 29 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
12	45	40	2	2	3811	3811	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
12	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
12	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
12	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	44.90			42.55		
12	Running Time, s	59.86			63.85		
12	Running Speed, mph	43.41			40.69		
12	Through Delay, s/veh	20.26			11.02		
12	Travel Time, s	80.12			74.87		
12	Travel Speed, mph	32.43			34.70		
12	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.51			0.28		
12	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.71			0.39		
12	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.57			0.57		
12	Percent of Base FFS	72.23			81.55		
12	Level of Service	B			A		
12	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.25			2.20		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

12	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.78	D	3.55	D
12	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.88	C	2.86	C
12	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.87	A	0.73	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	786.87	983.12
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.34	21.89
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.99	51.53
Facility Level of Service	C	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.34


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.47	C	3.69	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	2.89	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	1.37	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Year	2020	System Cycle Length, s	110
Intersections	29 Road & Patterson	29 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (29 Rd - 29 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
13	45	45	2	2	2585	2585	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
13	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
13	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never			never		never
13	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	43.89			43.89		
13	Running Time, s	40.98			40.98		
13	Running Speed, mph	43.01			43.01		
13	Through Delay, s/veh	6.98			30.88		
13	Travel Time, s	47.96			71.86		
13	Travel Speed, mph	36.75			24.53		
13	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.18			0.77		
13	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.38			1.57		
13	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.53			0.64		
13	Percent of Base FFS	83.72			55.88		
13	Level of Service	A			C		
13	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.20			2.38		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

13	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.67	D	3.41	C
13	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.85	C	2.90	C
13	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.63	A	1.42	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	786.87	983.12
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.34	21.89
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.99	51.53
Facility Level of Service	C	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.34


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.47	C	3.69	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	2.89	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	1.37	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	Existing PM.xus	Analysis Year	2020	System Cycle Length, s	110
Intersections	29 1/2 Road & Patterson	30 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (29 1/2 Rd - 30 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
14	35	45	2	2	2619	2619	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
14	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h					never	
14	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h				never		
14	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.83			45.53		
14	Running Time, s	46.66			41.38		
14	Running Speed, mph	38.27			43.15		
14	Through Delay, s/veh	44.37			6.43		
14	Travel Time, s	91.03			47.81		
14	Travel Speed, mph	19.62			37.35		
14	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.94			0.17		
14	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.89			0.35		
14	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.76			0.49		
14	Percent of Base FFS	48.05			82.05		
14	Level of Service	D			A		
14	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.43			2.19		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

14	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.27	C	3.40	C
14	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.81	C
14	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.81	A	0.58	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	786.87	983.12
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.34	21.89
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.99	51.53
Facility Level of Service	C	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.34

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.47	C	3.69	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	2.89	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	1.37	A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	24 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.91
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	216	235	72	125	217	278	87	934	412	413	633	71

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	6				
Offset, s	85	Reference Point	Begin				
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On				
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On				
Green	7.8	3.0	18.4	8.4	2.6	37.8	
Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.5	0.0	4.0	
Red	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	2.0	3.0	1.1	3.0	2.0	3.0
Phase Duration, s	18.8	30.4	11.8	23.4	12.4	42.8	15.0	45.4
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	14.5		5.8		5.0	28.1	13.0	16.8
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.2	9.7	0.0	18.0
Phase Call Probability	1.00		0.98		0.93	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		0.02		0.27	0.85	1.00	0.62

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	540	587	180	137	238	305	96	1026	453	454	696	78
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1675	1752		1716	1738		1810	1738	1585	1730	1752	1518
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	12.5	15.4		3.8	6.0		3.0	26.1	21.7	11.0	14.8	3.2
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	12.5	15.4		3.8	6.0		3.0	26.1	21.7	11.0	14.8	3.2
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.35	0.25		0.08	0.18		0.46	0.38	0.46	0.11	0.40	0.40
Capacity (c), veh/h	847	890		269	641		391	1314	723	381	1417	614
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.637	0.660		0.512	0.372		0.245	0.781	0.626	1.193	0.491	0.127
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	172.3	240.8		68.1	105.1		49.2	346	258.8	346.8	201.6	46.3
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	7.5	10.6		3.0	4.6		2.2	15.1	11.6	15.5	8.9	2.0
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.97	0.00		0.31	0.00		0.37	0.00	1.46	2.62	0.00	0.71
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	24.2	36.1		44.3	35.7		16.5	27.5	20.7	44.5	22.1	18.7
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	1.8	3.8		1.9	1.5		0.5	3.0	1.8	109.8	0.4	0.1
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	26.0	39.9	0.0	46.2	37.2	0.0	16.9	30.5	22.5	154.3	22.5	18.8
Level of Service (LOS)	C	D	A	D	D	A	B	C	C	F	C	B
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	28.6		C	22.3		C	27.4		C	71.0		E
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	38.2						D					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.44		B	2.59		C	2.57		C	2.57		C
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	0.96		A	1.05		A	1.79		B	1.50		B


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	Market Street/Mall Acce...
Project Description	

Intersection Information


Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.83
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	71	848	83	25	580	94	30	12	19	87	17	44

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2																																																																																																																																																																						
----------	-------	-----------------	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6		8		4
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0		11.0		10.0
Phase Duration, s	8.7	73.6	5.7	70.6		9.4		11.4
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0		5.0		5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	3.1	0.0	3.1	0.0		3.3		3.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	3.7		2.3			4.7		6.3
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.2
Phase Call Probability	0.94		0.34			0.87		0.99
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			1.00		0.32

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	99	1179	115	15	342	55		51	23	105	73	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1711	1766	1610	1810	1766	1522		1834	1610	1702	1682	
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	1.7	4.6	0.3	0.3	4.7	2.3		2.7	1.4	3.0	4.3	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	1.7	4.6	0.3	0.3	4.7	2.3		2.7	1.4	3.0	4.3	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.71	0.69	0.69	0.67	0.66	0.66		0.04	0.04	0.06	0.06	
Capacity (c), veh/h	759	2423	1104	402	2317	998		80	70	217	107	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.130	0.487	0.105	0.037	0.148	0.056		0.634	0.327	0.482	0.685	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	22.5	43.1	4.7	3.4	67.8	30.5		52	22.7	52.4	74.4	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	1.0	1.9	0.2	0.2	3.0	1.3		2.4	1.0	2.3	3.4	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.15	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.28		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	4.8	1.4	0.6	5.2	8.7	11.6		47.0	46.4	45.2	45.8	
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.0	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1		3.1	1.0	0.6	2.9	
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	4.8	1.9	0.7	5.2	8.8	11.7		50.1	47.4	45.8	48.7	
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A	A	A	A	B		D	D	D	D	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	2.0		A	9.1		A	49.3		D	47.0		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	9.0						A					

Multimodal Results

	EB		WB		NB		SB	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.86	B	2.06	B	2.47	B	2.46	B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.48	A	1.18	A	0.61	A	0.78	A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	Home Depot Access/Me...
Project Description	

Intersection Information




Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.84
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	39	756	189	87	571	13	70	21	47	25	30	28

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2														
Offset, s	27	Reference Point	Begin														
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	Off														
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	Off														
Green	3.9	0.3	62.2	5.8	8.7	0.0											
Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0											
Red	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0											

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2		4		8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0		11.0		10.0
Phase Duration, s	8.2	67.5	7.9	67.2		13.7		10.8
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0		5.0		5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0		5.4		5.4
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	3.4		3.1			7.7		5.9
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.0		1.0		0.4
Phase Call Probability	0.85		0.79			0.99		0.94
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.00		0.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	67	1308	327	56	367	8		108	56	30	69	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1711	1766	1598	1810	1752	1610		1830	1610	1767	1748	
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	1.4	20.2	8.1	1.1	2.8	0.1		5.7	3.1	1.6	3.9	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	1.4	20.2	8.1	1.1	2.8	0.1		5.7	3.1	1.6	3.9	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.66	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.62	0.62		0.09	0.13	0.06	0.06	
Capacity (c), veh/h	719	2209	999	269	2182	1002		159	203	103	102	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.094	0.592	0.327	0.208	0.168	0.008		0.683	0.275	0.288	0.676	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	19.3	220	100.2	16	38.8	2		112.7	51.9	31.4	78.7	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.8	9.8	4.5	0.7	1.7	0.1		5.1	2.4	1.4	3.6	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.14	0.00	0.50	0.15	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.59	0.24	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	6.1	9.5	7.0	9.0	4.8	5.4		44.3	39.6	45.1	46.1	
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.1	1.1	0.8	0.5	0.2	0.0		7.2	1.0	2.2	10.5	
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	6.2	10.6	7.8	9.5	4.9	5.4		51.5	40.6	47.2	56.7	
Level of Service (LOS)	A	B	A	A	A	A		D	D	D	E	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	9.8		A	5.5		A	47.8		D	53.8		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	13.5						B					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.88		B	1.88		B	2.46		B	2.47		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.45		A	1.15		A	0.76		A	0.65		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	24 1/2 Rd & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.92
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	40	724	70	147	442	121	144	138	62	211	307	83

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	15	Reference Point	Begin	Green	4.4	0.3	52.6	10.0	1.0	13.2		
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	3.5	0.0	4.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	0.0	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	8.4	58.1	8.7	58.4	14.0	18.2	15.0	19.2
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	4.1		4.5		9.5	7.9	13.0	11.1
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.7	3.4	0.0	3.1
Phase Call Probability	0.88		0.94		0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.01		0.00	0.15	1.00	0.24

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	78	1405	136	100	301	82	157	111	106	229	334	90
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1697	1781	1610	1810	1766	1598	1767	1856	1665	1767	1738	1397
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	2.1	26.8	3.0	2.5	3.6	2.3	7.5	5.5	5.9	11.0	9.1	5.9
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	2.1	26.8	3.0	2.5	3.6	2.3	7.5	5.5	5.9	11.0	9.1	5.9
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.57	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.53	0.53	0.23	0.13	0.13	0.24	0.14	0.14
Capacity (c), veh/h	597	1873	847	245	1867	844	279	245	220	351	493	198
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.130	0.750	0.160	0.409	0.161	0.098	0.562	0.453	0.483	0.654	0.676	0.455
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	29.2	271	40.8	41.2	54.9	33	127.2	105.4	100.8	182.8	149.8	95.8
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	1.2	12.1	1.9	1.9	2.4	1.5	5.6	4.7	4.5	8.1	6.5	3.8
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.22	0.00	0.18	0.31	0.00	0.13	0.96	0.00	0.00	1.38	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	9.3	12.8	8.1	15.1	9.7	10.6	32.9	40.1	40.2	33.4	40.7	39.4
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.1	2.5	0.4	1.4	0.2	0.2	2.5	1.9	2.3	4.9	2.3	2.3
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	9.4	15.3	8.5	16.5	9.9	10.8	35.4	41.9	42.5	38.3	43.0	41.7
Level of Service (LOS)	A	B	A	B	A	B	D	D	D	D	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	14.5	B		11.4	B		39.4	D		41.2	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	22.6						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.25	B		2.41	B		2.46	B		2.45	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.24	A		1.12	A		0.80	A		1.03	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Duration, h	0.250
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Area Type	Other
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	PHF	0.87
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045	Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Intersection	25 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus		
Project Description					



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	83	774	55	206	687	109	125	261	120	190	305	41

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	40	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	6.0	9.0	31.0	6.0	2.0	23.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.5	3.5	0.0	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	0.0	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	23.0	50.0	10.0	37.0	10.0	28.0	12.0	30.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	5.1		6.2		8.0	17.3	10.0	20.0
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	2.1
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		1.00		1.00	0.92	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	135	1262	90	113	376	60	144	300	121	218	351	47
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1753	1781	1585	1795	1795	1585	1753	1811	1610	1795	1811	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	3.1	28.7	2.2	4.2	9.1	2.8	6.0	15.3	5.8	8.0	18.0	2.3
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	3.1	28.7	2.2	4.2	9.1	2.8	6.0	15.3	5.8	8.0	18.0	2.3
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.52	0.44	0.44	0.37	0.31	0.31	0.29	0.23	0.29	0.31	0.25	0.25
Capacity (c), veh/h	610	1567	697	234	1113	491	226	417	467	291	453	396
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.222	0.806	0.129	0.481	0.338	0.121	0.637	0.720	0.258	0.751	0.774	0.119
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	45.7	320.8	30.8	84.2	144.5	43.5	131	261.3	92.6	182.8	302.9	37.3
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.0	14.3	1.4	3.8	6.5	1.9	5.8	11.3	4.2	8.2	13.1	1.7
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.26	0.00	0.19	0.48	0.00	0.34	0.59	0.00	0.53	1.38	0.00	0.28
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	9.0	19.1	10.3	23.8	32.0	25.6	30.2	35.5	27.2	30.9	34.9	29.0
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.8	4.2	0.4	5.9	0.7	0.4	12.9	10.3	1.3	16.3	12.2	0.6
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	9.8	23.3	10.6	29.6	32.7	26.0	43.1	45.8	28.6	47.2	47.1	29.6
Level of Service (LOS)	A	C	B	C	C	C	D	D	C	D	D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	21.3	C		31.3	C		41.4	D		45.8	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	31.2						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.12	B		2.12	B		2.45	B		2.44	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.35	A		1.44	A		1.42	A		1.50	B	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	25 1/2 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.82
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	32	934	51	137	986	90	73	89	98	212	149	118

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2											
Offset, s	98	Reference Point	Begin	Green	5.2	1.4	45.1	6.0	1.0	18.3				
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.5	3.5	3.5	4.0				
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	0.5	1.0				

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0
Phase Duration, s	9.2	51.1	10.6	52.5	10.0	23.3	15.0	28.3
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	3.1		3.9		6.0	8.7	13.0	19.9
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	3.3
Phase Call Probability	0.65		0.83		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		1.00	0.01	1.00	0.03

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	38	1109	61	64	460	42	89	109	120	259	326	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1781	1598	1781	1781	1572	1767	1885	1585	1682	1719	
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	1.1	18.7	0.6	1.9	4.4	0.4	4.0	5.0	6.7	11.0	17.9	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	1.1	18.7	0.6	1.9	4.4	0.4	4.0	5.0	6.7	11.0	17.9	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.50	0.45	0.45	0.52	0.47	0.47	0.24	0.18	0.18	0.31	0.23	
Capacity (c), veh/h	532	1606	720	317	1657	732	213	344	289	402	400	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.071	0.691	0.084	0.202	0.278	0.057	0.418	0.315	0.413	0.644	0.814	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	17.3	177.1	8.8	30.1	60.5	5.6	82.3	93	105.5	207.8	260.5	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.8	7.9	0.4	1.3	2.7	0.3	3.7	4.2	4.7	8.8	11.6	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.13	0.00	0.07	0.23	0.00	0.04	0.74	0.00	1.19	1.55	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	13.4	11.7	3.8	15.1	7.8	3.4	31.4	35.4	36.1	29.0	36.3	
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.1	2.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.1	5.9	0.7	1.3	7.7	5.7	
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	13.5	13.9	4.0	15.5	8.1	3.5	37.4	36.2	37.5	36.8	42.0	
Level of Service (LOS)	B	B	A	B	A	A	D	D	D	D	D	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	13.4		B	8.6		A	37.0		D	39.7		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	20.9						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.10		B	1.90		B	2.45		B	2.45		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.51		B	1.71		B	1.01		A	1.45		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	1st Street & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.77
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	54	966	180	173	1046	40	114	213	143	178	475	67

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2											
Offset, s	47	Reference Point	Begin	Green	5.7	1.8	30.0	6.9	4.0	33.1				
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	3.0	3.5	0.0	4.0				
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.0	2.5	0.5	0.0	1.0				

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	2.0	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	9.7	35.5	11.4	37.3	10.9	38.1	14.9	42.1
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	3.5		5.5		6.1	13.7	9.9	32.6
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.9	8.0	1.0	4.5
Phase Call Probability	0.71		0.93		0.98	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.01		0.00		0.00	0.20	0.02	0.74

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	44	791	147	95	576	22	148	277	186	231	617	87
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1766	1598	1781	1781	1572	1743	1856	1598	1810	1885	1610
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	1.5	19.7	5.4	3.5	13.4	0.9	4.1	11.7	7.8	7.9	30.6	3.3
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	1.5	19.7	5.4	3.5	13.4	0.9	4.1	11.7	7.8	7.9	30.6	3.3
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.36	0.30	0.37	0.37	0.32	0.32	0.07	0.33	0.41	0.46	0.37	0.43
Capacity (c), veh/h	312	1061	590	266	1132	500	242	614	648	471	700	689
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.142	0.746	0.250	0.358	0.509	0.044	0.612	0.450	0.287	0.491	0.882	0.126
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	23.6	260.3	76.8	57.6	182.7	13.7	74.9	181.6	111.9	123.2	452.2	47.4
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	1.1	11.5	3.5	2.6	8.2	0.6	3.4	8.1	5.0	5.6	20.4	2.2
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.18	0.00	0.58	0.52	0.00	0.13	0.57	0.00	0.85	1.12	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	19.4	29.2	17.2	22.2	28.5	21.6	45.2	26.3	20.0	17.9	29.4	17.3
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.2	4.0	0.8	0.7	1.0	0.1	3.5	0.7	0.3	1.1	11.4	0.1
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	19.6	33.3	18.1	22.9	29.5	21.7	48.8	27.0	20.3	19.1	40.8	17.4
Level of Service (LOS)	B	C	B	C	C	C	D	C	C	B	D	B
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	30.4	C		28.4	C		30.3	C		33.2	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	30.7						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.29	B		2.11	B		2.43	B		2.43	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.77	B		1.84	B		1.49	A		2.03	B	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	7th Street & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.80
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	69	716	189	238	1007	106	80	301	147	168	654	204

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2								
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	Begin	Green	4.2	3.0	36.5	5.7	3.3	29.2	
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	3.5	0.0	4.0	
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	2.0	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	8.2	41.5	11.2	44.6	9.7	34.2	13.0	37.5
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	5.1		6.7		5.9	9.4	10.0	21.7
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.2	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.2	13.2	0.0	10.8
Phase Call Probability	0.79		0.98		0.94	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		0.53	0.28	1.00	0.46

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	57	589	134	142	602	60	100	376	168	210	818	255
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1766	1374	1810	1781	1543	1767	1795	1591	1795	1809	1586
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	3.1	7.8	1.6	4.7	6.9	0.7	3.9	7.4	6.7	8.0	19.7	12.1
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	3.1	7.8	1.6	4.7	6.9	0.7	3.9	7.4	6.7	8.0	19.7	12.1
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.04	0.37	0.42	0.45	0.40	0.40	0.35	0.29	0.36	0.40	0.33	0.37
Capacity (c), veh/h	76	1291	592	416	1410	611	229	1049	581	423	1176	583
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.746	0.456	0.227	0.342	0.427	0.099	0.436	0.359	0.288	0.497	0.695	0.438
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	69.5	98.6	19.9	78.5	86.5	10.6	68.9	115.6	94.6	127.6	268.2	160.3
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.2	4.4	0.9	3.6	3.9	0.5	3.1	5.2	4.3	5.8	12.2	7.2
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.39	0.00	0.13	0.59	0.00	0.07	0.31	0.00	0.54	1.16	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	49.2	11.5	3.7	17.6	9.5	4.9	24.5	23.9	19.2	21.2	29.4	23.9
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	15.3	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.2	1.9	0.3	0.4	1.3	1.3	0.7
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	64.6	12.4	4.4	18.1	10.2	5.1	26.4	24.2	19.6	22.5	30.7	24.6
Level of Service (LOS)	E	B	A	B	B	A	C	C	B	C	C	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	14.8	B		11.2	B		23.3	C		28.2	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	20.4						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.45	B		2.43	B		2.45	B		2.47	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.47	A		1.88	B		1.02	A		1.55	B	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	12th Street & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.80
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	135	571	179	382	1138	114	224	501	122	96	570	107

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2												
Offset, s	45	Reference Point	Begin	Green	9.0	1.0	18.5	14.0	2.0	29.0					
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0					
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	0.5	1.0					

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0
Phase Duration, s	13.0	24.0	18.0	29.0	24.0	40.0	18.0	34.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	4.9		6.2		6.3	15.9	4.0	23.6
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.2	0.0	0.7	0.0	1.4	10.4	0.4	4.0
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		0.25		0.02	0.48	0.04	0.99

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	128	540	169	224	667	67	280	626	153	120	435	411
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1730	1766	1600	1743	1795	1598	1730	1781	1572	1743	1870	1764
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	2.9	13.9	6.4	4.2	17.5	3.0	4.3	13.9	5.5	2.0	21.5	21.6
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	2.9	13.9	6.4	4.2	17.5	3.0	4.3	13.9	5.5	2.0	21.5	21.6
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.28	0.18	0.39	0.34	0.24	0.24	0.51	0.35	0.49	0.43	0.29	0.29
Capacity (c), veh/h	513	654	618	700	844	375	933	1246	771	900	542	512
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.249	0.826	0.274	0.320	0.790	0.178	0.300	0.502	0.198	0.133	0.803	0.803
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	50.5	174	77.3	65.4	254.4	47.3	68.5	202.1	80.6	33	346.5	332.3
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.3	7.7	3.5	2.9	11.5	2.1	3.1	9.0	3.6	1.5	15.5	14.9
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.29	0.00	0.53	0.25	0.00	0.36	0.31	0.00	0.36	0.25	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	30.4	30.8	16.3	21.3	36.3	26.9	16.6	25.6	14.4	17.4	32.9	32.9
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.7	7.3	0.7	1.0	6.3	0.9	0.8	1.4	0.6	0.3	11.9	12.6
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	31.1	38.0	17.0	22.3	42.6	27.7	17.4	27.1	15.0	17.7	44.7	45.4
Level of Service (LOS)	C	D	B	C	D	C	B	C	B	B	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	32.7	C		36.8	D		22.8	C		41.7	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	33.3						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.59	C		2.45	B		2.57	C		2.58	C	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.40	A		2.17	B		1.36	A		1.28	A	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	Patterson Rd & 15th St
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.83
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	140	623	15	51	1800	194	12	3	20	12	3	61

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2
Offset, s	9	Reference Point	End
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6		8		4
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0		7.0		7.0
Phase Duration, s	10.0	82.2	7.2	79.4		10.6		10.6
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0		4.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	3.1	0.0	3.1	0.0		3.3		3.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	4.0		2.3			3.4		6.5
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.2		0.2
Phase Call Probability	0.99		0.53			0.98		0.98
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.00		0.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	179	410	407	27	536	518		18	24		18	73
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1856	1840	1781	1885	1820		1515	1585		1515	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	2.0	8.7	8.6	0.3	4.8	4.2		0.0	1.4		0.0	4.5
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	2.0	8.7	8.6	0.3	4.8	4.2		1.0	1.4		1.0	4.5
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.82	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.75	0.75		0.07	0.07		0.07	0.07
Capacity (c), veh/h	556	1451	1439	580	1422	1373		165	105		165	105
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.322	0.283	0.283	0.046	0.377	0.377		0.110	0.230		0.110	0.701
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	13.3	103.2	98.8	2.9	46	39		17.1	23.1		17.1	75.1
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.6	4.6	4.5	0.1	2.1	1.8		0.8	1.0		0.8	3.4
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.52		0.00	1.70
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	2.0	4.8	4.7	2.7	1.6	1.4		44.1	44.3		44.1	45.7
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5		0.1	0.4		0.1	3.2
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	2.1	5.3	5.2	2.7	2.1	1.9		44.2	44.7		44.2	48.9
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A	A	A	A	A		D	D		D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	4.7		A	2.0		A	44.5		D	47.9		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	5.9						A					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.83		B	1.84		B	2.31		B	2.31		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.26		A	2.52		C	0.56		A	0.64		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	27 1/2 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.83
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	70	647			1589	304				385		214

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	59	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On									
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On									

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6		2				4
Case Number	1.0	4.0		7.3				9.0
Phase Duration, s	10.0	54.0		44.0				46.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.0		6.0				5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0		0.0				5.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	3.9							14.0
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.0	0.0		0.0				5.2
Phase Call Probability	1.00							1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00							0.02

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6			2	12				7		14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	58	533			872	167				464		258
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1753	1795			1795	1610				1757		1522
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	1.9	6.9			18.8	6.1				9.0		12.0
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	1.9	6.9			18.8	6.1				9.0		12.0
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.46	0.48			0.38	0.38				0.41		0.41
Capacity (c), veh/h	280	1723			1364	612				1441		624
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.206	0.309			0.639	0.273				0.322		0.413
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	33.9	92.9			231.7	87.9				134.4		166.8
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	1.5	4.2			10.4	4.0				6.1		7.2
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.22	0.00			0.00	1.67				0.80		0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	18.5	11.0			22.2	17.5				20.1		21.0
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	1.2	0.3			1.9	0.9				0.6		2.0
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0				0.0		0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	19.7	11.4			24.1	18.4				20.6		23.0
Level of Service (LOS)	B	B			C	B				C		C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	12.2	B		23.2	C		0.0			21.5		C
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	19.9						B					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	0.70	A		2.11	B		2.32	B		2.32		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.20	A		2.37	B							F


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	29 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.83
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	129	532	231	187	1461	98	194	173	49	73	271	360

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2								
Offset, s	50	Reference Point	Begin								
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On								
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On								
				Green	7.5	1.5	26.5	9.5	1.0	29.0	
				Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.5	3.5	0.0	4.0	
				Red	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	12.0	33.0	18.0	39.0	14.0	34.0	15.0	35.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.5	6.5	4.5	6.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.1	0.0	4.1	0.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	6.4		7.0		6.5	10.9	5.2	20.9
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.3	3.7	0.1	2.8
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		0.13		1.00	0.06	0.34	0.38

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	111	457	198	133	1042	70	234	208	17	88	327	373
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1795	1572	1767	1781	1585	1716	1870	1610	1753	1870	1610
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	4.4	10.8	9.7	5.0	26.8	1.9	4.5	8.9	0.6	3.2	14.8	18.9
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	4.4	10.8	9.7	5.0	26.8	1.9	4.5	8.9	0.6	3.2	14.8	18.9
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.34	0.26	0.26	0.42	0.32	0.32	0.38	0.29	0.43	0.40	0.30	0.38
Capacity (c), veh/h	226	951	417	457	1157	515	665	542	684	485	561	604
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.491	0.480	0.476	0.292	0.900	0.136	0.351	0.384	0.025	0.181	0.582	0.619
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	80.5	154.8	124.5	85.8	289.7	28.1	76.3	152.8	9.2	56.2	236.1	247.8
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.6	7.0	5.5	3.8	13.0	1.3	3.4	6.8	0.4	2.5	10.6	11.3
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.26	0.00	0.45	0.22	0.00	0.32	0.34	0.00	0.04	0.42	0.00	1.88
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	25.5	31.2	26.3	22.0	24.4	14.1	21.8	28.4	16.7	19.7	29.7	25.4
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	5.5	1.3	2.9	1.1	8.1	0.4	1.5	2.1	0.1	0.8	4.4	4.7
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	31.1	32.4	29.2	23.1	32.5	14.5	23.2	30.4	16.8	20.5	34.0	30.1
Level of Service (LOS)	C	C	C	C	C	B	C	C	B	C	C	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	31.4	C		30.5	C		26.3	C		30.7	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	30.1						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.34	B		2.18	B		2.44	B		2.44	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.37	A		2.22	B		1.25	A		1.79	B	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	29 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.83
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	129	532	231	187	1461	98	194	173	49	73	271	360

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2								
Offset, s	50	Reference Point	Begin								
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On								
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On								
				Green	7.5	1.5	26.5	9.5	1.0	29.0	
				Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.5	3.5	0.0	4.0	
				Red	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	12.0	33.0	18.0	39.0	14.0	34.0	15.0	35.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.5	6.5	4.5	6.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.1	0.0	4.1	0.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	6.4		7.0		11.4	10.9	5.2	20.9
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.1	2.8
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		0.13		1.00	0.06	0.34	0.38

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	111	457	198	133	1042	70	234	208	17	88	327	373
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1795	1572	1767	1781	1585	1767	1870	1610	1753	1870	1610
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	4.4	10.8	9.7	5.0	26.8	1.9	9.4	8.9	0.6	3.2	14.8	18.9
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	4.4	10.8	9.7	5.0	26.8	1.9	9.4	8.9	0.6	3.2	14.8	18.9
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.34	0.26	0.26	0.42	0.32	0.32	0.38	0.29	0.43	0.40	0.30	0.38
Capacity (c), veh/h	226	951	417	457	1157	515	338	542	684	485	561	604
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.491	0.480	0.476	0.292	0.900	0.136	0.692	0.384	0.025	0.181	0.582	0.619
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	80.5	154.6	124.5	85.8	289.7	28.1	171.2	152.8	9.2	56.2	236.1	247.8
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.6	7.0	5.5	3.8	13.0	1.3	7.6	6.8	0.4	2.5	10.6	11.3
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.26	0.00	0.45	0.22	0.00	0.32	0.77	0.00	0.04	0.42	0.00	1.88
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	25.5	31.2	26.3	22.0	24.4	14.1	23.6	28.4	16.7	19.7	29.7	25.4
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	5.5	1.3	2.9	1.1	8.1	0.4	11.1	2.1	0.1	0.8	4.4	4.7
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	31.1	32.4	29.2	23.1	32.5	14.5	34.7	30.4	16.8	20.5	34.0	30.1
Level of Service (LOS)	C	C	C	C	C	B	C	C	B	C	C	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	31.4	C		30.5	C		32.1	C		30.7	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	31.0						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.17	B		2.18	B		2.44	B		2.44	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.37	A		2.22	B		1.25	A		1.79	B	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	29 1/2 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.85
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	13	531	96	222	1549	265	48	34	67	150	106	64

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2											
Offset, s	32	Reference Point	Begin	Green	1.5	1.6	53.8	9.0	10.6	0.0				
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	5.0	4.0	4.0	0.0				
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.0	1.0	0.0				

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	5.5	60.3	11.1	65.9	13.0	15.6	13.0	15.6
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.5	4.0	6.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.5	0.0	4.5	0.0	3.7	4.7	3.7	4.7
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	2.3		6.4		4.7	9.1	10.8	8.5
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	1.5
Phase Call Probability	0.29		0.99		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		0.40	0.00	1.00	0.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	13	511	92	186	1300	222	56	119		176	125	75
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1795	1598	1795	1795	1560	1767	1618		1781	1841	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	0.3	1.5	0.4	4.4	17.8	2.6	2.7	7.1		8.8	6.5	4.5
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	0.3	1.5	0.4	4.4	17.8	2.6	2.7	7.1		8.8	6.5	4.5
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.55	0.54	0.54	0.63	0.59	0.59	0.20	0.11		0.20	0.11	0.11
Capacity (c), veh/h	236	1930	859	629	2133	927	256	172		252	195	168
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.053	0.265	0.107	0.296	0.610	0.240	0.221	0.693		0.701	0.639	0.448
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	4.6	19.6	6.3	55.2	157.2	29.9	51.1	121.2		170.3	121.4	72.6
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.2	0.9	0.3	2.5	7.1	1.3	2.3	5.3		7.6	5.3	3.2
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.04	0.00	0.07	0.42	0.00	0.13	0.66	0.00		1.24	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	11.3	2.0	1.5	7.5	8.0	3.1	33.8	43.1		36.4	42.9	42.0
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.9	0.4	2.0	4.9		15.1	3.5	1.9
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	11.3	2.2	1.6	7.7	8.9	3.5	35.7	48.1		51.4	46.3	43.8
Level of Service (LOS)	B	A	A	A	A	A	D	D		D	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	2.3		A	8.1		A	44.1		D	48.2		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	14.3						B					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.89		B	2.07		B	2.46		B	2.46		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.11		A	2.46		B	0.78		A	1.11		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	30 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.83
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	95	420	203	137	1188	17	438	57	49	42	138	279

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2													
Offset, s	60	Reference Point	Begin	Green	6.0	17.0	24.5	7.0	2.0	20.0						
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	5.0	3.5	0.0	4.0						
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	0.0	1.0						

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6	3	8	7	4
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	10.0	31.0	31.0	52.0	11.0	25.0	13.0	27.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.5	4.0	6.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.1	0.0	4.2	0.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	6.7		5.4		9.0	5.1	4.0	13.9
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	1.3
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		0.00		1.00	0.02	0.41	0.26

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	114	506	245	146	1268	18	528	69	58	51	166	225
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1795	1598	1795	1795	1598	1730	1870	1560	1810	1885	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	4.7	10.9	12.2	3.4	23.9	0.3	7.0	3.0	3.1	2.0	7.5	11.9
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	4.7	10.9	12.2	3.4	23.9	0.3	7.0	3.0	3.1	2.0	7.5	11.9
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.30	0.24	0.24	0.54	0.46	0.46	0.27	0.20	0.20	0.29	0.22	0.28
Capacity (c), veh/h	266	879	391	654	1633	727	633	374	312	453	415	444
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.430	0.575	0.625	0.223	0.776	0.025	0.833	0.184	0.185	0.112	0.401	0.508
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	82.1	138.3	145.1	53	217.4	4.8	150.1	59.3	51.5	36.7	137	174
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.7	6.2	6.5	2.4	9.8	0.2	6.7	2.7	2.3	1.7	6.2	7.8
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.93	0.00	0.52	0.52	0.00	0.08	0.68	0.00	0.29	0.28	0.00	1.31
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	25.9	25.6	25.9	9.8	12.6	7.4	36.3	33.2	33.2	26.0	33.4	30.2
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	3.4	1.9	5.1	0.8	3.7	0.1	12.2	1.1	1.3	0.5	2.9	4.1
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	29.4	27.4	31.0	10.6	16.3	7.4	48.5	34.3	34.5	26.5	36.2	34.3
Level of Service (LOS)	C	C	C	B	B	A	D	C	C	C	D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	28.7	C		15.6	B		45.8	D		34.1	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	27.2						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.29	B		2.23	B		2.45	B		2.45	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.20	A		1.82	B		1.57	B		1.22	A	

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report


General Information

Analyst	
Agency/Co.	Stolfus and Associates
Date Performed	4/30/2020
Analysis Year	2018
Time Analyzed	AM
Intersection Orientation	East-West
Project Description	Patterson ACP

Site Information

Intersection	28 RD
Jurisdiction	
East/West Street	
North/South Street	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92
Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1		0	0	0		1	0	1
Configuration		L	T				T	R						L		R
Volume (veh/h)	0	51	987				1760	266						49		72
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3												3		3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)													0			
Right Turn Channelized					No								No			
Median Type Storage	Undivided															

Critical and Follow-up Headways

Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.5		6.9
Critical Headway (sec)		4.16												6.86		6.96
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33


Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		55												53		78
Capacity, c (veh/h)		232												16		256
v/c Ratio		0.24												3.30		0.31
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.9												7.4		1.2
Control Delay (s/veh)		25.3												1520.1		25.1
Level of Service (LOS)		D												F		D
Approach Delay (s/veh)	1.2												630.5			
Approach LOS													F			

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Streets Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Intersections	15
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Segments	14
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	Number of Iterations	15
Intersections	24 Road & Patterson	Market Street/Mall Access & Patterson		System Cycle Length, s	100
Project Description	Analysis Period				
					1> 7:00



Basic Segment Information (24 Rd - Market St)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
1	35	35	2	2	651	651	50	50	0	0	100	0	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12
1	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h				0.06	never	
1	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h				0.18		never
1	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	41.58			42.05		
1	Running Time, s	15.01			15.32		
1	Running Speed, mph	29.57			28.98		
1	Through Delay, s/veh	8.80			43.66		
1	Travel Time, s	23.81			58.97		
1	Travel Speed, mph	18.64			7.53		
1	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.35			0.92		
1	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	2.85			7.48		
1	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.15			0.72		
1	Percent of Base FFS	44.83			17.90		
1	Level of Service	D			F		
1	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.59			3.44		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

1	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.22	B	3.66	D
1	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.12	B	2.68	B
1	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.75	A	3.26	C

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	801.37	804.78
Facility Travel Speed, mph	26.83	26.72
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	62.79	62.91
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.23	C	3.15	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.72	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.16	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Market Street/Mall Access & Pat	Home Depot Access/Mesa Mall Access &		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (Market St - Home Depot)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
2	35	35	2	2	903	903	50	50	2	1	70	0	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12
2	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never				
2	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never			
2	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	41.72			42.05		
2	Running Time, s	18.29			18.72		
2	Running Speed, mph	33.67			32.89		
2	Through Delay, s/veh	4.93			1.93		
2	Travel Time, s	23.22			20.65		
2	Travel Speed, mph	26.51			29.81		
2	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.19			0.06		
2	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.09			0.38		
2	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.17			0.49		
2	Percent of Base FFS	63.55			70.89		
2	Level of Service	C			B		
2	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.30			2.20		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

2	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.88	C	3.70	D
2	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.41	B	2.80	C
2	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.10	A	1.03	A

Facility Output Data


	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	801.37	804.78
Facility Travel Speed, mph	26.83	26.72
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	62.79	62.91
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.23	C	3.15	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.72	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.16	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Home Depot Access/Mesa Mall / 24 1/2 Rd & Patterson			Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (Home Depot - 24 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
3	35	35	2	2	1658	1658	50	50	550	550	70	100	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
3	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
3	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
3	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.71			40.19		
3	Running Time, s	30.27			31.88		
3	Running Speed, mph	37.34			35.46		
3	Through Delay, s/veh	9.88			10.55		
3	Travel Time, s	40.15			42.44		
3	Travel Speed, mph	28.15			26.64		
3	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.32			0.36		
3	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.03			1.16		
3	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.16			0.59		
3	Percent of Base FFS	69.16			66.29		
3	Level of Service	B			C		
3	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.29			2.31		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

3	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.04	C	3.83	D
3	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.50	B	2.91	C
3	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.01	A	1.31	A

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		801.37		804.78	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		26.83		26.72	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.47	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		62.79		62.91	
Facility Level of Service		C		C	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.32		2.29	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.23	C	3.15	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.76	C	2.72	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.16	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	24 1/2 Rd & Patterson	25 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (24 1/2 Rd - 25 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
4	40	35	2	2	2551	2551	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
4	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
4	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
4	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.99			40.64		
4	Running Time, s	42.62			46.47		
4	Running Speed, mph	40.81			37.43		
4	Through Delay, s/veh	32.67			15.35		
4	Travel Time, s	75.30			61.82		
4	Travel Speed, mph	23.10			28.13		
4	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.79			0.44		
4	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.64			0.91		
4	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.34			0.75		
4	Percent of Base FFS	53.73			69.23		
4	Level of Service	C			B		
4	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.39			2.28		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

4	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.99	C	3.53	D
4	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.58	B	2.91	C
4	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.42	A	1.20	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	801.37	804.78
Facility Travel Speed, mph	26.83	26.72
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	62.79	62.91
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.23	C	3.15	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.72	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.16	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	25 Road & Patterson	25 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (25 Rd - 25 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
5	40	40	2	2	2538	2538	50	50	260	260	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
5	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
5	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
5	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	43.12			43.12		
5	Running Time, s	42.32			43.49		
5	Running Speed, mph	40.89			39.79		
5	Through Delay, s/veh	8.14			23.34		
5	Travel Time, s	50.46			66.83		
5	Travel Speed, mph	34.29			25.89		
5	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.24			0.59		
5	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.49			1.23		
5	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.28			0.81		
5	Percent of Base FFS	79.52			60.04		
5	Level of Service	B			C		
5	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.21			2.32		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

5	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.80	C	3.62	D
5	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.57	B	2.91	C
5	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.64	A	1.35	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	801.37	804.78
Facility Travel Speed, mph	26.83	26.72
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	62.79	62.91
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.23	C	3.15	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.72	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.16	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	25 1/2 Road & Patterson	1st Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (25 1/2 Rd - 26 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
6	35	40	2	2	2605	2605	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
6	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
6	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
6	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.74			43.09		
6	Running Time, s	45.86			44.19		
6	Running Speed, mph	38.73			40.20		
6	Through Delay, s/veh	29.53			13.90		
6	Travel Time, s	75.39			58.09		
6	Travel Speed, mph	23.56			30.58		
6	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.72			0.33		
6	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.46			0.68		
6	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.51			0.69		
6	Percent of Base FFS	57.83			70.96		
6	Level of Service	C			B		
6	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.36			2.24		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

6	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.90	C	3.04	C
6	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.66	B	2.80	C
6	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.39	A	0.98	A

Facility Output Data


	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	801.37	804.78
Facility Travel Speed, mph	26.83	26.72
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	62.79	62.91
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.23	C	3.15	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.72	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.16	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	1st Street & Patterson	7th Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (26 Rd - 26 1/2)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
7	35	40	2	2	2566	2566	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
7	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
7	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
7	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	39.83			42.18		
7	Running Time, s	46.35			44.16		
7	Running Speed, mph	37.75			39.62		
7	Through Delay, s/veh	10.19			33.27		
7	Travel Time, s	56.54			77.42		
7	Travel Speed, mph	30.95			22.60		
7	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.27			0.75		
7	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.55			1.53		
7	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.43			0.75		
7	Percent of Base FFS	77.70			53.58		
7	Level of Service	B			C		
7	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.22			2.37		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

7	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.70	B	2.96	C
7	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.68	B	2.76	C
7	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.88	A	1.53	A


Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		801.37		804.78	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		26.83		26.72	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.47	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		62.79		62.91	
Facility Level of Service		C		C	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.32		2.29	

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.23	C	3.15	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.72	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.16	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	7th Street & Patterson	12th Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (26 1/2 Rd to 12th St)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
8	40	35	2	2	2570	2570	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
8	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
8	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
8	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.34			39.99		
8	Running Time, s	44.02			46.24		
8	Running Speed, mph	39.80			37.90		
8	Through Delay, s/veh	42.61			12.47		
8	Travel Time, s	86.63			58.71		
8	Travel Speed, mph	20.23			29.85		
8	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.88			0.31		
8	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.81			0.64		
8	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.79			0.46		
8	Percent of Base FFS	47.77			74.63		
8	Level of Service	D			B		
8	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.42			2.24		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

8	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.88	C	2.72	B
8	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.79	C	2.62	B
8	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.73	A	0.95	A

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		801.37		804.78	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		26.83		26.72	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.47	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		62.79		62.91	
Facility Level of Service		C		C	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.32		2.29	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.23	C	3.15	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.76	C	2.72	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.16	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	12th Street & Patterson	Patterson Rd & 15th St		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (12th St - 27 1/4 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
9	40	35	2	2	1274	1274	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
9	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h				never	never	
9	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h				never		never
9	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.29			39.94		
9	Running Time, s	23.65			24.85		
9	Running Speed, mph	36.74			34.96		
9	Through Delay, s/veh	2.01			38.03		
9	Travel Time, s	25.65			62.88		
9	Travel Speed, mph	33.86			13.81		
9	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.07			0.72		
9	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.30			2.98		
9	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.38			0.83		
9	Percent of Base FFS	80.07			34.58		
9	Level of Service	A			E		
9	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.19			2.61		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

9	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.00	C	3.33	C
9	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.70	B
9	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.74	A	2.36	B

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	801.37	804.78
Facility Travel Speed, mph	26.83	26.72
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	62.79	62.91
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.23	C	3.15	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.72	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.16	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Patterson Rd & 15th St	27 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
10	40	40	2	2	1346	1346	50	50	0	0	70	70	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12
10	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never				
10	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never			
10	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	44.07			44.07		
10	Running Time, s	24.12			24.09		
10	Running Speed, mph	38.05			38.10		
10	Through Delay, s/veh	24.13			3.87		
10	Travel Time, s	48.24			27.95		
10	Travel Speed, mph	19.02			32.83		
10	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.60			0.16		
10	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	2.36			0.62		
10	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.64			0.28		
10	Percent of Base FFS	43.16			74.49		
10	Level of Service	D			B		
10	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.74			2.23		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

10	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.56	D	3.55	D
10	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.84	C	2.66	B
10	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.85	A	0.79	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	801.37	804.78
Facility Travel Speed, mph	26.83	26.72
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	62.79	62.91
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.23	C	3.15	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.72	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.16	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	27 1/2 Road & Patterson	28 1/4 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (27 1/4 Rd - 27 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
11	45	40	2	2	3869	3869	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement		2	12	1	6	
11	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
11	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		
11	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	45.84			43.49		
11	Running Time, s	60.41			62.49		
11	Running Speed, mph	43.67			42.22		
11	Through Delay, s/veh	29.37			11.38		
11	Travel Time, s	89.78			73.87		
11	Travel Speed, mph	29.38			35.71		
11	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.73			0.33		
11	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.99			0.45		
11	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.65			0.31		
11	Percent of Base FFS	64.10			82.11		
11	Level of Service	C			A		
11	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.39			2.21		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

11	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.87	D	2.76	C
11	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.90	C	2.57	B
11	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.06	A	0.57	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	801.37	804.78
Facility Travel Speed, mph	26.83	26.72
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	62.79	62.91
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.23	C	3.15	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.72	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.16	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	28 1/4 Road & Patterson	29 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (28 1/4 Rd - 29 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
12	45	40	2	2	3813	3813	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
12	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h	never	never			never	
12	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
12	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	44.90			42.55		
12	Running Time, s	60.03			62.97		
12	Running Speed, mph	43.30			41.28		
12	Through Delay, s/veh	32.49			16.34		
12	Travel Time, s	92.52			79.31		
12	Travel Speed, mph	28.10			32.78		
12	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.67			0.37		
12	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.93			0.51		
12	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.90			0.44		
12	Percent of Base FFS	62.57			77.03		
12	Level of Service	C			B		
12	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.28			2.22		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

12	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.64	D	2.76	C
12	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.92	C	2.60	B
12	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.17	A	0.74	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	801.37	804.78
Facility Travel Speed, mph	26.83	26.72
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	62.79	62.91
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.23	C	3.15	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.72	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.16	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	29 Road & Patterson	29 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (29 Rd - 29 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
13	45	45	2	2	2589	2589	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
13	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
13	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
13	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	43.89			43.89		
13	Running Time, s	41.68			40.57		
13	Running Speed, mph	42.35			43.51		
13	Through Delay, s/veh	8.91			32.44		
13	Travel Time, s	50.59			73.01		
13	Travel Speed, mph	34.90			24.18		
13	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.26			0.73		
13	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.53			1.48		
13	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.61			0.48		
13	Percent of Base FFS	79.50			55.08		
13	Level of Service	B			C		
13	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.22			2.36		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

13	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.48	C	3.37	C
13	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.02	C	2.72	B
13	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.81	A	1.38	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	801.37	804.78
Facility Travel Speed, mph	26.83	26.72
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	62.79	62.91
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.23	C	3.15	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.72	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.16	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild AM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	29 1/2 Road & Patterson	30 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (29 1/2 Rd - 30 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
14	35	45	2	2	2603	2603	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
14	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h					never	
14	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h				never		never
14	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.82			45.52		
14	Running Time, s	46.83			40.63		
14	Running Speed, mph	37.90			43.68		
14	Through Delay, s/veh	16.25			2.19		
14	Travel Time, s	63.09			42.81		
14	Travel Speed, mph	28.13			41.45		
14	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.37			0.07		
14	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.75			0.14		
14	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.78			0.26		
14	Percent of Base FFS	68.92			91.07		
14	Level of Service	B			A		
14	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.25			2.16		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

14	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.75	D	2.98	C
14	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.92	C	2.62	B
14	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.17	A	0.29	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	801.37	804.78
Facility Travel Speed, mph	26.83	26.72
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.47
Facility Percent of Base FFS	62.79	62.91
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.23	C	3.15	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.72	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.16	A	1.08	A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	24 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.91
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	127	195	207	583	327	359	53	741	341	467	936	45

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	6											
Offset, s	85	Reference Point	Begin	Green	11.2	4.1	15.0	7.2	3.8	32.7				
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0				
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.5	1.0				

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	2.0	3.0	1.1	3.0	2.0	3.0
Phase Duration, s	15.2	20.0	23.3	28.1	11.2	37.7	19.0	45.5
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	11.2		20.5		4.0	22.6	16.8	26.7
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	10.1	0.0	12.5
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		0.80	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		1.00		0.00	0.84	1.00	0.77

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	370	568	603	641	359	395	58	814	375	513	1029	49
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1675	1752		1716	1738		1810	1738	1585	1730	1752	1518
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	9.2	15.0		18.5	8.9		2.0	20.6	14.9	14.8	24.7	2.0
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	9.2	15.0		18.5	8.9		2.0	20.6	14.9	14.8	24.7	2.0
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.26	0.15		0.19	0.23		0.40	0.33	0.52	0.15	0.40	0.40
Capacity (c), veh/h	688	526		664	803		275	1136	824	519	1418	614
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.538	1.081		0.966	0.447		0.211	0.717	0.455	0.989	0.725	0.081
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	156.9	383.6		308.3	141.9		33.9	283.7	179.7	280.2	318.3	28.8
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	6.8	16.9		13.7	6.2		1.5	12.4	8.0	12.5	14.0	1.2
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.88	0.00		1.39	0.00		0.26	0.00	1.02	2.11	0.00	0.44
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	34.2	49.8		40.0	33.0		20.7	29.6	15.1	42.4	25.1	18.3
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	1.1	62.8		24.8	1.6		0.5	2.1	0.6	36.6	1.9	0.1
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	35.3	112.7	0.0	64.8	34.6	0.0	21.2	31.7	15.6	79.0	27.0	18.4
Level of Service (LOS)	D	F	A	E	C	A	C	C	B	E	C	B
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	50.0		D	38.7		D	26.4		C	43.5		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	40.4						D					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.45		B	2.59		C	2.58		C	2.57		C
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	0.97		A	1.64		B	1.52		B	1.80		B


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	Market Street/Mall Acce...
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.83
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	159	732	141	31	905	336	124	82	34	315	28	257

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2
Offset, s	97	Reference Point	Begin
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6		8		4
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0		11.0		10.0
Phase Duration, s	15.9	45.2	5.4	34.7		20.5		29.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0		5.0		5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	3.1	0.0	3.1	0.0		3.3		3.4
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	11.6		2.4			15.1		22.2
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.3		1.8
Phase Call Probability	1.00		0.27			1.00		1.00
Max Out Probability	0.01		0.00			0.12		0.00

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	243	1120	216	11	332	123		248	41	380	343	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1711	1766	1610	1810	1766	1522		1844	1610	1702	1635	
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	9.6	21.9	2.8	0.4	6.4	5.1		13.1	2.2	9.5	20.2	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	9.6	21.9	2.8	0.4	6.4	5.1		13.1	2.2	9.5	20.2	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.44	0.40	0.40	0.31	0.30	0.30		0.15	0.15	0.24	0.24	
Capacity (c), veh/h	511	1421	648	180	1049	452		285	249	816	392	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.476	0.788	0.333	0.063	0.317	0.273		0.871	0.165	0.465	0.876	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	108.9	164.5	33.9	7.5	103.4	78.9		226.1	34.9	147.8	271.6	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	4.7	7.3	1.5	0.3	4.6	3.4		10.3	1.6	6.5	12.3	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.74	0.00	0.27	0.07	0.00	0.74		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	17.1	13.1	4.6	25.2	22.6	20.9		41.3	36.7	32.5	36.6	
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.1	2.1	0.6	0.1	0.7	1.4		11.9	0.1	0.2	5.3	
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	17.2	15.2	5.3	25.2	23.4	22.3		53.2	36.8	32.7	41.9	
Level of Service (LOS)	B	B	A	C	C	C		D	D	C	D	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	14.2		B	23.1		C	50.9		D	37.0		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	24.4						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.91		B	2.12		B	2.47		B	2.45		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.51		B	1.75		B	0.96		A	1.68		B


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	Home Depot Access/Me...
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.84
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	85	760	227	130	876	65	279	72	249	88	45	127

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2								
Offset, s	78	Reference Point	Begin		Green	5.4	1.8	32.9	14.6	26.4	0.0
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	Off		Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	Off		Red	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2		4		8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0		11.0		10.0
Phase Duration, s	11.2	39.7	9.4	37.9		31.4		19.6
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0		5.0		5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0		5.4		5.5
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	7.0		5.5			23.8		13.9
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.0		2.5		0.7
Phase Call Probability	0.97		0.93			1.00		1.00
Max Out Probability	0.05		0.00			0.83		1.00

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	132	1178	352	96	647	48		418	296	105	205	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1711	1766	1598	1810	1752	1610		1827	1610	1767	1677	
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	5.0	31.4	9.4	3.5	15.8	2.5		21.8	15.4	5.4	11.9	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	5.0	31.4	9.4	3.5	15.8	2.5		21.8	15.4	5.4	11.9	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.40	0.35	0.35	0.38	0.33	0.33		0.26	0.32	0.15	0.15	
Capacity (c), veh/h	318	1225	554	174	1153	530		482	511	257	244	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.415	0.961	0.635	0.552	0.561	0.091		0.867	0.580	0.407	0.839	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	91.7	323.1	102.5	62.2	233.8	39.2		345.9	204.8	99.4	203.2	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.9	14.3	4.6	2.8	10.3	1.8		15.7	9.3	4.4	9.2	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.68	0.00	0.51	0.57	0.00	0.00		0.00	2.33	0.75	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	22.6	18.7	8.0	25.1	30.1	28.5		35.1	28.5	38.8	41.6	
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	1.1	16.9	5.0	3.6	1.8	0.3		13.1	1.6	1.5	17.2	
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	23.7	35.6	13.0	28.7	31.9	28.8		48.3	30.1	40.3	58.8	
Level of Service (LOS)	C	D	B	C	C	C		D	C	D	E	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	29.9		C	31.3		C	40.7		D	52.5		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	34.4						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.92		B	1.92		B	2.44		B	2.47		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.54		B	1.54		B	1.67		B	1.00		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	24 1/2 Rd & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.92
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	102	670	251	267	735	282	254	410	206	227	333	100

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	61	Reference Point	Begin	Green	8.9	1.6	40.8	6.0	2.0	22.1		
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	3.5	0.0	4.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	0.0	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	12.9	46.3	14.5	48.0	10.0	27.1	12.0	29.1
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	8.0		9.2		8.0	20.5	10.0	10.8
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	1.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	6.1
Phase Call Probability	0.99		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	0.41

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	182	1197	449	235	647	248	276	353	317	247	362	109
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1697	1781	1610	1810	1766	1598	1767	1856	1646	1767	1738	1397
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	6.0	31.1	24.2	7.2	11.8	12.7	6.0	18.3	18.5	8.0	8.8	6.4
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	6.0	31.1	24.2	7.2	11.8	12.7	6.0	18.3	18.5	8.0	8.8	6.4
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.50	0.41	0.41	0.51	0.42	0.42	0.28	0.22	0.22	0.30	0.24	0.24
Capacity (c), veh/h	393	1454	658	292	1500	678	300	410	364	241	838	337
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.464	0.823	0.682	0.804	0.432	0.366	0.921	0.860	0.870	1.026	0.432	0.323
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	101.4	426.6	321.8	125.9	161.5	181.3	222.4	314.7	290.1	217.7	140.7	98.6
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	4.3	19.1	14.6	5.7	7.2	8.2	9.9	14.0	13.1	9.7	6.1	3.9
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.76	0.00	1.46	0.95	0.00	0.73	1.67	0.00	0.00	1.64	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	16.0	31.1	28.2	22.1	17.6	25.8	37.4	37.5	37.6	34.4	32.1	31.2
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	1.1	4.8	5.0	6.6	0.8	1.4	32.5	16.3	19.2	64.8	0.5	0.8
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	17.0	36.0	33.3	28.7	18.4	27.2	70.0	53.7	56.8	99.3	32.6	32.0
Level of Service (LOS)	B	D	C	C	B	C	E	D	E	F	C	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	33.4	C		22.5	C		59.5	E		55.5	E	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	39.5						D					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.27	B		2.42	B		2.45	B		2.44	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.40	A		1.64	B		1.27	A		1.08	A	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	25 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.87
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	66	921	181	281	905	147	223	338	257	218	317	110

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2								
Offset, s	38	Reference Point	Begin								
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On								
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On								
				Green	9.0	14.0	18.0	7.0	3.0	22.0	
				Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.5	3.5	3.5	4.0	
				Red	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	0.5	1.0	
											

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	31.0	42.0	13.0	24.0	18.0	34.0	11.0	27.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	5.2		11.0		12.6	21.4	9.0	21.6
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	4.1	0.0	0.2
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		1.00		1.00	0.80	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	108	1501	295	218	701	114	256	389	278	251	364	126
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1753	1781	1585	1795	1795	1585	1753	1811	1610	1795	1811	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	3.2	36.0	9.4	9.0	18.0	6.8	10.6	19.4	12.9	7.0	19.6	6.8
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	3.2	36.0	9.4	9.0	18.0	6.8	10.6	19.4	12.9	7.0	19.6	6.8
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.47	0.36	0.36	0.27	0.18	0.18	0.38	0.29	0.38	0.29	0.22	0.22
Capacity (c), veh/h	545	1282	571	234	646	285	338	525	612	257	398	349
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.197	1.171	0.517	0.931	1.084	0.399	0.757	0.740	0.455	0.976	0.914	0.363
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	52.9	786.2	104.8	216	390.1	125.2	196	311.2	177.4	210.5	367.2	111.4
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.3	35.2	4.7	9.7	17.6	5.6	8.6	13.5	8.1	9.5	15.9	5.0
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.30	0.00	0.66	1.22	0.00	0.96	0.88	0.00	1.01	1.59	0.00	0.84
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	15.4	22.3	12.0	33.3	40.2	40.2	25.3	32.1	23.2	36.3	38.1	33.1
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.8	85.0	3.1	38.9	57.8	3.5	14.6	9.0	2.4	50.4	28.0	2.9
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	16.2	107.3	15.1	72.1	98.0	43.7	39.9	41.1	25.7	86.7	66.1	36.0
Level of Service (LOS)	B	F	B	E	F	D	D	D	C	F	E	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	87.9	F		86.5	F		36.1	D		67.9	E	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	74.0						E					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.13	B		2.13	B		2.44	B		2.45	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.60	B		1.75	B		2.01	B		1.71	B	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	25 1/2 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.82
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	92	1205	144	181	1180	147	93	190	189	184	159	75

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2											
Offset, s	80	Reference Point	Begin	Green	7.6	0.1	48.9	6.0	1.0	17.4				
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.5	3.5	0.0	4.0				
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	0.0	1.0				

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0
Phase Duration, s	11.6	54.9	11.7	55.0	10.0	22.4	11.0	23.4
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	4.9		5.3		7.3	16.1	9.0	17.9
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.3	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.5
Phase Call Probability	0.95		0.97		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.17		0.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	111	1449	173	123	805	100	113	232	230	224	285	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1781	1598	1781	1781	1572	1767	1885	1585	1682	1754	
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	2.9	26.8	1.5	3.3	5.0	0.3	5.3	11.6	14.1	7.0	15.9	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	2.9	26.8	1.5	3.3	5.0	0.3	5.3	11.6	14.1	7.0	15.9	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.56	0.49	0.49	0.57	0.49	0.49	0.23	0.17	0.17	0.24	0.18	
Capacity (c), veh/h	473	1740	781	279	1744	770	184	328	276	241	323	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.234	0.833	0.222	0.443	0.462	0.130	0.617	0.706	0.836	0.931	0.884	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	45.6	193.5	21.2	54.5	55.3	6.4	115.7	199.4	227.4	189.2	283.2	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.1	8.7	1.0	2.4	2.5	0.3	5.1	9.0	10.2	8.0	12.6	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.35	0.00	0.17	0.41	0.00	0.05	1.04	0.00	2.57	1.41	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	11.1	9.5	2.9	17.8	3.7	1.2	32.9	38.9	39.9	38.4	39.8	
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.3	4.4	0.6	1.3	0.7	0.3	14.5	7.0	19.3	42.6	23.5	
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	11.5	13.9	3.5	19.0	4.4	1.4	47.4	45.9	59.3	81.0	63.3	
Level of Service (LOS)	B	B	A	B	A	A	D	D	E	F	E	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	12.7		B	5.9		A	51.5		D	71.1		E
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	24.4						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.09		B	1.90		B	2.45		B	2.45		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.94		B	2.00		B	1.44		A	1.33		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	1st Street & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.77
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	115	1234	197	217	1180	124	210	394	193	165	311	80

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2											
Offset, s	50	Reference Point	Begin	Green	7.8	36.0	7.0	3.2	27.5	0.0	1	2	3	4
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	3.0	3.5	0.0	4.0	0.0				
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	2.5	0.5	0.0	1.0	0.0	5	6	7	8

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	2.0	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	11.8	41.4	11.9	41.5	14.2	35.8	11.0	32.5
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	6.4		7.0		9.6	28.4	9.0	21.8
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.6	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.6	2.4	0.0	4.2
Phase Call Probability	0.97		0.98		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	0.87

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	129	1385	221	144	784	82	273	512	251	214	404	104
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1766	1598	1781	1781	1572	1743	1856	1598	1810	1885	1610
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	4.4	35.9	7.6	5.0	16.1	2.4	7.6	26.4	11.4	7.0	19.8	4.5
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	4.4	35.9	7.6	5.0	16.1	2.4	7.6	26.4	11.4	7.0	19.8	4.5
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.44	0.36	0.46	0.44	0.36	0.36	0.10	0.31	0.39	0.35	0.28	0.35
Capacity (c), veh/h	329	1267	737	212	1280	565	357	571	617	216	519	569
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.393	1.093	0.300	0.680	0.612	0.146	0.764	0.896	0.406	0.993	0.778	0.183
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	75.5	695.5	99.6	76	184.5	35.4	131.5	423.2	152.7	181.8	306.4	66.5
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.4	30.9	4.5	3.4	8.3	1.6	5.9	18.8	6.9	8.3	13.8	3.0
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.57	0.00	0.75	0.69	0.00	0.32	0.99	0.00	1.15	1.65	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	20.3	31.3	13.8	21.8	20.5	14.1	43.7	33.1	22.3	31.6	33.4	22.4
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.9	52.8	0.9	3.3	1.3	0.3	6.0	16.3	0.6	59.1	7.3	0.2
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	21.3	84.2	14.6	25.1	21.9	14.5	49.7	49.4	23.0	90.6	40.7	22.6
Level of Service (LOS)	C	F	B	C	C	B	D	D	C	F	D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	70.6	E		21.7	C		43.1	D		52.9	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	50.5						D					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.28	B		2.11	B		2.44	B		2.44	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.14	B		2.12	B		2.20	B		1.68	B	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	7th Street & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.80
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	147	1222	163	202	934	172	245	586	275	151	311	173

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2										
Offset, s	10	Reference Point	Begin	Green	9.3	2.1	31.9	6.0	3.7	29.0			
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	3.5	0.0	4.0			
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.0			

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	2.0	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	15.5	39.0	13.3	36.9	10.0	34.0	13.7	37.6
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	11.3		8.5		8.0	19.2	9.0	10.8
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	9.8	0.7	13.6
Phase Call Probability	0.99		0.99		1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		0.00		1.00	0.58	0.05	0.32

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	172	1429	160	179	827	148	306	733	328	189	389	216
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1766	1359	1810	1781	1542	1767	1795	1591	1795	1809	1586
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	9.3	34.0	4.3	6.5	19.5	7.6	6.0	17.2	15.1	7.0	8.1	8.8
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	9.3	34.0	4.3	6.5	19.5	7.6	6.0	17.2	15.1	7.0	8.1	8.8
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.11	0.34	0.40	0.41	0.32	0.32	0.35	0.29	0.38	0.40	0.33	0.44
Capacity (c), veh/h	207	1203	557	240	1136	492	360	1041	610	309	1181	701
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.829	1.188	0.288	0.744	0.728	0.301	0.850	0.704	0.537	0.612	0.329	0.309
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	160.8	745.7	46.9	98	241.4	132.7	209.2	223.1	174.5	117.8	127.7	121
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	7.3	33.1	2.1	4.5	10.8	5.8	9.3	10.1	7.9	5.3	5.8	5.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.91	0.00	0.30	0.74	0.00	0.87	0.94	0.00	0.99	1.07	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	42.5	20.5	8.8	22.0	25.7	27.3	32.2	27.1	20.5	22.7	25.4	18.1
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	13.7	91.7	1.1	4.8	3.1	1.2	17.8	1.7	1.0	2.8	0.2	0.4
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	56.2	112.1	9.9	26.8	28.8	28.4	50.0	28.7	21.5	25.4	25.6	18.4
Level of Service (LOS)	E	F	A	C	C	C	D	C	C	C	C	B
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	97.4	F		28.4	C		31.8	C		23.6	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	52.5						D					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.45	B		2.44	B		2.45	B		2.47	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.04	B		1.83	B		1.61	B		1.14	A	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	12th Street & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.80
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	246	1289	117	106	795	151	145	629	146	288	542	238

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2
Offset, s	59	Reference Point	Begin
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0
Phase Duration, s	23.0	45.0	13.0	35.0	11.0	31.0	11.0	31.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	7.1		4.6		5.7	23.0	9.0	28.0
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	1.6	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	2.6	0.0	0.0
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.07		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	327	1715	156	136	1020	194	181	786	183	360	515	460
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1730	1766	1605	1743	1795	1598	1730	1781	1572	1743	1870	1670
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	5.1	39.5	6.3	2.6	27.7	7.1	3.7	21.0	8.5	7.0	26.0	26.0
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	5.1	39.5	6.3	2.6	27.7	7.1	3.7	21.0	8.5	7.0	26.0	26.0
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.50	0.40	0.47	0.38	0.30	0.30	0.33	0.26	0.35	0.33	0.26	0.26
Capacity (c), veh/h	818	1396	747	458	1059	471	386	926	550	424	486	434
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.400	1.229	0.208	0.297	0.963	0.411	0.469	0.849	0.332	0.850	1.059	1.059
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	76.1	1123.4	118.3	45.7	370.4	93.2	68.2	314.8	127.7	151.7	574.5	527
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.4	49.8	5.4	2.1	16.7	4.2	3.1	14.1	5.7	6.8	25.7	23.6
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.43	0.00	0.81	0.17	0.00	0.70	0.31	0.00	0.58	1.15	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	18.2	33.0	17.8	26.2	29.1	17.5	27.1	35.1	23.9	29.9	37.0	37.0
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.9	107.1	0.4	1.4	17.8	2.2	4.1	9.6	1.6	18.8	57.4	59.7
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	19.1	140.1	18.2	27.6	46.9	19.7	31.1	44.7	25.5	48.7	94.4	96.7
Level of Service (LOS)	B	F	B	C	D	B	C	D	C	D	F	F
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	113.5	F		41.1	D		39.5	D		82.9	F	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	76.4						E					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.57	C		2.44	B		2.58	C		2.58	C	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.19	B		1.57	B		1.44	A		1.59	B	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	Patterson Rd & 15th St
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.95
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	69	1629	30	22	1052	27	14	4	36	43	2	64

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2
Offset, s	53	Reference Point	End
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6		8		4
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0		7.0		7.0
Phase Duration, s	9.5	82.2	7.4	80.1		10.4		10.4
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0		4.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	3.1	0.0	3.1	0.0		3.3		3.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	3.0		2.4			4.3		6.2
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.3		0.3
Phase Call Probability	0.92		0.56			0.99		0.99
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.00		0.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	90	1077	1077	30	729	724		19	38		47	67
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1856	1844	1781	1885	1868		1529	1585		1434	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	1.0	26.7	27.3	0.4	1.7	1.6		0.0	2.3		2.1	4.2
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	1.0	26.7	27.3	0.4	1.7	1.6		1.1	2.3		3.2	4.2
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.82	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.76	0.76		0.06	0.06		0.06	0.06
Capacity (c), veh/h	442	1451	1441	222	1434	1421		163	102		163	102
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.203	0.742	0.747	0.133	0.508	0.509		0.117	0.371		0.291	0.659
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	6.7	178.7	177.3	6.3	12.1	11.6		18	37		46.2	68.4
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.3	7.9	8.1	0.3	0.5	0.5		0.8	1.7		2.1	3.1
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.84		0.00	1.55
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	1.8	4.4	4.5	6.8	0.3	0.3		44.2	44.8		45.2	45.7
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.1	2.2	2.3	0.0	0.2	0.2		0.1	0.8		0.4	2.7
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	1.8	6.6	6.7	6.8	0.5	0.5		44.3	45.7		45.6	48.4
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A	A	A	A	A		D	D		D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	6.4		A	0.6		A	45.2		D	47.2		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	6.0						A					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.83		B	1.84		B	2.31		B	2.31		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.99		B	1.44		A	0.58		A	0.68		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	27 1/2 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.83
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	217	1478			941	362				543		135

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2
Offset, s	19	Reference Point	Begin
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6		2				4
Case Number	1.0	4.0		7.3				9.0
Phase Duration, s	20.0	74.0		54.0				26.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.0		6.0				5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0		0.0				5.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	7.3							20.1
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.8	0.0		0.0				0.5
Phase Call Probability	1.00							1.00
Max Out Probability	0.20							1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6			2	12				7		14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	233	1589			1082	416				654		163
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1753	1795			1795	1610				1757		1522
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	5.3	17.9			19.9	15.0				18.1		9.5
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	5.3	17.9			19.9	15.0				18.1		9.5
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.66	0.68			0.48	0.48				0.21		0.21
Capacity (c), veh/h	449	2441			1723	773				738		320
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.519	0.651			0.628	0.539				0.887		0.509
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	116.9	134.8			219.3	161.6				284.7		150.6
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	5.1	6.1			9.9	7.3				12.9		6.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.77	0.00			0.00	3.06				1.70		0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	15.9	4.8			15.1	13.1				38.3		34.9
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	3.2	1.0			1.5	2.2				14.8		5.7
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0				0.0		0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	19.1	5.8			16.5	15.3				53.1		40.6
Level of Service (LOS)	B	A			B	B				D		D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	7.5	A		16.2	B		0.0			50.6		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	19.2						B					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	0.67	A		2.09	B		2.32	B		2.32		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.17	B		1.78	B							F


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	29 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.83
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	220	1249	310	126	809	57	245	273	267	161	95	166

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	69	Reference Point	Begin	Green	9.5	2.0	33.5	12.5	2.0	20.0		
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.5	3.5	0.0	4.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	1.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	16.0	42.0	14.0	40.0	17.0	25.0	19.0	27.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.5	6.5	4.5	6.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.1	0.0	4.1	0.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	9.7		8.4		8.4	19.1	10.1	8.3
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.2	3.1
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	0.91	0.13

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	222	1258	312	177	1134	80	295	329	280	194	114	140
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1795	1572	1767	1781	1585	1716	1870	1610	1753	1870	1610
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	7.7	34.6	10.3	6.4	31.1	4.1	6.4	17.1	14.8	8.1	5.1	6.3
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	7.7	34.6	10.3	6.4	31.1	4.1	6.4	17.1	14.8	8.1	5.1	6.3
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.45	0.36	0.36	0.43	0.34	0.34	0.32	0.20	0.30	0.34	0.22	0.34
Capacity (c), veh/h	289	1274	558	240	1193	531	906	374	475	350	411	539
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.767	0.987	0.559	0.736	0.950	0.150	0.326	0.879	0.588	0.555	0.278	0.259
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	150.4	392.1	103.6	103.4	414.9	89.4	106.3	317.8	208.2	145.6	98.7	99.9
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	6.7	17.7	4.6	4.6	18.6	4.0	4.7	14.2	9.5	6.4	4.4	4.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.49	0.00	0.38	0.26	0.00	1.03	0.48	0.00	0.95	1.09	0.00	0.76
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	25.4	23.4	12.1	19.7	32.6	28.2	25.1	38.8	30.1	25.9	32.4	24.2
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	13.5	18.9	3.0	12.8	12.6	0.4	1.0	24.2	5.3	6.2	1.7	1.2
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	38.8	42.3	15.1	32.6	45.2	28.6	26.0	63.1	35.3	32.2	34.1	25.4
Level of Service (LOS)	D	D	B	C	D	C	C	E	D	C	C	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	37.1		D	42.6		D	42.4		D	30.5		C
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	39.2						D					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.33		B	2.18		B	2.45		B	2.45		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.26		B	1.47		A	1.98		B	1.23		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	29 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.83
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	220	1249	310	126	809	57	245	273	267	161	95	166

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2								
Offset, s	69	Reference Point	Begin	Green	9.5	2.0	33.5	12.5	2.0	20.0	
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.5	3.5	0.0	4.0	
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	1.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	16.0	42.0	14.0	40.0	17.0	25.0	19.0	27.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.5	6.5	4.5	6.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.1	0.0	4.1	0.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	9.7		8.4		14.5	19.1	10.1	8.3
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2	3.1
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	0.91	0.13

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	222	1258	312	177	1134	80	295	329	280	194	114	140
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1795	1572	1767	1781	1585	1767	1870	1610	1753	1870	1610
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	7.7	34.6	10.3	6.4	31.1	4.1	12.5	17.1	14.8	8.1	5.1	6.3
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	7.7	34.6	10.3	6.4	31.1	4.1	12.5	17.1	14.8	8.1	5.1	6.3
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.45	0.36	0.36	0.43	0.34	0.34	0.32	0.20	0.30	0.34	0.22	0.34
Capacity (c), veh/h	289	1274	558	240	1193	531	459	374	475	350	411	539
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.767	0.987	0.559	0.736	0.950	0.150	0.643	0.879	0.588	0.555	0.278	0.259
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	150.4	391.8	103.6	103.4	414.5	89.4	216.9	317.8	208.2	145.6	98.7	99.9
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	6.7	17.7	4.6	4.6	18.5	4.0	9.6	14.2	9.5	6.4	4.4	4.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.49	0.00	0.38	0.26	0.00	1.03	0.98	0.00	0.95	1.09	0.00	0.76
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	25.4	23.4	12.1	19.7	32.6	28.2	27.9	38.8	30.1	25.9	32.4	24.2
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	13.5	18.9	3.0	12.8	12.6	0.4	6.8	24.2	5.3	6.2	1.7	1.2
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	38.8	42.3	15.1	32.6	45.2	28.6	34.7	63.1	35.3	32.2	34.1	25.4
Level of Service (LOS)	D	D	B	C	D	C	C	E	D	C	C	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	37.1	D		42.6	D		45.2	D		30.5	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	39.8						D					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.16	B		2.18	B		2.45	B		2.45	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.26	B		1.47	A		1.98	B		1.23	A	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	29 1/2 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.85
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	91	1484	73	99	859	129	86	98	235	155	29	32

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2																
Offset, s	54	Reference Point	Begin	Green	4.8	2.7	37.1	9.0	5.0	17.9									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0									
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.0									

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	8.8	43.6	11.5	46.3	22.0	31.9	13.0	22.9
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.5	4.0	6.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.5	0.0	4.5	0.0	3.7	4.8	3.7	4.8
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	5.0		7.0		5.8	25.6	10.3	4.0
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.3	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.2	1.3	0.0	2.3
Phase Call Probability	0.91		0.98		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.60	1.00	0.01

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	88	1438	71	148	1287	193	101	392		182	34	38
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1795	1598	1795	1795	1560	1767	1607		1781	1841	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	3.0	37.1	3.2	5.0	33.3	8.8	3.8	23.6		8.3	1.6	2.0
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	3.0	37.1	3.2	5.0	33.3	8.8	3.8	23.6		8.3	1.6	2.0
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.42	0.37	0.37	0.45	0.40	0.40	0.38	0.27		0.27	0.18	0.18
Capacity (c), veh/h	175	1333	593	206	1430	621	605	432		245	329	283
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.503	1.078	0.119	0.719	0.900	0.311	0.167	0.907		0.744	0.104	0.133
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	47.3	666	76.9	72.7	398.5	147.8	65.4	353.5		168.2	28.5	31.2
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.2	30.0	3.5	3.3	18.0	6.5	2.9	15.3		7.5	1.3	1.4
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.36	0.00	0.91	0.55	0.00	0.63	0.84	0.00		1.23	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	23.4	32.7	22.7	20.6	26.5	21.8	20.5	35.4		31.3	34.4	34.5
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	1.5	44.8	0.3	3.4	7.1	0.9	0.6	17.9		18.4	0.1	0.2
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	24.9	77.5	22.9	24.0	33.6	22.7	21.1	53.2		49.7	34.5	34.8
Level of Service (LOS)	C	F	C	C	C	C	C	D		D	C	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	72.2		E	31.4		C	46.7		D	45.5		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	50.6						D					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.92		B	2.10		B	2.44		B	2.45		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.09		B	1.54		B	1.30		A	0.91		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates
Analyst	Max Rusch
Jurisdiction	
Urban Street	Patterson Rd
Intersection	30 Road & Patterson
Project Description	

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.83
Analysis Period	1> 7:00
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Timings.xus



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	227	1174	319	72	669	69	258	132	104	47	83	128

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									
----------	-------	-----------------	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6	3	8	7	4
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	18.0	63.0	14.0	59.0	11.0	13.0	10.0	12.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.5	4.0	6.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.1	0.0	4.2	0.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	7.6		4.9		9.0	10.0	4.8	7.2
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.26		0.48		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	273	1414	384	127	1178	121	311	159	124	57	100	43
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1795	1598	1795	1795	1598	1730	1870	1560	1810	1885	1585
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	5.6	6.7	2.3	2.9	14.8	1.6	7.0	8.0	8.0	2.8	5.2	2.2
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	5.6	6.7	2.3	2.9	14.8	1.6	7.0	8.0	8.0	2.8	5.2	2.2
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.68	0.56	0.56	0.62	0.52	0.52	0.14	0.08	0.08	0.13	0.07	0.21
Capacity (c), veh/h	488	2028	903	378	1884	839	431	150	125	181	132	333
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.561	0.697	0.426	0.336	0.625	0.145	0.722	1.063	0.994	0.314	0.758	0.130
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	79.1	49.5	28.1	53.5	143.6	22.5	42.4	250	200.8	57.5	133.7	36.8
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.6	2.2	1.3	2.4	6.5	1.0	1.9	11.2	8.8	2.6	6.0	1.6
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.90	0.00	0.10	0.53	0.00	0.38	0.19	0.00	1.13	0.44	0.00	0.28
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	9.7	1.5	1.3	8.6	7.4	4.6	41.7	46.0	46.0	39.3	45.7	32.1
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	3.2	1.4	1.0	2.4	1.6	0.4	10.0	91.3	79.1	4.5	32.8	0.8
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	12.9	2.8	2.3	11.0	8.9	4.9	51.7	137.3	125.1	43.8	78.5	32.9
Level of Service (LOS)	B	A	A	B	A	A	D	F	F	D	E	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	4.1		A	8.8		A	90.0		F	58.8		E
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	20.1						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.25		B	2.22		B	2.46		B	2.46		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.20		B	1.29		A	1.47		A	0.82		A

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report


General Information

Analyst	
Agency/Co.	Stolfus and Associates
Date Performed	4/30/2020
Analysis Year	2018
Time Analyzed	AM
Intersection Orientation	East-West
Project Description	Patterson ACP

Site Information

Intersection	28 RD
Jurisdiction	
East/West Street	
North/South Street	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92
Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach	Eastbound				Westbound				Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1		0	0	0		1	0	1
Configuration		L	T				T	R						L		R
Volume (veh/h)	0	57	1861				1221	130						77		83
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	3	3												3		3
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)													0			
Right Turn Channelized					No								No			
Median Type Storage	Undivided															

Critical and Follow-up Headways


Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1												7.5		6.9
Critical Headway (sec)		4.16												6.86		6.96
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2												3.5		3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.23												3.53		3.33

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		62												84		90
Capacity, c (veh/h)		450												21		401
v/c Ratio		0.14												3.92		0.22
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.5												10.7		0.9
Control Delay (s/veh)		14.3												1682.2		16.6
Level of Service (LOS)		B												F		C
Approach Delay (s/veh)	0.4												818.1			
Approach LOS													F			

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	24 Road & Patterson	Market Street/Mall Access & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (24 Rd - Market St)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
1	35	35	2	2	602	602	50	50	0	0	100	0	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12
1	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h				0.15	1.19	0.03
1	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h				0.24		0.07
1	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	41.58			42.05		
1	Running Time, s	14.47			14.87		
1	Running Speed, mph	28.37			27.61		
1	Through Delay, s/veh	23.38			112.51		
1	Travel Time, s	37.85			127.37		
1	Travel Speed, mph	10.84			3.22		
1	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.56			1.58		
1	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	4.93			13.84		
1	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.32			1.08		
1	Percent of Base FFS	26.08			7.66		
1	Level of Service	F			F		
1	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.95			4.67		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

1	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.26	B	3.79	D
1	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.29	B	2.42	B
1	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.64	B	4.03	D

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		960.05		1381.81	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		22.36		15.53	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.46	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		52.33		36.59	
Facility Level of Service		F		F	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.37		2.44	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.43	C	3.75	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.83	C	2.97	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.47	A	1.97	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Market Street/Mall Access & Pat	Home Depot Access/Mesa Mall Access &		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (Market St - Home Depot)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
2	35	35	2	2	915	915	50	50	2	1	70	0	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12
2	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h	never	never				
2	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never			
2	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	41.72			42.05		
2	Running Time, s	18.64			19.02		
2	Running Speed, mph	33.47			32.81		
2	Through Delay, s/veh	31.92			15.23		
2	Travel Time, s	50.56			34.25		
2	Travel Speed, mph	12.34			18.22		
2	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.78			0.34		
2	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	4.51			1.97		
2	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.56			0.79		
2	Percent of Base FFS	29.58			43.32		
2	Level of Service	F			D		
2	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.88			2.44		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

2	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.98	C	3.29	C
2	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.53	B	2.69	B
2	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.52	B	2.00	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	960.05	1381.81
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.36	15.53
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.46
Facility Percent of Base FFS	52.33	36.59
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.37	2.44


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.43	C	3.75	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.83	C	2.97	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.47	A	1.97	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Home Depot Access/Mesa Mall /	24 1/2 Rd & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (Home Depot - 24 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
3	35	35	2	2	1680	1680	50	50	550	550	70	100	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
3	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never		never	never	never
3	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
3	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.72			40.21		
3	Running Time, s	31.22			32.19		
3	Running Speed, mph	36.69			35.59		
3	Through Delay, s/veh	18.44			35.58		
3	Travel Time, s	49.66			67.77		
3	Travel Speed, mph	23.07			16.90		
3	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.51			0.64		
3	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.59			2.00		
3	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.43			0.96		
3	Percent of Base FFS	56.65			42.04		
3	Level of Service	C			D		
3	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.38			2.45		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

3	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.66	D	3.52	D
3	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.73	B	2.87	C
3	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.50	A	2.16	B

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	960.05	1381.81
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.36	15.53
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.46
Facility Percent of Base FFS	52.33	36.59
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.37	2.44


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.43	C	3.75	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.83	C	2.97	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.47	A	1.97	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	24 1/2 Rd & Patterson	25 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (24 1/2 Rd - 25 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
4	40	35	2	2	2542	2542	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
4	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h	never	4.15	never	never	never	never
4	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
4	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.99			40.64		
4	Running Time, s	43.07			46.71		
4	Running Speed, mph	40.25			37.11		
4	Through Delay, s/veh	97.98			35.96		
4	Travel Time, s	141.04			82.67		
4	Travel Speed, mph	12.29			20.96		
4	Stop Rate, stops/veh	1.37			0.87		
4	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	2.84			1.81		
4	Through vol/cap Ratio	1.08			0.82		
4	Percent of Base FFS	28.59			51.59		
4	Level of Service	F			C		
4	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.59			2.42		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

4	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.11	C	3.48	C
4	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.79	C	2.93	C
4	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.60	B	1.80	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	960.05	1381.81
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.36	15.53
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.46
Facility Percent of Base FFS	52.33	36.59
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.37	2.44


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.43	C	3.75	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.83	C	2.97	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.47	A	1.97	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	25 Road & Patterson	25 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (25 Rd - 25 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
5	40	40	2	2	2561	2561	50	50	260	260	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
5	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never		never	1.04	never
5	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
5	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	43.13			43.13		
5	Running Time, s	43.22			44.51		
5	Running Speed, mph	40.40			39.23		
5	Through Delay, s/veh	4.42			107.29		
5	Travel Time, s	47.64			151.80		
5	Travel Speed, mph	36.65			11.50		
5	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.12			1.31		
5	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.25			2.70		
5	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.46			1.17		
5	Percent of Base FFS	84.98			26.67		
5	Level of Service	A			F		
5	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.18			2.56		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

5	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.93	D
5	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.77	C	3.01	C
5	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.59	A	2.84	C

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	960.05	1381.81
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.36	15.53
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.46
Facility Percent of Base FFS	52.33	36.59
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.37	2.44


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.43	C	3.75	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.83	C	2.97	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.47	A	1.97	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	25 1/2 Road & Patterson	1st Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (25 1/2 Rd - 26 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
6	35	40	2	2	2582	2582	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
6	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never			never	
6	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
6	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.73			43.08		
6	Running Time, s	45.93			44.61		
6	Running Speed, mph	38.33			39.47		
6	Through Delay, s/veh	21.87			13.88		
6	Travel Time, s	67.80			58.49		
6	Travel Speed, mph	25.97			30.10		
6	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.54			0.28		
6	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.10			0.58		
6	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.61			0.83		
6	Percent of Base FFS	63.75			69.87		
6	Level of Service	C			B		
6	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.30			2.23		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

6	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.24	C	3.40	C
6	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.80	C	2.96	C
6	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.26	A	1.10	A

Facility Output Data


	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	960.05	1381.81
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.36	15.53
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.46
Facility Percent of Base FFS	52.33	36.59
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.37	2.44

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.43	C	3.75	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.83	C	2.97	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.47	A	1.97	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information					Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates				Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date			Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak		Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045		System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	1st Street & Patterson	7th Street & Patterson			Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description						



Basic Segment Information (26 Rd - 26 1/2)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
7	35	40	2	2	2535	2535	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
7	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h	never	never		never	1.92	never
7	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
7	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	39.80			42.15		
7	Running Time, s	46.36			44.79		
7	Running Speed, mph	37.28			38.59		
7	Through Delay, s/veh	28.78			83.23		
7	Travel Time, s	75.15			128.02		
7	Travel Speed, mph	23.00			13.50		
7	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.67			1.27		
7	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.40			2.64		
7	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.73			1.09		
7	Percent of Base FFS	57.78			32.03		
7	Level of Service	C			F		
7	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.35			2.55		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

7	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.10	C	3.42	C
7	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.80	C	2.97	C
7	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.51	A	2.56	B


Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		960.05		1381.81	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		22.36		15.53	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.46	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		52.33		36.59	
Facility Level of Service		F		F	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.37		2.44	

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.43	C	3.75	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.83	C	2.97	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.47	A	1.97	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	7th Street & Patterson	12th Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (26 1/2 Rd to 12th St)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
8	40	35	2	2	2619	2619	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
8	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h	never	never	never	never	1.03	never
8	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
8	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.37			40.02		
8	Running Time, s	45.32			48.68		
8	Running Speed, mph	39.40			36.68		
8	Through Delay, s/veh	47.70			112.15		
8	Travel Time, s	93.02			160.83		
8	Travel Speed, mph	19.20			11.10		
8	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.90			1.30		
8	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.82			2.61		
8	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.96			1.19		
8	Percent of Base FFS	45.30			27.74		
8	Level of Service	D			F		
8	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.42			2.55		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

8	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.11	C	3.52	D
8	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.85	C	2.97	C
8	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.89	A	2.86	C

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		960.05		1381.81	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		22.36		15.53	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.46	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		52.33		36.59	
Facility Level of Service		F		F	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.37		2.44	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.43	C	3.75	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.83	C	2.97	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.47	A	1.97	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	12th Street & Patterson	Patterson Rd & 15th St		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (12th St - 27 1/4 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
9	40	35	2	2	1273	1273	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
9	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h				never	0.35	never
9	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h				never		never
9	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.29			39.94		
9	Running Time, s	23.94			26.20		
9	Running Speed, mph	36.26			33.13		
9	Through Delay, s/veh	0.46			140.13		
9	Travel Time, s	24.40			166.33		
9	Travel Speed, mph	35.57			5.22		
9	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.01			1.73		
9	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.06			7.16		
9	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.51			1.23		
9	Percent of Base FFS	84.10			13.06		
9	Level of Service	A			F		
9	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.15			3.38		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

9	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.33	C	4.47	E
9	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.78	C	3.05	C
9	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.71	A	3.80	D

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	960.05	1381.81
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.36	15.53
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.46
Facility Percent of Base FFS	52.33	36.59
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.37	2.44


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.43	C	3.75	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.83	C	2.97	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.47	A	1.97	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Patterson Rd & 15th St	27 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
10	40	40	2	2	1345	1345	50	50	0	0	70	70	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12
10	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h		never				
10	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never			
10	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	44.07			44.07		
10	Running Time, s	24.45			25.16		
10	Running Speed, mph	37.50			36.45		
10	Through Delay, s/veh	16.55			6.64		
10	Travel Time, s	41.00			31.80		
10	Travel Speed, mph	22.37			28.83		
10	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.46			0.19		
10	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.79			0.74		
10	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.63			0.74		
10	Percent of Base FFS	50.75			65.43		
10	Level of Service	C			C		
10	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.64			2.25		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

10	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.97	D	4.79	E
10	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.96	C	3.01	C
10	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.63	A	1.25	A

Facility Output Data


	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	960.05	1381.81
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.36	15.53
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.46
Facility Percent of Base FFS	52.33	36.59
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.37	2.44

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.43	C	3.75	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.83	C	2.97	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.47	A	1.97	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information					Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates				Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date			Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak		Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045		System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	27 1/2 Road & Patterson	28 1/4 Road & Patterson			Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description						



Basic Segment Information (27 1/4 Rd - 27 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
11	45	40	2	2	3876	3876	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement		2	12	1	6	
11	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h	never	never			never	
11	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		
11	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	45.84			43.49		
11	Running Time, s	60.70			64.97		
11	Running Speed, mph	43.53			40.68		
11	Through Delay, s/veh	35.63			5.78		
11	Travel Time, s	96.33			70.75		
11	Travel Speed, mph	27.43			37.36		
11	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.75			0.18		
11	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.02			0.24		
11	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.90			0.65		
11	Percent of Base FFS	59.84			85.89		
11	Level of Service	C			A		
11	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.40			2.18		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

11	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.97	D	3.87	D
11	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.91	C	3.04	C
11	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.22	A	0.68	A

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		960.05		1381.81	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		22.36		15.53	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.46	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		52.33		36.59	
Facility Level of Service		F		F	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.37		2.44	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.43	C	3.75	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.83	C	2.97	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.47	A	1.97	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	28 1/4 Road & Patterson	29 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (28 1/4 Rd - 29 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
12	45	40	2	2	3816	3816	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
12	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h	never	never	never		never	never
12	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
12	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	44.91			42.56		
12	Running Time, s	60.34			65.36		
12	Running Speed, mph	43.12			39.81		
12	Through Delay, s/veh	45.20			33.90		
12	Travel Time, s	105.54			99.26		
12	Travel Speed, mph	24.65			26.21		
12	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.92			0.85		
12	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.28			1.17		
12	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.95			0.87		
12	Percent of Base FFS	54.90			61.59		
12	Level of Service	C			C		
12	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.33			2.32		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

12	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.62	D	3.76	D
12	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.91	C	3.02	C
12	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.45	A	1.38	A

Facility Output Data


	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	960.05	1381.81
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.36	15.53
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.46
Facility Percent of Base FFS	52.33	36.59
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.37	2.44

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.43	C	3.75	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.83	C	2.97	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.47	A	1.97	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	29 Road & Patterson	29 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (29 Rd - 29 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
13	45	45	2	2	2523	2523	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
13	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h	never	never	never	never	never	never
13	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h	never		never	never		never
13	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	43.83			43.83		
13	Running Time, s	40.55			40.76		
13	Running Speed, mph	42.43			42.20		
13	Through Delay, s/veh	33.55			42.27		
13	Travel Time, s	74.10			83.03		
13	Travel Speed, mph	23.21			20.72		
13	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.78			0.78		
13	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.63			1.63		
13	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.90			0.99		
13	Percent of Base FFS	52.97			47.27		
13	Level of Service	C			D		
13	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.39			2.39		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

13	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.65	D	3.62	D
13	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.93	C	3.02	C
13	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.60	A	1.84	A

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		960.05		1381.81	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		22.36		15.53	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		42.73		42.46	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		52.33		36.59	
Facility Level of Service		F		F	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.37		2.44	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.43	C	3.75	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.83	C	2.97	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.47	A	1.97	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 NoBuild PM Optimized Tim	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	29 1/2 Road & Patterson	30 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (29 1/2 Rd - 30 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
14	35	45	2	2	2615	2615	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
14	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h				never	2.24	never
14	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h				never		never
14	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.82			45.52		
14	Running Time, s	47.02			41.94		
14	Running Speed, mph	37.92			42.52		
14	Through Delay, s/veh	8.94			77.51		
14	Travel Time, s	55.96			119.44		
14	Travel Speed, mph	31.86			14.93		
14	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.24			1.23		
14	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.49			2.49		
14	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.63			1.08		
14	Percent of Base FFS	78.05			32.79		
14	Level of Service	B			F		
14	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.21			2.53		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

14	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.55	D	4.09	D
14	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.85	C	3.00	C
14	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.92	A	2.39	B

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	960.05	1381.81
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.36	15.53
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	42.73	42.46
Facility Percent of Base FFS	52.33	36.59
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.37	2.44


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.43	C	3.75	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.83	C	2.97	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.47	A	1.97	A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	24 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus
Project Description			



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	216	235	72	125	217	278	87	934	412	412	633	71

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	6									
Offset, s	85	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	7.8	1.9	20.0	8.4	3.0	36.9		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	2.0	3.0	1.1	3.0	2.0	3.0
Phase Duration, s	13.7	26.9	11.8	25.0	12.4	41.9	19.4	48.9
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	11.7		5.8		5.1	28.4	14.7	15.9
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.5	8.5	0.6	19.8
Phase Call Probability	1.00		0.98		0.93	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		0.17		0.00	0.89	1.00	0.56

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	539	587	180	137	238	305	96	1026	453	453	696	78
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1675	1752		1716	1738		1810	1738	1585	1730	1752	1518
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	9.7	15.7		3.8	5.9		3.1	26.4	22.1	12.7	13.9	3.0
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	9.7	15.7		3.8	5.9		3.1	26.4	22.1	12.7	13.9	3.0
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.30	0.22		0.08	0.20		0.45	0.37	0.45	0.15	0.44	0.44
Capacity (c), veh/h	705	767		269	695		422	1283	709	532	1539	667
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.765	0.765		0.512	0.343		0.227	0.800	0.639	0.851	0.452	0.117
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	89.8	243.7		68.1	103		50	352.9	264.3	206.6	189.3	42.9
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.9	10.7		3.0	4.5		2.3	15.4	11.8	9.2	8.3	1.8
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.50	0.00		0.31	0.00		0.38	0.00	1.50	1.56	0.00	0.66
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	31.4	36.4		44.3	34.4		16.3	28.2	21.4	41.2	19.6	16.6
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	5.4	7.2		1.9	1.2		0.4	3.6	2.1	11.3	0.3	0.1
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	36.8	43.6	0.0	46.2	35.6	0.0	16.7	31.9	23.5	52.4	19.9	16.7
Level of Service (LOS)	D	D	A	D	D	A	B	C	C	D	B	B
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	34.8		C	21.8		C	28.5		C	31.7		C
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	30.1						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.45		B	2.59		C	2.57		C	2.56		C
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	0.96		A	1.05		A	1.79		B	1.50		A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	Market Street/Mall Acce...	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus
Project Description			



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	71	848	83	25	580	94	30	12	19	87	17	44

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	1	Reference Point	End									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	1.7	3.0	65.6	6.3	4.4	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6		8		4
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0		11.0		10.0
Phase Duration, s	8.7	73.6	5.7	70.6		9.4		11.3
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0		5.0		5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	3.1	0.0	3.1	0.0		3.3		3.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	3.8		2.3			4.7		6.3
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.1
Phase Call Probability	0.94		0.34			0.87		0.99
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.03		1.00

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	99	1178	115	15	342	55		51	23	105	73	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1711	1766	1610	1810	1766	1522		1834	1610	1702	1682	
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	1.8	8.1	0.9	0.3	4.4	1.4		2.7	1.4	3.0	4.3	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	1.8	8.1	0.9	0.3	4.4	1.4		2.7	1.4	3.0	4.3	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.71	0.69	0.69	0.67	0.66	0.66		0.04	0.04	0.06	0.06	
Capacity (c), veh/h	763	2425	1105	385	2319	999		80	70	216	107	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.129	0.486	0.104	0.038	0.147	0.055		0.634	0.327	0.486	0.690	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	20.9	79.6	10.9	3.6	62.4	18.5		52	22.7	52.4	74.5	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.9	3.5	0.5	0.2	2.8	0.8		2.4	1.0	2.3	3.4	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.14	0.00	0.09	0.03	0.00	0.17		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	4.4	2.8	1.7	5.7	8.0	6.9		47.0	46.4	45.3	45.9	
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.0	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1		3.1	1.0	0.6	2.9	
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	4.5	3.4	1.9	5.8	8.1	7.0		50.1	47.4	45.9	48.8	
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A	A	A	A	A		D	D	D	D	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	3.3		A	7.9		A	49.3		D	47.1		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	9.7						A					


Multimodal Results

	EB		WB		NB		SB	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.86	B	2.06	B	2.47	B	2.46	B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.48	A	1.18	A	0.61	A	0.78	A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	Home Depot Access/Me...	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus
Project Description			



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	39	756	189	87	571	13	70	21	47	25	30	28

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2																
Offset, s	37	Reference Point	Begin										Green	3.9	0.3	65.3	5.6	5.9	0.0
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	Off										Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	Off										Red	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2		4		8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0		9.0		10.0
Phase Duration, s	8.2	70.6	7.9	70.3		10.9		10.6
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0		5.0		5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0		5.4		5.4
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	3.3		3.0			5.2		5.9
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0		0.7		0.1
Phase Call Probability	0.85		0.79			0.99		0.94
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.01		1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	67	1307	327	56	367	8	83	25	56	30	69	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1711	1766	1598	1810	1752	1610	1743	1900	1610	1767	1748	
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	1.3	9.2	2.0	1.0	2.8	0.1	2.3	1.3	3.2	1.6	3.9	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	1.3	9.2	2.0	1.0	2.8	0.1	2.3	1.3	3.2	1.6	3.9	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.69	0.66	0.66	0.69	0.65	0.65	0.06	0.06	0.10	0.06	0.06	
Capacity (c), veh/h	749	2316	1047	313	2287	1051	207	113	159	98	97	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.090	0.564	0.312	0.179	0.160	0.008	0.403	0.222	0.352	0.302	0.709	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	16.9	84.5	25.6	13.7	36.8	1.9	42	25.3	54.6	31.6	80.6	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.7	3.7	1.2	0.6	1.6	0.1	1.9	1.1	2.5	1.4	3.7	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.13	0.00	0.13	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.25	0.24	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	5.1	2.8	1.2	5.7	4.3	4.8	45.3	44.8	42.1	45.3	46.4	
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.1	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.0	1.8	1.4	1.9	2.4	12.6	
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	5.1	3.7	2.0	6.0	4.5	4.8	47.1	46.2	44.0	47.8	59.0	
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A	A	A	A	A	D	D	D	D	E	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	3.4		A	4.7		A	45.9		D	55.6		E
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	8.7						A					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.23		B	1.87		B	2.46		B	2.47		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.45		A	1.15		A	0.76		A	0.65		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	24 1/2 Rd & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.92
Analysis Period	1> 7:00




Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	40	724	70	147	442	121	144	138	62	211	307	83

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2
Offset, s	11	Reference Point	Begin
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On



Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	8.4	56.4	8.8	56.8	11.0	17.3	17.5	23.8
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	4.1		4.6		9.0	8.0	12.8	10.6
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.4	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.8	4.4
Phase Call Probability	0.88		0.94		0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		1.00	0.00	0.16	0.00

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	78	1404	136	100	301	82	157	111	106	229	334	90
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1697	1781	1610	1810	1766	1598	1767	1856	1665	1767	1738	1397
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	2.1	23.7	1.7	2.6	4.3	3.0	7.0	5.6	6.0	10.8	8.6	5.6
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	2.1	23.7	1.7	2.6	4.3	3.0	7.0	5.6	6.0	10.8	8.6	5.6
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.55	0.51	0.51	0.56	0.51	0.51	0.19	0.12	0.12	0.28	0.19	0.19
Capacity (c), veh/h	575	1813	820	251	1811	819	274	228	204	384	654	263
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.135	0.774	0.166	0.398	0.166	0.101	0.571	0.488	0.520	0.597	0.510	0.343
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	33.1	187.8	23	41.7	67.6	44.7	135.1	106.9	102.8	167.9	140.1	88.1
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	1.4	8.4	1.0	1.9	3.0	2.0	6.0	4.7	4.6	7.4	6.1	3.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.25	0.00	0.10	0.32	0.00	0.18	1.02	0.00	0.00	1.26	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	10.8	9.3	4.3	14.2	12.3	14.6	36.3	40.9	41.1	30.3	36.5	35.2
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.1	2.9	0.4	1.3	0.2	0.2	3.5	2.3	2.9	2.1	0.9	1.1
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	10.9	12.2	4.7	15.6	12.4	14.8	39.8	43.2	44.0	32.5	37.3	36.3
Level of Service (LOS)	B	B	A	B	B	B	D	D	D	C	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	11.5	B		13.5	B		42.0	D		35.5	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	20.5						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.26	B		2.41	B		2.46	B		2.45	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.24	A		1.12	A		0.80	A		1.03	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	25 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus
Project Description			



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	83	774	55	206	687	109	125	261	120	190	305	41

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	35	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	6.0	1.0	36.0	6.0	24.0	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.5	3.5	4.0	0.0		
				Red	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	1.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	15.0	47.0	10.0	42.0	10.0	29.0	14.0	33.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	6.3		5.9		8.0	17.1	10.9	19.3
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	3.2
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	0.76	1.00	0.58

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	135	1261	90	113	376	60	144	300	121	218	351	47
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1753	1781	1585	1795	1795	1585	1753	1811	1610	1795	1811	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	4.3	29.1	1.6	3.9	9.1	2.9	6.0	15.1	5.7	8.9	17.3	2.2
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	4.3	29.1	1.6	3.9	9.1	2.9	6.0	15.1	5.7	8.9	17.3	2.2
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.49	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.36	0.36	0.30	0.24	0.30	0.36	0.28	0.28
Capacity (c), veh/h	517	1460	650	220	1292	571	262	435	483	338	507	444
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.262	0.864	0.138	0.512	0.291	0.104	0.549	0.690	0.250	0.646	0.691	0.106
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	71.3	284.2	23.1	71	145	65.6	122.7	254.4	90.9	159.9	279.4	35.4
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.1	12.7	1.0	3.2	6.5	2.9	5.4	11.0	4.1	7.2	12.1	1.6
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.40	0.00	0.14	0.40	0.00	0.50	0.55	0.00	0.52	1.21	0.00	0.27
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	14.8	17.0	7.6	20.0	30.3	24.7	28.6	34.6	26.5	25.2	32.1	26.7
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	1.1	6.6	0.4	7.0	0.5	0.3	8.0	8.7	1.2	9.2	7.5	0.5
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	16.0	23.6	8.1	27.0	30.8	25.0	36.6	43.3	27.7	34.4	39.7	27.2
Level of Service (LOS)	B	C	A	C	C	C	D	D	C	C	D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	22.0		C	29.4		C	38.3		D	36.8		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	28.9						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.12		B	2.11		B	2.45		B	2.44		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.35		A	1.44		A	1.42		A	1.50		B

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Intersection Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Duration, h	0.250
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Area Type	Other
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045	PHF	0.82
Intersection	25 1/2 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Period	1 > 7:00
Project Description					



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	32	934	51	137	986	90	73	89	98	212	149	118

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	89	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	5.2	1.4	45.1	6.0	9.0	10.2		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.5	3.5	3.5	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	0.5	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0
Phase Duration, s	9.2	51.1	10.6	52.6	10.0	15.2	23.0	28.2
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	3.0		3.5		6.4	9.3	14.5	19.9
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.5	1.5
Phase Call Probability	0.65		0.83		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.07		0.01		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	38	1108	60	64	460	42	89	109	120	259	326	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1781	1598	1781	1781	1572	1767	1885	1585	1682	1719	
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	1.0	21.0	1.4	1.5	7.5	1.4	4.4	5.5	7.3	12.5	17.9	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	1.0	21.0	1.4	1.5	7.5	1.4	4.4	5.5	7.3	12.5	17.9	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.50	0.45	0.45	0.52	0.47	0.47	0.16	0.10	0.10	0.31	0.23	
Capacity (c), veh/h	504	1608	721	306	1659	732	212	192	162	443	399	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.075	0.689	0.084	0.209	0.278	0.057	0.420	0.564	0.739	0.584	0.816	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	15.6	217.5	20.4	20	108.4	39.5	90.8	106.8	129.9	202.3	278.8	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.7	9.7	0.9	0.9	4.8	1.8	4.0	4.8	5.8	8.6	12.4	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.12	0.00	0.16	0.15	0.00	0.30	0.82	0.00	1.47	1.50	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	12.3	14.9	9.9	10.2	15.2	14.2	37.2	42.8	43.6	28.3	36.4	
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.1	2.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.1	6.0	3.7	13.7	5.5	11.7	
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	12.4	17.1	10.1	10.6	15.5	14.3	43.2	46.4	57.3	33.9	48.1	
Level of Service (LOS)	B	B	B	B	B	B	D	D	E	C	D	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	16.6		B	14.9		B	49.6		D	41.8		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	25.7						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.10		B	1.90		B	2.46		B	2.45		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.51		B	1.71		B	1.01		A	1.45		A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	1st Street & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus
Project Description			



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	54	966	180	173	1046	40	114	213	143	178	475	67

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	47	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	4.9	2.5	29.3	6.3	3.5	34.9		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	3.0	3.5	0.0	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	2.5	0.5	0.0	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	2.0	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	8.9	34.8	11.4	37.3	10.3	39.9	13.9	43.4
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	3.7		5.4		6.2	13.4	9.9	32.0
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.3	8.7	0.0	6.5
Phase Call Probability	0.71		0.93		0.98	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		1.00	0.11	1.00	0.43

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	44	789	147	95	576	22	148	277	186	231	617	87
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1766	1598	1781	1781	1572	1743	1856	1598	1810	1885	1610
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	1.7	18.5	3.6	3.4	12.9	0.8	4.2	11.4	7.6	7.9	30.0	3.2
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	1.7	18.5	3.6	3.4	12.9	0.8	4.2	11.4	7.6	7.9	30.0	3.2
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.34	0.29	0.36	0.37	0.32	0.32	0.06	0.35	0.42	0.46	0.38	0.43
Capacity (c), veh/h	302	1036	570	269	1132	500	221	647	676	472	724	698
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.146	0.762	0.258	0.355	0.509	0.044	0.669	0.427	0.275	0.489	0.852	0.125
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	28.8	213.8	47.2	50.7	172.4	11.9	76.7	176.8	108.7	122.8	425.8	46.7
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	1.3	9.5	2.1	2.3	7.7	0.5	3.5	7.8	4.9	5.6	19.2	2.1
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.22	0.00	0.36	0.46	0.00	0.11	0.58	0.00	0.82	1.12	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	24.1	23.9	10.6	19.4	26.8	18.6	45.8	24.9	18.8	17.6	28.2	17.0
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.3	4.5	0.9	0.7	1.0	0.1	4.9	0.6	0.3	1.1	7.5	0.1
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	24.3	28.3	11.5	20.1	27.8	18.7	50.7	25.5	19.1	18.8	35.7	17.1
Level of Service (LOS)	C	C	B	C	C	B	D	C	B	B	D	B
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	25.6	C		26.4	C		29.7	C		29.8	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	27.8						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.29	B		2.11	B		2.43	B		2.43	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.77	B		1.84	B		1.49	A		2.03	B	


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	7th Street & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.80
Analysis Period	1> 7:00



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	69	716	189	238	1007	106	80	301	147	168	654	204

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2
Offset, s	11	Reference Point	Begin
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	2.0	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	8.2	41.4	11.2	44.4	10.0	32.6	14.8	37.4
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	5.1		6.9		6.0	9.7	9.9	21.7
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.4	12.7	1.0	10.7
Phase Call Probability	0.79		0.98		0.94	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.12		0.00	0.32	0.00	0.47

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	57	587	134	142	602	60	100	376	168	210	818	255
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1766	1373	1810	1781	1543	1767	1795	1591	1795	1809	1586
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	3.1	13.4	7.9	4.9	11.0	2.4	4.0	7.7	7.0	7.9	19.7	12.1
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	3.1	13.4	7.9	4.9	11.0	2.4	4.0	7.7	7.0	7.9	19.7	12.1
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.04	0.36	0.42	0.45	0.39	0.39	0.34	0.28	0.35	0.40	0.32	0.37
Capacity (c), veh/h	75	1287	594	373	1404	608	233	989	553	439	1173	581
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.749	0.456	0.225	0.382	0.429	0.099	0.429	0.380	0.303	0.478	0.697	0.439
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	65.5	195.6	150.6	57.2	144.9	35.8	70.6	119.4	99	125.5	268.6	160.8
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.0	8.7	6.7	2.6	6.5	1.6	3.1	5.4	4.5	5.7	12.2	7.2
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.37	0.00	0.97	0.43	0.00	0.23	0.32	0.00	0.56	1.14	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	45.8	26.9	25.5	13.3	18.4	18.2	25.2	25.4	20.6	20.7	29.5	23.9
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	15.6	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.2	1.8	0.3	0.4	1.2	1.3	0.7
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	61.4	27.8	26.3	14.0	19.1	18.5	27.0	25.7	21.0	21.9	30.8	24.7
Level of Service (LOS)	E	C	C	B	B	B	C	C	C	C	C	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	30.0	C		18.1	B		24.7	C		28.1	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	25.6						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.45	B		2.43	B		2.45	B		2.47	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.47	A		1.88	B		1.02	A		1.55	B	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	12th Street & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus
Project Description			



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	135	571	179	382	1138	114	224	501	122	96	570	107

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2										
Offset, s	19	Reference Point	Begin										
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	9.0	26.5	7.0	39.0	0.0	0.0			
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	4.0	3.5	4.0	0.0	0.0			
				Red	0.5	1.5	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.0			

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0
Phase Duration, s	13.0	32.0	13.0	32.0	11.0	44.0	11.0	44.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	4.4		6.5		6.8	15.0	3.9	20.5
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	11.8	0.1	10.2
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	0.37	1.00	0.50

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	127	537	168	224	667	67	280	626	153	120	435	411
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1730	1766	1603	1743	1795	1598	1730	1781	1572	1743	1870	1765
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	2.4	13.8	8.4	4.5	15.7	2.1	4.8	13.0	5.6	1.9	18.5	18.5
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	2.4	13.8	8.4	4.5	15.7	2.1	4.8	13.0	5.6	1.9	18.5	18.5
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.36	0.26	0.34	0.36	0.26	0.26	0.46	0.39	0.48	0.46	0.39	0.39
Capacity (c), veh/h	582	936	537	618	951	423	627	1389	755	723	729	688
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.218	0.573	0.313	0.362	0.701	0.158	0.447	0.451	0.202	0.166	0.597	0.597
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	40.3	198.5	145.2	79.4	202.2	32.5	80.5	188.9	82.6	31.3	275.4	263.6
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	1.8	8.8	6.6	3.6	9.1	1.5	3.6	8.4	3.7	1.4	12.3	11.8
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.23	0.00	1.00	0.30	0.00	0.24	0.36	0.00	0.37	0.24	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	23.0	35.3	28.1	25.5	27.9	17.7	18.5	22.6	15.0	16.3	24.2	24.3
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.5	1.6	0.9	1.4	3.6	0.7	2.3	1.1	0.6	0.5	3.6	3.8
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	23.5	36.9	29.0	26.8	31.5	18.3	20.8	23.6	15.6	16.8	27.8	28.1
Level of Service (LOS)	C	D	C	C	C	B	C	C	B	B	C	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	33.2	C		29.5	C		21.7	C		26.6	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	27.4						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB		WB		NB		SB	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.58	C	2.44	B	2.57	C	2.57	C
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.40	A	2.17	B	1.36	A	1.28	A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Intersection Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Duration, h	0.250
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Area Type	Other
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045	PHF	0.83
Intersection	Patterson Rd & 15th St	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	140	623	15	51	1800	194	12	3	20	12	3	61

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	9	Reference Point	End									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	3.2	2.8	75.4	6.6	0.0	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	4.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0		
				Red	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6		8		4
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0		7.0		7.0
Phase Duration, s	10.0	82.2	7.2	79.4		10.6		10.6
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0		4.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	3.1	0.0	3.1	0.0		3.3		3.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	4.0		2.3			3.4		6.5
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.3		0.3
Phase Call Probability	0.99		0.53			0.98		0.98
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.00		0.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	178	408	405	27	536	518		18	24		18	73
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1856	1840	1781	1885	1820		1514	1585		1514	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	2.0	3.6	3.7	0.3	3.3	2.9		0.0	1.4		0.0	4.5
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	2.0	3.6	3.7	0.3	3.3	2.9		1.0	1.4		1.0	4.5
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.82	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.75	0.75		0.07	0.07		0.07	0.07
Capacity (c), veh/h	564	1451	1439	616	1422	1373		165	105		165	105
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.316	0.281	0.281	0.044	0.377	0.377		0.109	0.229		0.109	0.699
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	13.3	36.1	35.9	3	33.5	29.8		17.1	23.1		17.1	75.1
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.6	1.6	1.6	0.1	1.5	1.4		0.8	1.0		0.8	3.4
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.52		0.00	1.70
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	1.9	1.6	1.6	2.4	1.0	0.9		44.0	44.3		44.0	45.7
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.6		0.1	0.4		0.1	3.1
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.5	1.6	1.5		44.1	44.7		44.1	48.8
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A	A	A	A	A		D	D		D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	2.0		A	1.6		A	44.4		D	47.9		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	4.5						A					

Multimodal Results


	EB		WB		NB		SB	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.83	B	1.84	B	2.31	B	2.31	B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.26	A	2.52	C	0.56	A	0.64	A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	27 1/2 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	80	647			1589	304				395		214

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2										
Offset, s	88	Reference Point	Begin										
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	11.0	43.0	31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	4.5	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
				Red	0.5	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6		2				4
Case Number	1.0	4.0		7.3				9.0
Phase Duration, s	15.0	64.0		49.0				36.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.0		6.0				5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0		0.0				5.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	3.4							16.1
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.1	0.0		0.0				4.3
Phase Call Probability	1.00							1.00
Max Out Probability	0.12							0.18

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6			2	12				7		14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	64	515			872	167				476		258
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1753	1795			1795	1610				1757		1522
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	1.4	5.1			17.3	5.7				10.8		14.1
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	1.4	5.1			17.3	5.7				10.8		14.1
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.56	0.58			0.43	0.43				0.31		0.31
Capacity (c), veh/h	402	2082			1543	692				1089		472
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.158	0.247			0.565	0.241				0.437		0.546
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	22.2	68.6			214.2	81				161.8		199.7
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	1.0	3.1			9.7	3.7				7.4		8.6
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.15	0.00			0.00	1.53				0.97		0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	9.8	6.9			19.2	15.0				27.5		28.7
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.6	0.2			1.2	0.7				1.3		4.5
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0				0.0		0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	10.5	7.1			20.5	15.7				28.8		33.2
Level of Service (LOS)	B	A			C	B				C		C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	7.5	A		19.7	B		0.0			30.3		C
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	20.0						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	0.69	A		2.10	B		2.32	B		2.32		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.21	A		2.37	B							F

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Intersection Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Duration, h	0.250
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Area Type	Other
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045	PHF	0.85
Intersection	28 1/4 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Period	1 > 7:00
Project Description					



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	53	718	264	289	1672	73	290	31	81	80	31	32

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	95	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	Off	Green	6.0	10.0	30.0	10.0	17.0	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	4.0	4.5	3.5	4.0	0.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	1.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	2.0	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	10.0	36.0	24.0	50.0	18.0	26.0	14.0	22.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	3.1	0.0	3.2	5.3	5.2	5.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	3.2		9.1		16.0	5.7	6.0	4.0
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.1	0.9
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		0.00		1.00	0.01	1.00	0.02

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	31	419	154	151	871	38	341	36	95	94	36	38
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1795	1585	1795	1795	1610	1795	1900	1610	1810	1900	1610
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	1.2	6.5	4.2	7.1	18.4	2.0	14.0	1.5	3.7	4.0	1.6	2.0
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	1.2	6.5	4.2	7.1	18.4	2.0	14.0	1.5	3.7	4.0	1.6	2.0
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.36	0.30	0.30	0.20	0.44	0.44	0.33	0.21	0.41	0.27	0.17	0.17
Capacity (c), veh/h	327	1077	476	359	1579	708	529	399	660	470	323	274
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.094	0.389	0.324	0.419	0.552	0.054	0.645	0.091	0.144	0.200	0.113	0.138
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	20.5	91	55.6	111.3	229.4	54.2	241	29.7	56.6	72.2	31.9	33.6
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.9	4.1	2.5	5.0	10.3	2.5	10.9	1.4	2.6	3.3	1.4	1.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.08	0.00	0.19	0.42	0.00	0.56	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	22.3	16.8	12.6	33.5	21.8	24.5	28.2	31.8	18.5	28.1	35.1	35.3
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.4	0.8	1.4	2.4	0.9	0.1	6.0	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.7	1.0
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	22.7	17.6	13.9	35.8	22.7	24.6	34.2	32.3	19.0	29.1	35.8	36.3
Level of Service (LOS)	C	B	B	D	C	C	C	C	B	C	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	16.9		B	24.7		C	30.9		C	32.2		C
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	24.5						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.12		B	2.10		B	2.45		B	2.45		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.49		A	2.46		B	1.27		A	0.77		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	29 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.83
Analysis Period	1> 7:00



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	129	532	231	187	1461	98	194	173	49	73	271	360

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	50	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	8.5	36.5	8.5	4.0	22.0	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	4.5	3.5	0.0	4.0	0.0		
				Red	1.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	13.0	43.0	13.0	43.0	13.0	27.0	17.0	31.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.5	6.5	4.5	6.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.1	0.0	4.1	0.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	5.7		6.6		7.1	11.8	5.4	21.8
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	2.9	0.1	1.6
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	0.31	0.06	1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	111	457	198	134	1046	70	234	208	17	88	327	373
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1795	1572	1767	1781	1585	1716	1870	1610	1753	1870	1610
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	3.7	8.8	6.9	4.6	23.6	1.5	5.1	9.8	0.7	3.4	15.7	19.8
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	3.7	8.8	6.9	4.6	23.6	1.5	5.1	9.8	0.7	3.4	15.7	19.8
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.45	0.36	0.36	0.45	0.36	0.36	0.30	0.22	0.31	0.36	0.26	0.35
Capacity (c), veh/h	282	1310	574	460	1300	579	559	411	491	430	486	556
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.392	0.349	0.346	0.291	0.805	0.121	0.418	0.507	0.034	0.205	0.671	0.672
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	69.4	124.2	89.3	78.4	223.2	21.1	89.4	171.5	11.6	60.6	256.2	263.9
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.1	5.6	4.0	3.5	10.0	0.9	4.0	7.7	0.5	2.7	11.5	12.0
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.22	0.00	0.33	0.20	0.00	0.24	0.40	0.00	0.05	0.46	0.00	2.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	21.9	21.6	15.6	18.6	19.3	9.9	27.0	34.2	24.4	22.3	33.2	27.9
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	3.0	0.5	1.2	1.1	3.7	0.3	2.3	4.4	0.1	1.1	7.2	6.4
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	24.9	22.1	16.8	19.7	23.0	10.2	29.3	38.6	24.5	23.3	40.4	34.3
Level of Service (LOS)	C	C	B	B	C	B	C	D	C	C	D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	21.2	C		21.9	C		33.4	C		35.6	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	26.7						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.33	B		2.18	B		2.45	B		2.44	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.37	A		2.22	B		1.25	A		1.79	B	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	29 1/2 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus
Project Description			



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	13	531	96	222	1549	265	48	34	67	150	106	64

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	48	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	1.5	2.2	50.4	7.0	1.0	10.4		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	5.5	56.9	11.7	63.2	11.0	15.4	16.0	20.4
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.5	4.0	6.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.5	0.0	4.5	0.0	3.7	4.7	3.7	4.7
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	2.3		6.9		4.7	9.1	10.3	8.1
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.1	1.4
Phase Call Probability	0.29		0.99		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		1.00	0.01	1.00	0.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	13	511	92	187	1304	223	56	119		176	125	75
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1795	1598	1795	1795	1560	1767	1618		1781	1841	1585
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	0.3	7.1	2.7	4.9	22.6	6.6	2.7	7.1		8.3	6.1	4.2
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	0.3	7.1	2.7	4.9	22.6	6.6	2.7	7.1		8.3	6.1	4.2
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.52	0.50	0.50	0.60	0.57	0.57	0.17	0.10		0.24	0.15	0.15
Capacity (c), veh/h	210	1810	806	566	2034	884	281	168		327	283	244
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.060	0.282	0.115	0.330	0.641	0.252	0.201	0.708		0.539	0.441	0.309
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	4.9	93.9	73	55.3	225.4	113.6	51.9	121.9		148.5	112.7	66.8
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.2	4.2	3.3	2.5	10.2	5.0	2.3	5.3		6.6	5.0	3.0
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.04	0.00	0.86	0.42	0.00	0.48	0.67	0.00		1.08	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	13.0	11.9	11.4	8.3	12.2	9.7	35.3	43.3		32.2	38.4	37.6
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	1.1	0.5	1.6	5.4		6.2	1.1	0.7
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	13.1	12.1	11.6	8.5	13.3	10.2	36.9	48.7		38.5	39.5	38.3
Level of Service (LOS)	B	B	B	A	B	B	D	D		D	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	12.1		B	12.4		B	44.9		D	38.8		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	17.8						B					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.90		B	2.08		B	2.46		B	2.45		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.11		A	2.46		B	0.78		A	1.11		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	30 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.83
Analysis Period	1> 7:00



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	95	420	203	137	1188	17	438	57	49	42	138	279

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2
Offset, s	55	Reference Point	Begin
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6	3	8	7	4
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	11.0	53.0	11.0	53.0	13.0	26.0	10.0	23.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.5	4.0	6.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.1	0.0	4.2	0.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	5.1		6.1		11.0	5.0	4.2	14.4
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.8
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	0.02	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	114	506	245	147	1271	18	528	69	58	51	166	225
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1795	1598	1795	1795	1598	1730	1870	1560	1810	1885	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	3.1	4.1	4.6	4.1	22.8	0.3	9.0	3.0	3.0	2.2	7.9	12.4
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	3.1	4.1	4.6	4.1	22.8	0.3	9.0	3.0	3.0	2.2	7.9	12.4
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.54	0.46	0.46	0.54	0.46	0.46	0.28	0.21	0.21	0.24	0.18	0.25
Capacity (c), veh/h	293	1669	743	487	1669	743	655	393	328	386	339	396
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.390	0.303	0.329	0.301	0.761	0.024	0.805	0.175	0.177	0.131	0.490	0.569
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	53.5	53.8	56.3	70	203.5	4.5	113.6	58.2	50.6	40	147.1	184.1
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.4	2.4	2.5	3.2	9.2	0.2	5.1	2.6	2.2	1.8	6.6	8.2
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.61	0.00	0.20	0.69	0.00	0.08	0.51	0.00	0.29	0.30	0.00	1.39
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	15.7	6.5	6.5	11.9	11.5	6.7	34.2	32.4	32.4	29.7	36.9	32.8
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	2.6	0.3	0.8	1.6	3.3	0.1	10.2	1.0	1.2	0.7	5.0	5.8
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	18.4	6.8	7.3	13.5	14.9	6.8	44.4	33.4	33.6	30.4	41.9	38.6
Level of Service (LOS)	B	A	A	B	B	A	D	C	C	C	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	8.5	A		14.6	B		42.3	D		38.9	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	21.5						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.27	B		2.23	B		2.45	B		2.45	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.20	A		1.82	B		1.57	B		1.22	A	

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	24 Road & Patterson	Market Street/Mall Access & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (24 Rd - Market St)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
1	35	35	2	2	623	623	50	50	0	0	100	0	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12
1	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
1	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
1	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	41.58			42.05		
1	Running Time, s	14.68			14.98		
1	Running Speed, mph	28.93			28.35		
1	Through Delay, s/veh	8.14			43.57		
1	Travel Time, s	22.83			58.56		
1	Travel Speed, mph	18.61			7.25		
1	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.32			0.89		
1	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	2.75			7.56		
1	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.15			0.77		
1	Percent of Base FFS	44.75			17.25		
1	Level of Service	D			F		
1	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.57			3.46		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

1	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.20	B	3.65	D
1	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.10	B	2.67	B
1	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.75	A	3.30	C

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	784.82	800.42
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.36	26.83
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.77
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.57	62.72
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.21	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.73	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.13	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Market Street/Mall Access & Pat	Home Depot Access/Mesa Mall Access &		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (Market St to Home Depot)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
2	35	35	2	2	908	908	50	50	0	0	70	70	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12
2	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
2	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
2	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	41.48			41.48		
2	Running Time, s	18.43			18.98		
2	Running Speed, mph	33.59			32.62		
2	Through Delay, s/veh	4.46			3.39		
2	Travel Time, s	22.89			22.37		
2	Travel Speed, mph	27.05			27.68		
2	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.18			0.12		
2	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.03			0.70		
2	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.16			0.49		
2	Percent of Base FFS	65.20			66.73		
2	Level of Service	C			C		
2	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.29			2.24		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

2	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.88	C	3.75	D
2	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.41	B	2.81	C
2	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.06	A	1.17	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	784.82	800.42
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.36	26.83
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.77
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.57	62.72
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.21	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.73	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.13	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Home Depot Access/Mesa Mall / 24 1/2 Rd & Patterson			Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (Home Depot - 24 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
3	35	35	2	2	1665	1665	50	50	550	550	70	100	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
3	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
3	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
3	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.84			40.32		
3	Running Time, s	30.30			31.90		
3	Running Speed, mph	37.46			35.59		
3	Through Delay, s/veh	12.44			3.67		
3	Travel Time, s	42.74			35.57		
3	Travel Speed, mph	26.56			31.92		
3	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.40			0.11		
3	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.26			0.36		
3	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.17			0.56		
3	Percent of Base FFS	65.04			79.16		
3	Level of Service	C			B		
3	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.33			2.19		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

3	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.04	C	3.86	D
3	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.50	B	2.91	C
3	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.12	A	0.96	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	784.82	800.42
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.36	26.83
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.77
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.57	62.72
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.21	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.73	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.13	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	24 1/2 Rd & Patterson	25 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (24 1/2 Rd - 25 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
4	40	35	2	2	2551	2551	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
4	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
4	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
4	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	43.32			40.97		
4	Running Time, s	42.33			46.11		
4	Running Speed, mph	41.09			37.72		
4	Through Delay, s/veh	30.81			12.21		
4	Travel Time, s	73.14			58.32		
4	Travel Speed, mph	23.78			29.82		
4	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.80			0.28		
4	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.65			0.59		
4	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.29			0.77		
4	Percent of Base FFS	54.90			72.79		
4	Level of Service	C			B		
4	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.39			2.23		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

4	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.90	C	3.50	C
4	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.58	B	2.90	C
4	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.36	A	1.09	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	784.82	800.42
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.36	26.83
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.77
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.57	62.72
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.21	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.73	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.13	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	25 Road & Patterson	25 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (25 Rd - 25 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
5	40	40	2	2	2538	2538	50	50	260	260	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
5	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
5	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
5	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.96			42.96		
5	Running Time, s	42.47			43.65		
5	Running Speed, mph	40.74			39.65		
5	Through Delay, s/veh	15.54			23.59		
5	Travel Time, s	58.01			67.24		
5	Travel Speed, mph	29.83			25.74		
5	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.45			0.51		
5	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.94			1.07		
5	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.28			0.86		
5	Percent of Base FFS	69.44			59.91		
5	Level of Service	B			C		
5	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.28			2.30		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

5	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.74	B	3.86	D
5	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.58	B	2.91	C
5	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.92	A	1.36	A

Facility Output Data


	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	784.82	800.42
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.36	26.83
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.77
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.57	62.72
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.21	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.73	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.13	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	25 1/2 Road & Patterson	1st Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (25 1/2 Rd - 26 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
6	35	40	2	2	2613	2613	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
6	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
6	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
6	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.98			43.33		
6	Running Time, s	45.74			44.08		
6	Running Speed, mph	38.95			40.42		
6	Through Delay, s/veh	27.77			17.11		
6	Travel Time, s	73.50			61.19		
6	Travel Speed, mph	24.24			29.12		
6	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.67			0.43		
6	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.36			0.87		
6	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.51			0.69		
6	Percent of Base FFS	59.14			67.19		
6	Level of Service	C			B		
6	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.35			2.27		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

6	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.90	C	3.00	C
6	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.66	B	2.80	C
6	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.34	A	1.08	A


Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		784.82		800.42	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		27.36		26.83	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		43.04		42.77	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		63.57		62.72	
Facility Level of Service		C		C	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.32		2.29	

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.20	C	3.21	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.76	C	2.73	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.13	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	1st Street & Patterson	7th Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (26 Rd - 26 1/2)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
7	35	40	2	2	2558	2558	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
7	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
7	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
7	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.40			42.75		
7	Running Time, s	45.60			43.48		
7	Running Speed, mph	38.24			40.12		
7	Through Delay, s/veh	19.06			28.35		
7	Travel Time, s	64.67			71.83		
7	Travel Speed, mph	26.97			24.28		
7	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.50			0.59		
7	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.04			1.22		
7	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.43			0.76		
7	Percent of Base FFS	66.77			56.81		
7	Level of Service	C			C		
7	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.30			2.32		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

7	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.68	B	3.01	C
7	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.68	B	2.76	C
7	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.15	A	1.39	A

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		784.82		800.42	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		27.36		26.83	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		43.04		42.77	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		63.57		62.72	
Facility Level of Service		C		C	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.32		2.29	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.20	C	3.21	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.76	C	2.73	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.13	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	7th Street & Patterson	12th Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (26 1/2 Rd to 12th St)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
8	40	35	2	2	2570	2570	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
8	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
8	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
8	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	43.08			40.73		
8	Running Time, s	43.32			45.45		
8	Running Speed, mph	40.45			38.55		
8	Through Delay, s/veh	31.46			27.81		
8	Travel Time, s	74.78			73.26		
8	Travel Speed, mph	23.43			23.92		
8	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.67			0.72		
8	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.38			1.48		
8	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.70			0.46		
8	Percent of Base FFS	54.39			58.72		
8	Level of Service	C			C		
8	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.35			2.36		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

8	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.90	C	2.65	B
8	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.79	C	2.64	B
8	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.46	A	1.38	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	784.82	800.42
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.36	26.83
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.77
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.57	62.72
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.21	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.73	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.13	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	12th Street & Patterson	Patterson Rd & 15th St		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (12th St - 27 1/4 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
9	40	35	2	2	1257	1257	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
9	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
9	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
9	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.61			40.26		
9	Running Time, s	23.36			24.41		
9	Running Speed, mph	36.68			35.11		
9	Through Delay, s/veh	1.57			36.87		
9	Travel Time, s	24.93			61.28		
9	Travel Speed, mph	34.38			13.98		
9	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.05			0.84		
9	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.23			3.55		
9	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.38			0.57		
9	Percent of Base FFS	80.68			34.73		
9	Level of Service	A			E		
9	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.17			2.71		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

9	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.79	C	3.33	C
9	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.70	B
9	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.71	A	2.34	B

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	784.82	800.42
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.36	26.83
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.77
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.57	62.72
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.21	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.73	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.13	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Patterson Rd & 15th St	27 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
10	40	40	2	2	1355	1355	50	50	0	0	70	70	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12
10	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
10	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
10	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	44.07			44.07		
10	Running Time, s	24.25			24.22		
10	Running Speed, mph	38.10			38.15		
10	Through Delay, s/veh	20.49			2.04		
10	Travel Time, s	44.74			26.26		
10	Travel Speed, mph	20.65			35.18		
10	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.55			0.08		
10	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	2.14			0.31		
10	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.56			0.28		
10	Percent of Base FFS	46.86			79.84		
10	Level of Service	D			B		
10	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.70			2.19		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

10	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.57	D	3.56	D
10	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.84	C	2.68	B
10	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.70	A	0.65	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	784.82	800.42
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.36	26.83
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.77
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.57	62.72
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.21	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.73	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.13	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	27 1/2 Road & Patterson	28 1/4 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (27 1/2 RD - 28 1/4 RD)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
11	45	40	2	2	3877	3877	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

Segment Output Data		Westbound			Eastbound		
		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement		2	12	1	6	
11	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
11	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
11	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph		45.57			43.22	
11	Running Time, s		60.87			63.04	
11	Running Speed, mph		43.43			41.93	
11	Through Delay, s/veh		22.73			7.12	
11	Travel Time, s		83.60			70.16	
11	Travel Speed, mph		31.62			37.68	
11	Stop Rate, stops/veh		0.62			0.24	
11	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi		0.84			0.33	
11	Through vol/cap Ratio		0.55			0.25	
11	Percent of Base FFS		69.38			87.16	
11	Level of Service		B			A	
11	Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.37			2.19	

Multimodal Results (Segment)

11	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.86	D	2.93	C
11	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.90	C	2.60	B
11	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.91	A	0.47	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	784.82	800.42
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.36	26.83
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.77
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.57	62.72
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.21	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.73	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.13	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	28 1/4 Road & Patterson	29 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (28 1/4 Rd - 29 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
12	45	40	2	2	3813	3813	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
12	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
12	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
12	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	45.78			43.43		
12	Running Time, s	59.75			61.76		
12	Running Speed, mph	43.51			42.09		
12	Through Delay, s/veh	22.99			17.55		
12	Travel Time, s	82.74			79.31		
12	Travel Speed, mph	31.42			32.78		
12	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.49			0.41		
12	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.68			0.57		
12	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.80			0.39		
12	Percent of Base FFS	68.64			75.47		
12	Level of Service	B			B		
12	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.24			2.22		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

12	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.56	D	2.91	C
12	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.93	C	2.62	B
12	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.95	A	0.75	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	784.82	800.42
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.36	26.83
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.77
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.57	62.72
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.21	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.73	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.13	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	29 Road & Patterson	29 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (29 Rd - 29 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
13	45	45	2	2	2583	2583	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
13	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
13	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
13	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	44.94			44.94		
13	Running Time, s	41.59			40.48		
13	Running Speed, mph	42.34			43.51		
13	Through Delay, s/veh	13.34			22.15		
13	Travel Time, s	54.93			62.63		
13	Travel Speed, mph	32.06			28.12		
13	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.40			0.56		
13	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.82			1.14		
13	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.64			0.35		
13	Percent of Base FFS	71.34			62.57		
13	Level of Service	B			C		
13	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.26			2.31		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

13	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.59	D	3.37	C
13	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.02	C	2.71	B
13	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.98	A	1.09	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	784.82	800.42
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.36	26.83
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.77
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.57	62.72
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.21	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.73	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.13	A	1.08	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	AM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP AM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	29 1/2 Road & Patterson	30 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (29 1/2 Rd - 30 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
14	35	45	2	2	2582	2582	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
14	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
14	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
14	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.81			45.51		
14	Running Time, s	46.49			40.31		
14	Running Speed, mph	37.87			43.67		
14	Through Delay, s/veh	14.85			12.14		
14	Travel Time, s	61.35			52.45		
14	Travel Speed, mph	28.70			33.57		
14	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.34			0.36		
14	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.70			0.74		
14	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.76			0.28		
14	Percent of Base FFS	70.31			73.75		
14	Level of Service	B			B		
14	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.24			2.25		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

14	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.63	D	2.97	C
14	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.91	C	2.62	B
14	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.13	A	0.71	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	784.82	800.42
Facility Travel Speed, mph	27.36	26.83
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.77
Facility Percent of Base FFS	63.57	62.72
Facility Level of Service	C	C
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.32	2.29

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.20	C	3.21	C
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.76	C	2.73	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.13	A	1.08	A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	24 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.91
Analysis Period	1> 7:00



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	127	195	207	583	327	359	53	741	341	467	936	45

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	6									
Offset, s	85	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	12.8	5.3	19.1	7.2	2.8	26.8		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.5	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	2.0	3.0	1.1	3.0	2.0	3.0
Phase Duration, s	16.8	24.1	26.1	33.4	11.2	31.8	18.0	38.6
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	10.8		19.9		4.2	24.4	16.0	29.6
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	2.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.2	2.4	0.0	3.7
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		0.80	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.06		0.78		0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	379	582	618	641	359	395	58	814	375	513	1029	49
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1675	1752		1716	1738		1810	1738	1585	1730	1752	1517
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	8.8	16.1		17.9	8.3		2.2	22.4	15.8	14.0	27.6	2.2
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	8.8	16.1		17.9	8.3		2.2	22.4	15.8	14.0	27.6	2.2
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.32	0.19		0.22	0.28		0.34	0.27	0.49	0.14	0.34	0.34
Capacity (c), veh/h	823	670		759	988		224	931	775	484	1176	509
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.461	0.870		0.844	0.364		0.261	0.875	0.484	1.060	0.875	0.097
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	148.3	267.8		256.2	131.4		38.3	332.2	192.3	312.8	380.2	33
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	6.4	11.8		11.4	5.7		1.7	14.5	8.6	14.0	16.7	1.4
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.83	0.00		1.16	0.00		0.29	0.00	1.09	2.36	0.00	0.51
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	29.3	39.5		37.3	28.6		25.4	35.0	17.1	43.0	31.2	22.8
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.6	14.4		6.3	0.9		0.9	9.5	0.7	57.6	7.7	0.1
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	29.9	53.9	0.0	43.6	29.5	0.0	26.3	44.5	17.8	100.6	38.9	22.9
Level of Service (LOS)	C	D	A	D	C	A	C	D	B	F	D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	27.0		C	27.6		C	35.6		D	58.3		E
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	37.6						D					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.45		B	2.58		C	2.58		C	2.58		C
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	0.97		A	1.64		B	1.52		B	1.80		B

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	Market Street/Mall Acce...	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus
Project Description			



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	159	732	141	31	905	336	124	82	34	315	28	257

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	14	Reference Point	End									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	1.4	6.6	29.4	24.0	15.6	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0		
				Red	0.5	0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6		8		4
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0		11.0		10.0
Phase Duration, s	15.9	45.0	5.4	34.4		20.6		29.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0		5.0		5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	3.1	0.0	3.1	0.0		3.3		3.4
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	11.6		2.4			15.1		22.2
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.5		1.8
Phase Call Probability	1.00		0.27			1.00		1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.00		0.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	252	1160	223	11	332	123		248	41	380	343	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1711	1766	1610	1810	1766	1522		1844	1610	1702	1635	
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	9.6	24.7	3.3	0.4	3.5	1.3		13.1	2.2	9.5	20.2	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	9.6	24.7	3.3	0.4	3.5	1.3		13.1	2.2	9.5	20.2	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.43	0.40	0.40	0.31	0.29	0.29		0.16	0.16	0.24	0.24	
Capacity (c), veh/h	537	1413	644	162	1039	448		288	252	818	393	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.469	0.821	0.347	0.070	0.320	0.276		0.861	0.163	0.464	0.874	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	139.9	198.3	40.8	7.5	51.9	22.3		206.4	34.8	147.7	263.2	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	6.0	8.8	1.9	0.3	2.3	1.0		9.4	1.6	6.5	12.0	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.95	0.00	0.33	0.07	0.00	0.21		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	20.7	14.9	5.4	25.8	10.7	4.3		41.1	36.5	32.5	36.5	
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.1	3.0	0.8	0.1	0.8	1.5		3.0	0.1	0.2	2.5	
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	20.8	17.9	6.1	25.9	11.5	5.8		44.1	36.6	32.6	39.0	
Level of Service (LOS)	C	B	A	C	B	A		D	D	C	D	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	16.7		B	10.3		B	43.0		D	35.6		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	22.6						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.91		B	2.12		B	2.47		B	2.45		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.51		B	1.75		B	0.96		A	1.68		B

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	Home Depot Access/Me...	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus
Project Description			



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	85	760	227	130	876	65	279	72	249	88	45	127

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	81	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	Off	Green	5.0	2.1	41.0	15.0	18.0	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	Off	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2		4		8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0		9.0		10.0
Phase Duration, s	11.0	48.1	9.0	46.0		23.0		20.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0		5.0		5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0		5.4		5.5
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	6.6		5.0			19.4		13.8
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.0		0.0		1.2
Phase Call Probability	0.98		0.93			1.00		1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.01			1.00		0.27

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	138	1234	369	96	647	48	332	86	296	105	205	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1711	1766	1598	1810	1752	1610	1743	1900	1610	1767	1677	
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	4.6	32.3	21.7	3.0	15.1	2.1	8.6	3.9	17.4	5.4	11.8	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	4.6	32.3	21.7	3.0	15.1	2.1	8.6	3.9	17.4	5.4	11.8	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.48	0.43	0.43	0.46	0.41	0.41	0.18	0.18	0.23	0.15	0.15	
Capacity (c), veh/h	379	1521	688	190	1437	660	626	341	369	266	252	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.364	0.811	0.536	0.506	0.450	0.073	0.530	0.251	0.804	0.394	0.812	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	72.7	446.6	327.4	52.9	225	31.8	139.4	73.6	258.6	98.6	188.7	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.1	19.8	14.8	2.4	9.9	1.4	6.3	3.3	11.8	4.4	8.6	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.54	0.00	1.64	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.78	0.00	1.18	0.74	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	15.8	31.4	34.7	22.3	26.2	20.9	37.2	35.2	36.4	38.4	41.1	
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.8	4.4	2.7	2.8	1.0	0.2	1.1	0.5	12.7	1.4	9.7	
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	16.5	35.8	37.4	25.0	27.2	21.1	38.3	35.8	49.1	39.7	50.8	
Level of Service (LOS)	B	D	D	C	C	C	D	D	D	D	D	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	34.6		C	26.6		C	42.5		D	47.0		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	35.5						D					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.27		B	1.91		B	2.45		B	2.47		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.54		B	1.54		B	1.67		B	1.00		A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	24 1/2 Rd & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus
Project Description			



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	102	670	251	267	735	282	254	410	206	227	333	100

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	6	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	9.7	1.9	38.0	7.0	3.4	17.5		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	0.5	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	13.7	43.5	15.7	45.4	18.4	29.9	11.0	22.5
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	8.8		10.3		14.2	19.9	9.0	11.6
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	1.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.1	5.0	0.0	5.3
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		1.00	0.61	1.00	0.56

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	195	1283	481	235	647	248	276	353	317	247	362	109
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1697	1781	1610	1810	1766	1598	1767	1856	1646	1767	1738	1397
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	6.8	33.9	20.1	8.3	13.3	7.4	12.2	17.7	17.9	7.0	9.6	7.0
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	6.8	33.9	20.1	8.3	13.3	7.4	12.2	17.7	17.9	7.0	9.6	7.0
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.48	0.38	0.38	0.50	0.40	0.40	0.34	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.18	0.18
Capacity (c), veh/h	383	1352	611	294	1410	638	398	461	409	234	609	244
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.510	0.949	0.786	0.799	0.459	0.389	0.693	0.765	0.774	1.056	0.595	0.445
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	110	396.4	164.8	152.5	186.5	92.2	193.8	275.9	251.4	243.4	153.5	110.8
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	4.7	17.7	7.5	6.9	8.3	4.2	8.6	12.2	11.3	10.8	6.7	4.4
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.82	0.00	0.75	1.16	0.00	0.37	1.46	0.00	0.00	1.83	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	17.1	21.6	12.5	28.2	21.6	12.0	26.9	34.9	35.0	38.5	38.0	36.9
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	1.3	13.7	8.8	6.4	1.0	1.6	5.4	5.9	7.1	74.6	1.3	1.8
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	18.4	35.3	21.3	34.6	22.6	13.6	32.3	40.8	42.0	113.0	39.3	38.7
Level of Service (LOS)	B	D	C	C	C	B	C	D	D	F	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	30.2		C	23.1		C	38.7		D	64.6		E
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	35.4						D					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.28		B	2.43		B	2.44		B	2.45		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.40		A	1.64		B	1.27		A	1.08		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	25 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.87
Analysis Period	1> 7:00




Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	66	921	181	281	905	147	223	338	257	218	317	110

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2
Offset, s	45	Reference Point	Begin
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On



Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	20.0	46.0	10.0	36.0	17.0	34.0	10.0	27.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	4.9		8.0		12.8	21.4	8.0	21.6
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.2
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.02		1.00		1.00	0.80	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	107	1498	294	218	701	114	256	389	278	251	364	126
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1753	1781	1585	1795	1795	1585	1753	1811	1610	1795	1811	1585
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	2.9	40.0	10.6	6.0	17.7	5.6	10.8	19.4	13.6	6.0	19.6	6.8
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	2.9	40.0	10.6	6.0	17.7	5.6	10.8	19.4	13.6	6.0	19.6	6.8
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.48	0.40	0.40	0.36	0.30	0.30	0.37	0.29	0.35	0.28	0.22	0.22
Capacity (c), veh/h	433	1424	634	180	1077	476	321	525	564	239	398	349
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.248	1.052	0.464	1.210	0.651	0.239	0.799	0.740	0.494	1.050	0.914	0.363
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	46.9	629.5	123.9	298.7	256.5	88.5	206.3	311.2	187.1	252.5	367.2	111.4
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.1	28.2	5.5	13.5	11.6	4.0	9.1	13.5	8.5	11.4	15.9	5.0
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.26	0.00	0.77	1.69	0.00	0.68	0.93	0.00	1.06	1.91	0.00	0.84
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	13.2	25.3	14.7	28.9	34.1	27.4	26.0	32.1	25.5	37.8	38.1	33.1
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	1.3	37.9	2.3	129.9	2.6	1.0	18.5	9.0	3.1	71.8	28.0	2.9
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	14.5	63.2	17.0	158.8	36.7	28.4	44.5	41.1	28.6	109.6	66.1	36.0
Level of Service (LOS)	B	F	B	F	D	C	D	D	C	F	E	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	53.3		D	61.5		E	38.3		D	75.6		E
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	55.7						E					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.13		B	2.12		B	2.44		B	2.45		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.60		B	1.75		B	2.01		B	1.71		B

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Intersection Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Duration, h	0.250
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Area Type	Other
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045	PHF	0.82
Intersection	25 1/2 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	92	1205	144	181	1180	147	93	190	189	184	159	75

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	3	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	7.6	0.2	48.2	6.0	2.0	17.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	4.5	3.5	0.0	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	0.0	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0
Phase Duration, s	11.6	54.2	11.8	54.4	10.0	22.0	12.0	24.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	4.9		5.5		7.3	16.1	10.0	17.7
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.6	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	1.0
Phase Call Probability	0.95		0.97		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	110	1445	173	130	848	106	113	232	230	224	285	
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1781	1598	1781	1781	1572	1767	1885	1585	1682	1754	
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	2.9	35.8	5.8	3.5	12.0	1.2	5.3	11.6	14.1	8.0	15.7	
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	2.9	35.8	5.8	3.5	12.0	1.2	5.3	11.6	14.1	8.0	15.7	
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.56	0.48	0.48	0.56	0.48	0.48	0.23	0.17	0.17	0.25	0.19	
Capacity (c), veh/h	415	1717	770	249	1723	761	192	320	269	254	333	
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.265	0.841	0.224	0.522	0.492	0.139	0.592	0.724	0.856	0.885	0.857	
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	45.4	443.4	83.8	62.5	136.7	16.9	114.2	201.6	232.6	159.2	271.1	
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.1	19.8	3.8	2.8	6.1	0.7	5.1	9.1	10.4	6.7	12.0	
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.34	0.00	0.66	0.47	0.00	0.13	1.03	0.00	2.63	1.18	0.00	
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	12.1	23.7	13.5	22.0	10.9	4.1	33.1	39.3	40.3	36.9	39.2	
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.4	4.7	0.6	2.0	0.8	0.3	12.7	7.8	21.8	33.2	18.6	
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh	12.6	28.4	14.1	24.0	11.7	4.4	45.8	47.1	62.1	70.1	57.8	
Level of Service (LOS)	B	C	B	C	B	A	D	D	E	E	E	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	26.0		C	12.4		B	52.9		D	63.2		E
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	31.1						C					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.09		B	1.90		B	2.45		B	2.45		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	1.94		B	2.00		B	1.44		A	1.33		A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	1st Street & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus
Project Description			



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	115	1234	197	217	1180	124	210	394	193	165	311	80

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	72	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	7.8	0.1	35.7	9.0	2.4	26.6		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	3.0	3.5	0.0	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	2.5	0.5	0.0	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	11.8	41.2	11.9	41.3	13.0	31.6	15.4	33.9
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	6.2		7.2		7.5	28.5	10.3	21.4
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.5	0.0	0.7	0.0	1.5	0.0	1.1	4.4
Phase Call Probability	0.97		0.98		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		0.00	1.00	0.00	0.83

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	126	1349	215	150	817	86	273	512	251	214	404	104
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1766	1598	1781	1781	1572	1743	1856	1598	1810	1885	1610
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	4.2	35.7	7.5	5.2	20.1	4.4	5.5	26.5	12.2	8.3	19.4	4.4
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	4.2	35.7	7.5	5.2	20.1	4.4	5.5	26.5	12.2	8.3	19.4	4.4
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.43	0.36	0.45	0.44	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.27	0.34	0.38	0.29	0.37
Capacity (c), veh/h	299	1261	714	212	1276	563	590	492	550	279	545	590
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.420	1.070	0.301	0.707	0.640	0.152	0.462	1.040	0.456	0.768	0.741	0.176
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	62.9	627.7	99.1	82.4	269	93	89.7	556.4	163.5	140.8	296.1	64.8
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.9	27.8	4.5	3.7	12.0	4.1	4.0	24.7	7.4	6.4	13.4	2.9
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.48	0.00	0.75	0.75	0.00	0.85	0.68	0.00	1.24	1.28	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	18.2	29.6	14.3	23.0	30.4	26.8	24.7	36.7	25.5	25.2	32.2	21.4
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	1.1	44.3	0.9	3.7	1.5	0.4	0.8	51.2	0.8	6.2	5.8	0.2
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	19.3	73.9	15.2	26.7	31.9	27.2	25.5	88.0	26.4	31.4	37.9	21.6
Level of Service (LOS)	B	F	B	C	C	C	C	F	C	C	D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	62.4	E		30.8	C		56.6	E		33.6	C	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	49.0						D					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.28		B	2.11		B	2.44		B	2.44		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.14		B	2.12		B	2.20		B	1.68		B


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	7th Street & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.80
Analysis Period	1> 7:00




Demand Information

Approach Movement	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	147	1222	163	202	934	172	245	586	275	151	311	173

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2
Offset, s	26	Reference Point	Begin
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On



Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	2.0	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	14.4	51.8	12.2	49.6	12.0	27.0	9.0	24.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	9.8		7.2		10.0	21.8	7.0	13.2
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.8	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	4.4
Phase Call Probability	0.98		0.99		1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	142	1184	133	184	851	152	306	733	328	189	389	216
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1766	1417	1810	1781	1543	1767	1795	1589	1795	1809	1577
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	7.8	15.7	0.9	5.2	13.1	2.2	8.0	19.8	18.0	5.0	9.8	11.2
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	7.8	15.7	0.9	5.2	13.1	2.2	8.0	19.8	18.0	5.0	9.8	11.2
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.10	0.47	0.55	0.53	0.45	0.45	0.28	0.22	0.30	0.24	0.19	0.29
Capacity (c), veh/h	188	1653	789	345	1589	689	288	789	481	163	686	466
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.756	0.716	0.168	0.534	0.535	0.221	1.064	0.929	0.681	1.161	0.566	0.465
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	141.4	126.5	12.3	88.9	145.6	29.6	291.8	303.5	220.2	239.2	154.6	153.3
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	6.4	5.6	0.5	4.0	6.5	1.3	12.9	13.7	9.9	10.8	7.0	6.9
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.80	0.00	0.08	0.67	0.00	0.19	1.32	0.00	1.25	2.17	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	48.0	6.9	1.8	15.8	12.4	5.3	37.0	34.6	27.7	38.1	36.8	28.9
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	7.0	2.2	0.4	1.4	1.0	0.5	70.9	17.4	4.3	120.4	1.4	1.0
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	55.0	9.1	2.2	17.2	13.3	5.8	107.9	51.9	32.1	158.6	38.1	29.9
Level of Service (LOS)	D	A	A	B	B	A	F	D	C	F	D	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	12.9	B		13.0	B		59.7	E		64.5	E	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	34.8						C					

Multimodal Results


	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.43	B		2.42	B		2.45	B		2.49	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.04	B		1.83	B		1.61	B		1.14	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	12th Street & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	246	1289	117	106	795	151	145	629	146	288	542	238

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2										
Offset, s	49	Reference Point	Begin										
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	6.0	45.5	5.0	1.0	20.0	0.0			
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0	0.0			
				Red	0.5	1.5	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.0			

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0
Phase Duration, s	10.0	51.0	10.0	51.0	9.0	25.0	14.0	30.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	7.2		4.0		6.1	22.0	9.8	27.0
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	333	1743	158	137	1024	194	181	786	183	360	515	460
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1730	1766	1606	1743	1795	1598	1730	1781	1572	1743	1870	1670
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	5.2	45.5	5.5	2.0	19.6	4.5	4.1	20.0	9.7	7.8	25.0	25.0
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	5.2	45.5	5.5	2.0	19.6	4.5	4.1	20.0	9.7	7.8	25.0	25.0
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.52	0.46	0.51	0.52	0.46	0.46	0.25	0.20	0.26	0.32	0.25	0.25
Capacity (c), veh/h	570	1607	811	353	1633	727	317	712	409	493	468	417
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.584	1.084	0.195	0.387	0.627	0.268	0.572	1.104	0.446	0.731	1.102	1.102
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	78.2	804.4	105.4	33.1	221.7	58.7	81	470.4	147.8	139.3	619.7	567.1
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.5	35.7	4.8	1.5	10.0	2.6	3.6	21.0	6.6	6.3	27.7	25.4
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.44	0.00	0.73	0.13	0.00	0.44	0.37	0.00	0.67	1.05	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	16.3	28.3	14.0	22.1	16.6	8.9	31.7	40.0	31.0	28.2	37.5	37.5
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	2.7	45.3	0.3	2.6	1.5	0.7	7.3	65.8	3.5	9.2	72.2	74.4
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	19.0	73.6	14.4	24.7	18.2	9.6	39.0	105.8	34.5	37.4	109.7	111.9
Level of Service (LOS)	B	F	B	C	B	A	D	F	C	D	F	F
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	61.3		E	17.6		B	84.0		F	91.0		F
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	62.4						E					


Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.56		C	2.42		B	2.59		C	2.59		C
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.19		B	1.57		B	1.44		A	1.59		B

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Intersection Information	
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Duration, h	0.250
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Area Type	Other
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045	PHF	0.83
Intersection	Patterson Rd & 15th St	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Period	1 > 7:00
Project Description					



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	69	1629	30	22	1052	27	14	4	36	43	2	64

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	66	Reference Point	End									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	3.2	2.3	75.4	7.1	0.0	0.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	4.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0		
				Red	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6		8		4
Case Number	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.0		7.0		7.0
Phase Duration, s	9.5	81.8	7.2	79.4		11.1		11.1
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0		4.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	3.1	0.0	3.1	0.0		3.3		3.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	3.0		2.3			4.6		6.8
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.4		0.3
Phase Call Probability	0.92		0.53			1.00		1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00			0.00		0.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	90	1083	1083	27	668	663		22	43		54	77
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1856	1844	1781	1885	1868		1526	1585		1433	1585
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	1.0	27.0	27.6	0.3	22.3	22.6		0.0	2.6		2.4	4.8
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	1.0	27.0	27.6	0.3	22.3	22.6		1.2	2.6		3.6	4.8
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.81	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.75	0.75		0.07	0.07		0.07	0.07
Capacity (c), veh/h	388	1443	1433	216	1422	1409		172	112		172	112
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.232	0.751	0.756	0.125	0.470	0.470		0.126	0.387		0.316	0.688
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	14.2	176.2	174.8	5.2	292	291.3		20.5	42.1		52.7	78.2
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.6	7.8	7.9	0.2	13.2	13.2		0.9	1.9		2.4	3.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.95		0.00	1.77
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	5.2	4.4	4.4	6.2	11.2	11.4		43.7	44.4		44.9	45.4
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.1	2.3	2.3	0.1	0.7	0.7		0.1	0.8		0.4	2.8
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	5.2	6.6	6.8	6.3	11.9	12.1		43.8	45.2		45.2	48.2
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A	A	A	B	B		D	D		D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	6.6	A		11.9	B		44.8	D		47.0	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	10.5						B					

Multimodal Results


	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.83	B		1.84	B		2.31	B		2.31	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.21	B		1.58	B		0.59	A		0.70	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	27 1/2 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	227	1478			941	362				558		135

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2										
Offset, s	56	Reference Point	Begin										
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	33.0	31.0	21.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	4.5	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
				Red	0.5	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6		2				4
Case Number	1.0	4.0		7.3				9.0
Phase Duration, s	37.0	74.0		37.0				26.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.0		6.0				5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0		0.0				5.3
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	5.6							20.7
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	1.4	0.0		0.0				0.2
Phase Call Probability	1.00							1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00							1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6			2	12				7		14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	243	1584			1005	386				672		163
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1753	1795			1795	1610				1757		1522
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	3.6	19.8			27.3	22.6				18.7		9.5
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	3.6	19.8			27.3	22.6				18.7		9.5
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.66	0.68			0.31	0.31				0.21		0.21
Capacity (c), veh/h	665	2441			1113	499				738		320
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.366	0.649			0.903	0.774				0.911		0.509
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	45.7	157.7			403.4	346.4				298.7		150.6
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	2.0	7.1			18.2	15.7				13.6		6.5
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.30	0.00			0.00	6.56				1.79		0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	6.6	5.7			38.5	35.9				38.6		34.9
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	1.2	1.0			10.1	9.4				17.4		5.7
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0				0.0		0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	7.8	6.7			48.6	45.3				56.0		40.6
Level of Service (LOS)	A	A			D	D				E		D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	6.9	A		47.7	D		0.0			53.0		D
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	30.4						C					

Multimodal Results


	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	0.67	A		2.12	B		2.32	B		2.32		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.18	B		1.78	B							F

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	28 1/4 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	38	1469	329	159	965	46	288	30	259	116	37	47

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
----------	-------	-----------------	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	2.0	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	15.0	52.0	15.0	52.0	20.0	15.0	18.0	13.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	5.2	0.0	3.1	0.0	3.2	5.4	5.2	5.4
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	2.9		10.4		18.0	12.0	8.4	5.3
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.7
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.05		1.00		1.00	1.00	0.77	1.00

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	38	1456	326	156	944	45	339	35	305	136	44	55
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1795	1585	1795	1795	1610	1795	1900	1610	1810	1900	1610
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	0.9	35.0	9.9	8.4	23.7	2.4	16.0	1.7	10.0	6.4	2.2	3.3
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	0.9	35.0	9.9	8.4	23.7	2.4	16.0	1.7	10.0	6.4	2.2	3.3
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.57	0.46	0.46	0.11	0.46	0.46	0.24	0.10	0.21	0.22	0.08	0.08
Capacity (c), veh/h	388	1651	729	197	1651	741	436	190	338	392	152	129
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.097	0.882	0.447	0.788	0.572	0.061	0.778	0.186	0.901	0.348	0.286	0.429
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	13.8	366.2	109.6	150.8	325.4	67.2	286.2	35.6	304.4	114.7	47.4	65.2
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	0.6	16.5	4.9	6.8	14.7	3.1	12.9	1.6	13.8	5.2	2.2	3.0
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.05	0.00	0.38	0.57	0.00	0.69	1.08	0.00	0.00	1.04	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	12.1	19.0	10.9	42.7	31.0	24.4	35.9	41.3	38.5	32.9	43.3	43.8
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	0.4	5.5	1.5	18.7	1.0	0.1	12.8	2.1	29.3	2.4	4.7	10.1
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	12.5	24.5	12.3	61.4	32.0	24.5	48.7	43.4	67.8	35.4	48.0	53.9
Level of Service (LOS)	B	C	B	E	C	C	D	D	E	D	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	22.1	C		35.7	D		57.0	E		42.1	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	33.4						C					

Multimodal Results


	EB		WB		NB		SB	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.10	B	2.10	B	2.46	B	2.46	B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.27	B	1.62	B	1.61	B	0.88	A

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	29 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

Approach Movement	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	220	1249	310	126	809	57	245	273	267	161	95	166

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2								
Offset, s	8	Reference Point	Begin								
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On								
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On								
				Green	9.5	5.5	29.5	10.5	6.5	9.0	
				Yellow	3.5	3.5	4.5	3.5	3.5	4.0	
				Red	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	24.0	46.0	14.0	36.0	26.0	25.0	15.0	14.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.5	6.5	4.5	6.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.1	0.0	4.1	0.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	8.3		7.8		8.4	19.1	12.0	8.8
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.0	0.4	0.0	0.1
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.01		1.00		0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

Approach Movement	EB			WB			NB			SB		
	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	230	1304	324	153	983	69	295	329	280	194	114	140
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1781	1795	1572	1767	1781	1585	1716	1870	1610	1753	1870	1610
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	6.3	33.9	13.5	5.8	27.1	3.2	6.4	17.1	14.8	10.0	5.9	6.8
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	6.3	33.9	13.5	5.8	27.1	3.2	6.4	17.1	14.8	10.0	5.9	6.8
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.51	0.40	0.40	0.39	0.30	0.30	0.32	0.20	0.30	0.20	0.09	0.29
Capacity (c), veh/h	433	1418	621	255	1051	468	950	374	475	264	168	459
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.530	0.920	0.521	0.601	0.936	0.148	0.311	0.879	0.588	0.736	0.680	0.305
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	87.3	396.6	153.6	107.8	382.1	49.5	106.1	317.8	208.2	190.7	135.9	108.1
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.9	17.9	6.8	4.8	17.1	2.2	4.7	14.2	9.5	8.4	6.1	4.9
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.28	0.00	0.56	0.27	0.00	0.57	0.48	0.00	0.95	1.43	0.00	0.82
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	13.3	25.1	17.7	26.9	36.9	26.0	25.3	38.8	30.1	36.8	44.1	28.0
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	3.4	8.7	2.3	7.0	12.0	0.5	0.9	24.2	5.3	16.7	20.0	1.7
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	16.8	33.8	20.0	33.8	48.9	26.5	26.1	63.1	35.3	53.5	64.1	29.7
Level of Service (LOS)	B	C	B	C	D	C	C	E	D	D	E	C
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	29.3	C		45.7	D		42.4	D		48.8	D	
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	38.4						D					

Multimodal Results


	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.32	B		2.19	B		2.45	B		2.46	B	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.26	B		1.47	A		1.98	B		1.23	A	

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	29 1/2 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	91	1484	73	99	859	129	86	98	235	155	29	32

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2
Offset, s	58	Reference Point	Begin
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	1	6	5	2	7	4	3	8
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	8.6	50.7	10.3	52.4	26.0	26.0	13.0	13.0
Change Period, (Y+R _c), s	4.0	6.5	4.0	6.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.5	0.0	4.5	0.0	3.7	4.8	3.7	4.8
Queue Clearance Time (g _s), s	4.6		6.0		6.1	23.0	11.0	4.2
Green Extension Time (g _e), s	0.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.9
Phase Call Probability	0.91		0.98		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.00		0.00		0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	88	1438	71	135	1168	175	101	392		182	34	38
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1795	1598	1795	1795	1560	1767	1607		1781	1841	1585
Queue Service Time (g _s), s	2.6	33.7	1.3	4.0	20.8	2.4	4.1	21.0		9.0	1.7	2.2
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g _c), s	2.6	33.7	1.3	4.0	20.8	2.4	4.1	21.0		9.0	1.7	2.2
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.49	0.44	0.44	0.50	0.46	0.46	0.32	0.21		0.17	0.08	0.08
Capacity (c), veh/h	250	1585	706	222	1649	716	543	337		232	147	127
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.352	0.907	0.100	0.606	0.709	0.245	0.186	1.161		0.785	0.232	0.297
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	40.3	251.3	18.3	67.6	184.3	30.7	73.2	567.9		190.6	33.2	36.6
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	1.8	11.3	0.8	3.0	8.3	1.4	3.2	24.6		8.5	1.5	1.6
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.31	0.00	0.22	0.51	0.00	0.13	0.94	0.00		1.39	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d ₁), s/veh	16.5	14.8	7.8	23.0	12.7	4.8	24.6	39.5		39.0	43.1	43.3
Incremental Delay (d ₂), s/veh	0.6	6.3	0.2	1.9	1.9	0.6	0.8	100.2		22.9	0.8	1.3
Initial Queue Delay (d ₃), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	17.1	21.1	8.0	24.9	14.6	5.4	25.4	139.7		61.9	43.9	44.6
Level of Service (LOS)	B	C	A	C	B	A	C	F		E	D	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	20.3		C	14.4		B	116.2		F	56.9		E
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	32.9						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	1.91		B	2.10		B	2.45		B	2.46		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.09		B	1.54		B	1.30		A	0.91		A


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates		
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date	
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak
Urban Street	Patterson Rd	Analysis Year	2045
Intersection	30 Road & Patterson	File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus
Project Description			

Intersection Information

Duration, h	0.250
Area Type	Other
PHF	0.83
Analysis Period	1> 7:00



Demand Information

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), veh/h	227	1174	319	72	669	69	258	132	104	47	83	128

Signal Information

Cycle, s	100.0	Reference Phase	2									
Offset, s	90	Reference Point	Begin									
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green	11.0	2.0	53.5	6.0	3.0	5.0		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Yellow	3.5	0.0	5.0	3.5	0.0	4.0		
				Red	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	0.0	1.0		

Timer Results

	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Assigned Phase	5	2	1	6	3	8	7	4
Case Number	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1	3.0
Phase Duration, s	17.0	62.0	15.0	60.0	13.0	13.0	10.0	10.0
Change Period, ($Y+R_c$), s	4.0	6.5	4.0	6.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s	4.1	0.0	4.2	0.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s	8.0		4.4		10.4	10.0	4.9	7.0
Green Extension Time (g_e), s	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Phase Call Probability	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Max Out Probability	0.58		0.12		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Movement Group Results


	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Approach Movement	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h	273	1414	384	116	1080	111	311	159	124	57	100	43
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln	1810	1795	1598	1795	1795	1598	1730	1870	1560	1810	1885	1585
Queue Service Time (g_s), s	6.0	8.6	3.0	2.4	15.9	2.4	8.4	8.0	8.0	2.9	5.0	2.3
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g_c), s	6.0	8.6	3.0	2.4	15.9	2.4	8.4	8.0	8.0	2.9	5.0	2.3
Green Ratio (g/C)	0.66	0.56	0.56	0.64	0.54	0.54	0.15	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.05	0.18
Capacity (c), veh/h	487	1992	887	388	1920	855	455	150	125	181	94	285
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)	0.562	0.710	0.433	0.299	0.562	0.130	0.683	1.063	0.994	0.314	1.061	0.152
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (90 th percentile)	84.6	61.1	34	40	176.4	33.1	146.6	250	200.8	58.8	183	38.9
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (90 th percentile)	3.8	2.8	1.5	1.8	8.0	1.5	6.6	11.2	8.8	2.7	8.3	1.7
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (90 th percentile)	0.96	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.56	0.66	0.00	1.13	0.45	0.00	0.29
Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh	9.6	2.0	1.8	7.0	10.3	7.7	39.9	46.0	46.0	41.0	47.5	34.6
Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh	3.2	1.5	1.1	2.0	1.2	0.3	8.1	91.3	79.1	4.5	110.2	1.1
Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d), s/veh	12.7	3.5	2.8	9.0	11.5	8.0	47.9	137.3	125.1	45.5	157.7	35.7
Level of Service (LOS)	B	A	A	A	B	A	D	F	F	D	F	D
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS	4.6		A	10.9		B	88.0		F	99.5		F
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS	23.0						C					

Multimodal Results

	EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS	2.25		B	2.21		B	2.46		B	2.46		B
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS	2.20		B	1.29		A	1.47		A	0.82		A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	24 Road & Patterson	Market Street/Mall Access & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (24 Rd - Market St)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
1	35	35	2	2	625	625	50	50	0	0	100	0	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12
1	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
1	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
1	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	41.58			42.05		
1	Running Time, s	14.73			15.15		
1	Running Speed, mph	28.93			28.12		
1	Through Delay, s/veh	11.51			53.88		
1	Travel Time, s	26.24			69.04		
1	Travel Speed, mph	16.24			6.17		
1	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.28			1.01		
1	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	2.34			8.50		
1	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.32			0.87		
1	Percent of Base FFS	39.06			14.68		
1	Level of Service	E			F		
1	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.50			3.64		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

1	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.37	B	3.85	D
1	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.30	B	2.45	B
1	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.00	A	3.52	D


Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		964.15		1079.36	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		22.28		19.91	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		43.04		42.78	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		51.77		46.54	
Facility Level of Service		F		F	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.39		2.38	

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.50	C	3.86	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.82	C	2.98	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.51	A	1.63	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information					Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates				Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date			Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak		Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Year	2045		System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Market Street/Mall Access & Pat	Home Depot Access/Mesa Mall Access &			Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description						



Basic Segment Information (Market St to Home Deopt Access)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
2	35	35	2	2	906	906	50	50	0	0	70	70	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12
2	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
2	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
2	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	41.48			41.48		
2	Running Time, s	18.59			19.12		
2	Running Speed, mph	33.23			32.31		
2	Through Delay, s/veh	27.20			17.86		
2	Travel Time, s	45.79			36.98		
2	Travel Speed, mph	13.49			16.70		
2	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.75			0.40		
2	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	4.35			2.33		
2	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.45			0.82		
2	Percent of Base FFS	32.53			40.27		
2	Level of Service	E			D		
2	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.85			2.50		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

2	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.99	C	3.54	D
2	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.53	B	2.74	B
2	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.38	B	2.16	B

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		964.15		1079.36	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		22.28		19.91	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		43.04		42.78	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		51.77		46.54	
Facility Level of Service		F		F	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.39		2.38	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.50	C	3.86	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.98	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.51	A	1.63	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Home Depot Access/Mesa Mall / 24 1/2 Rd & Patterson			Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (Home Depot - 24 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
3	35	35	2	2	1675	1675	50	50	550	550	70	100	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
3	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
3	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
3	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.85			40.33		
3	Running Time, s	31.05			32.12		
3	Running Speed, mph	36.78			35.56		
3	Through Delay, s/veh	22.56			35.80		
3	Travel Time, s	53.61			67.92		
3	Travel Speed, mph	21.30			16.81		
3	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.60			0.88		
3	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.90			2.77		
3	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.46			0.81		
3	Percent of Base FFS	52.15			41.69		
3	Level of Service	C			D		
3	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.43			2.57		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

3	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.66	D	3.49	C
3	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.75	C	2.87	C
3	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.65	A	2.18	B

Facility Output Data


	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	964.15	1079.36
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.28	19.91
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.78
Facility Percent of Base FFS	51.77	46.54
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.39	2.38

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.50	C	3.86	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.98	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.51	A	1.63	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	24 1/2 Rd & Patterson	25 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (24 1/2 Rd - 25 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
4	40	35	2	2	2540	2540	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

Segment Output Data		Westbound			Eastbound		
		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
4	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
4	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
4	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	43.32			40.97		
4	Running Time, s	42.73			46.56		
4	Running Speed, mph	40.53			37.20		
4	Through Delay, s/veh	36.66			35.33		
4	Travel Time, s	79.39			81.89		
4	Travel Speed, mph	21.81			21.15		
4	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.84			0.75		
4	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.75			1.55		
4	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.65			0.95		
4	Percent of Base FFS	50.36			51.62		
4	Level of Service	C			C		
4	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.41			2.38		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

4	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.28	C	3.56	D
4	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.79	C	2.97	C
4	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.61	A	1.81	A

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		964.15		1079.36	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		22.28		19.91	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		43.04		42.78	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		51.77		46.54	
Facility Level of Service		F		F	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.39		2.38	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.50	C	3.86	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.98	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.51	A	1.63	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	25 Road & Patterson	25 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (25 Rd - 25 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
5	40	40	2	2	2549	2549	50	50	260	260	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
5	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
5	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
5	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.96			42.96		
5	Running Time, s	43.26			44.48		
5	Running Speed, mph	40.17			39.08		
5	Through Delay, s/veh	11.67			63.19		
5	Travel Time, s	54.94			107.67		
5	Travel Speed, mph	31.64			16.14		
5	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.33			1.07		
5	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.68			2.21		
5	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.49			1.05		
5	Percent of Base FFS	73.64			37.57		
5	Level of Service	B			F		
5	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.24			2.48		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

5	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.23	C	3.94	D
5	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.80	C	3.01	C
5	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.89	A	2.29	B

Facility Output Data


	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	964.15	1079.36
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.28	19.91
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.78
Facility Percent of Base FFS	51.77	46.54
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.39	2.38

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.50	C	3.86	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.98	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.51	A	1.63	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	25 1/2 Road & Patterson	1st Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (25 1/2 Rd - 26 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
6	35	40	2	2	2613	2613	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
6	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
6	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
6	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.98			43.33		
6	Running Time, s	46.23			44.84		
6	Running Speed, mph	38.54			39.73		
6	Through Delay, s/veh	31.92			28.42		
6	Travel Time, s	78.15			73.26		
6	Travel Speed, mph	22.80			24.32		
6	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.80			0.75		
6	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.61			1.52		
6	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.64			0.84		
6	Percent of Base FFS	55.62			56.12		
6	Level of Service	C			C		
6	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.38			2.37		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

6	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.26	C	3.52	D
6	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.97	C
6	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.52	A	1.51	A


Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		964.15		1079.36	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		22.28		19.91	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		43.04		42.78	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		51.77		46.54	
Facility Level of Service		F		F	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.39		2.38	

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.50	C	3.86	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.82	C	2.98	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.51	A	1.63	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	1st Street & Patterson	7th Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (26 Rd - 26 1/2)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
7	35	40	2	2	2557	2557	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
7	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
7	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
7	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.39			42.74		
7	Running Time, s	46.14			44.48		
7	Running Speed, mph	37.78			39.20		
7	Through Delay, s/veh	13.33			73.91		
7	Travel Time, s	59.47			118.38		
7	Travel Speed, mph	29.31			14.73		
7	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.36			1.18		
7	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.74			2.44		
7	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.54			1.07		
7	Percent of Base FFS	72.57			34.45		
7	Level of Service	B			F		
7	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.25			2.52		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

7	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.10	C	3.61	D
7	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.99	C
7	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.05	A	2.41	B

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		964.15		1079.36	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		22.28		19.91	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		43.04		42.78	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		51.77		46.54	
Facility Level of Service		F		F	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.39		2.38	


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.50	C	3.86	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.82	C	2.98	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.51	A	1.63	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	7th Street & Patterson	12th Street & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (26 1/2 Rd to 12th St)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
8	40	35	2	2	2571	2571	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
8	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
8	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
8	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	43.08			40.73		
8	Running Time, s	43.87			46.49		
8	Running Speed, mph	39.96			37.70		
8	Through Delay, s/veh	30.19			9.06		
8	Travel Time, s	74.06			55.55		
8	Travel Speed, mph	23.67			31.55		
8	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.74			0.21		
8	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.51			0.43		
8	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.72			0.72		
8	Percent of Base FFS	54.95			77.47		
8	Level of Service	C			B		
8	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.37			2.20		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

8	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.48	C	3.38	C
8	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.92	C	2.90	C
8	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.50	A	0.95	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	964.15	1079.36
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.28	19.91
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.78
Facility Percent of Base FFS	51.77	46.54
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.39	2.38


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.50	C	3.86	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.98	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.51	A	1.63	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	12th Street & Patterson	Patterson Rd & 15th St		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (12th St - 27 1/4 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
9	40	35	2	2	1272	1272	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
9	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
9	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
9	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	42.63			40.28		
9	Running Time, s	23.82			26.02		
9	Running Speed, mph	36.41			33.34		
9	Through Delay, s/veh	11.98			117.38		
9	Travel Time, s	35.80			143.39		
9	Travel Speed, mph	24.23			6.05		
9	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.54			1.55		
9	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	2.24			6.42		
9	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.47			1.18		
9	Percent of Base FFS	56.83			15.02		
9	Level of Service	C			F		
9	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.49			3.23		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

9	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.17	C	4.47	E
9	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.75	B	3.04	C
9	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.44	A	3.67	D

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	964.15	1079.36
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.28	19.91
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.78
Facility Percent of Base FFS	51.77	46.54
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.39	2.38


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.50	C	3.86	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.98	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.51	A	1.63	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	Patterson Rd & 15th St	27 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
10	40	40	2	2	1325	1325	50	50	0	0	70	70	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	1	6	16	5	2	12
10	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
10	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
10	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	44.07			44.07		
10	Running Time, s	24.07			24.87		
10	Running Speed, mph	37.53			36.32		
10	Through Delay, s/veh	48.58			6.69		
10	Travel Time, s	72.66			31.56		
10	Travel Speed, mph	12.43			28.62		
10	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.99			0.18		
10	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	3.96			0.73		
10	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.90			0.75		
10	Percent of Base FFS	28.21			64.95		
10	Level of Service	F			C		
10	Auto Traveler Perception Score	3.02			2.25		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

10	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.86	D	4.80	E
10	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.93	C	2.98	C
10	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.63	B	1.27	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	964.15	1079.36
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.28	19.91
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.78
Facility Percent of Base FFS	51.77	46.54
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.39	2.38


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.50	C	3.86	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.98	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.51	A	1.63	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	28 1/4 Road & Patterson	29 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (28 1/4 Rd - 29 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
12	45	40	2	2	3813	3813	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
12	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
12	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
12	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	45.78			43.43		
12	Running Time, s	59.68			64.10		
12	Running Speed, mph	43.56			40.56		
12	Through Delay, s/veh	48.95			18.73		
12	Travel Time, s	108.62			82.83		
12	Travel Speed, mph	23.93			31.39		
12	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.97			0.49		
12	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	1.34			0.68		
12	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.94			0.83		
12	Percent of Base FFS	52.28			72.27		
12	Level of Service	C			B		
12	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.34			2.24		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

12	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.61	D	3.80	D
12	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.85	C	3.04	C
12	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	1.48	A	1.03	A

Facility Output Data

	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	964.15	1079.36
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.28	19.91
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.78
Facility Percent of Base FFS	51.77	46.54
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.39	2.38


Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.50	C	3.86	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.98	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.51	A	1.63	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information

Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	29 Road & Patterson	29 1/2 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (29 Rd - 29 1/2 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
13	45	45	2	2	2579	2579	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
13	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
13	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
13	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	44.94			44.94		
13	Running Time, s	41.22			41.73		
13	Running Speed, mph	42.65			42.14		
13	Through Delay, s/veh	14.59			33.80		
13	Travel Time, s	55.82			75.53		
13	Travel Speed, mph	31.50			23.28		
13	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.35			0.76		
13	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.72			1.56		
13	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.71			0.92		
13	Percent of Base FFS	70.09			51.80		
13	Level of Service	B			C		
13	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.25			2.38		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

13	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.96	D	3.85	D
13	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.89	C	3.05	C
13	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.98	A	1.63	A

Facility Output Data


	Westbound	Eastbound
Facility Travel Time, s	964.15	1079.36
Facility Travel Speed, mph	22.28	19.91
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph	43.04	42.78
Facility Percent of Base FFS	51.77	46.54
Facility Level of Service	F	F
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.39	2.38

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS	3.50	C	3.86	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS	2.82	C	2.98	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS	1.51	A	1.63	A

HCS7 Urban Street Segment Report

General Information				Streets Information	
Agency	Stolfus and Associates			Number of Intersections	15
Analyst	Max Rusch	Analysis Date		Number of Segments	14
Jurisdiction		Time Period	PM Peak	Number of Iterations	15
File Name	2045 ACP PM.xus	Analysis Year	2045	System Cycle Length, s	100
Intersections	29 1/2 Road & Patterson	30 Road & Patterson		Analysis Period	1> 7:00
Project Description					



Basic Segment Information (29 1/2 Rd - 30 Rd)

Segment	Speed Limit		Through Lanes		Segment Length		Intersection Wid		Length of RM		Percent Curb		Other Delay	
	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB	WB	EB
14	35	45	2	2	2595	2595	50	50	0	0	90	90	0.0	0.0

		Westbound			Eastbound		
Segment Output Data		WBL	WBT	WBR	EBL	EBT	EBR
Segment	Movement	5	2	12	1	6	16
14	Bay/Lane Spillback Time, h						
14	Shared Lane Spillback Time, h						
14	Base Free-Flow Speed, mph	40.82			45.52		
14	Running Time, s	46.50			41.62		
14	Running Speed, mph	38.05			42.51		
14	Through Delay, s/veh	11.46			21.15		
14	Travel Time, s	57.96			62.77		
14	Travel Speed, mph	30.53			28.19		
14	Stop Rate, stops/veh	0.34			0.42		
14	Spatial Stop Rate, stops/mi	0.69			0.85		
14	Through vol/cap Ratio	0.56			0.91		
14	Percent of Base FFS	74.79			61.92		
14	Level of Service	B			C		
14	Auto Traveler Perception Score	2.24			2.27		

Multimodal Results (Segment)

14	Pedestrian Segment LOS Score / LOS	3.75	D	4.08	D
14	Bicycle Segment LOS Score / LOS	2.84	C	3.00	C
14	Transit Segment LOS Score / LOS	0.99	A	1.22	A

Facility Output Data		Westbound		Eastbound	
Facility Travel Time, s		964.15		1079.36	
Facility Travel Speed, mph		22.28		19.91	
Facility Base Free Flow Speed, mph		43.04		42.78	
Facility Percent of Base FFS		51.77		46.54	
Facility Level of Service		F		F	
Facility Auto Traveler Perception Score		2.39		2.38	

Multimodal Results (Facility)

Pedestrian Facility LOS Score / LOS		3.50	C	3.86	D
Bicycle Facility LOS Score / LOS		2.82	C	2.98	C
Transit Facility LOS Score / LOS		1.51	A	1.63	A



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 1 24 RD & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM


Peak 15-Minutes: 07:30 AM - 07:45 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				24 RD Northbound				24 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	6	25	5	0	23	23	16	0	10	98	47	0	14	33	3	303	1,726	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	16	29	13	0	29	33	28	0	12	105	86	0	20	52	5	428	1,828	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	27	39	15	0	24	41	35	0	17	111	95	1	31	60	4	500	1,782	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	9	43	11	0	36	31	31	0	14	125	115	0	28	47	5	495	1,743	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	15	29	12	0	29	46	21	0	24	85	64	0	32	45	3	405	1,723	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	13	25	23	0	51	30	20	0	11	69	64	0	17	50	9	382		0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	18	42	15	0	42	46	29	0	23	79	67	1	37	57	5	461		0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	10	39	16	0	35	33	24	0	18	107	83	0	44	58	8	475		0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	114	271	110	0	269	283	204	0	129	779	621	2	223	402	42	3,449		0	0	0	0
Peak Hour	0	67	140	51	0	118	151	115	0	67	426	360	1	111	204	17	1,828		0	0	0	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 2 MARKET ST & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 07:45 AM - 08:45 AM


Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				MARKET ST Northbound				MARKET ST Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	6	72	8	0	0	55	11	0	2	2	1	0	1	0	8	166	1,058	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	12	107	6	0	0	83	16	0	3	1	1	0	11	1	3	244	1,166	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	16	138	6	0	2	90	20	0	4	1	3	0	6	4	7	297	1,176	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	10	174	9	0	4	90	24	0	3	2	2	0	26	1	6	351	1,198	0	1	0	0
8:00 AM	0	6	122	10	0	6	85	12	0	2	2	4	0	17	1	7	274	1,180	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	6	98	5	0	5	86	17	0	6	1	5	0	17	2	6	254		0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	9	129	12	0	1	96	29	0	6	2	4	0	22	0	9	319		0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	15	142	14	0	3	96	27	0	6	2	5	0	12	5	6	333		0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	80	982	70	0	21	681	156	0	32	13	25	0	112	14	52	2,238		0	1	0	0
Peak Hour	0	31	523	36	0	16	357	82	0	17	7	15	0	82	4	28	1,198		0	1	0	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 3 24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM


Peak 15-Minutes: 07:30 AM - 07:45 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				24 1/2 RD Northbound				24 1/2 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	5	58	6	0	6	67	24	0	12	30	9	0	13	14	2	246	1,553	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	6	100	7	0	20	78	47	0	11	48	14	0	32	44	6	413	1,652	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	8	124	10	0	15	93	24	0	21	34	12	0	43	54	10	448	1,582	1	0	0	1
7:45 AM	0	6	162	19	0	15	93	16	0	21	22	20	0	28	39	5	446	1,518	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	6	116	9	0	21	94	15	0	18	17	13	0	14	19	3	345	1,495	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	3	99	10	0	20	94	15	0	12	13	25	0	22	22	8	343		0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	6	120	15	0	24	106	8	0	17	24	14	0	21	21	8	384		0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	5	124	15	0	33	112	22	0	18	24	19	0	20	29	2	423		0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	45	903	91	0	154	737	171	0	130	212	126	0	193	242	44	3,048		1	0	0	1
Peak Hour	0	26	502	45	0	71	358	102	0	71	121	59	0	117	156	24	1,652		1	0	0	1



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 4 MESA MALL ACCESS & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 07:45 AM - 08:45 AM


Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				MESA MALL ACCESS Northbound				HOME DEPOT ACCESS Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	3	67	3	0	2	63	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	5	149	994	0	0	0	1
7:15 AM	0	7	116	0	0	3	92	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	3	3	228	1,106	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	5	150	2	0	3	107	5	0	1	1	3	0	5	0	5	287	1,122	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	14	170	6	0	2	108	5	0	3	2	2	0	11	0	7	330	1,149	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	7	123	5	1	3	98	3	0	3	2	3	1	7	1	4	261	1,120	0	2	0	0
8:15 AM	0	13	100	2	0	6	90	5	0	3	1	5	0	6	0	13	244		0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	24	132	3	0	10	106	4	0	8	0	4	0	13	0	10	314		0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	21	116	9	0	6	98	10	0	6	0	10	0	11	0	14	301		0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	94	974	30	1	35	762	34	0	24	6	30	1	57	5	61	2,114		0	2	0	1
Peak Hour	0	58	525	16	1	21	402	17	0	17	5	14	1	37	1	34	1,149		0	2	0	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 5 25 RD & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM


Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				25 RD Northbound				25 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	4	69	5	0	27	91	19	0	13	34	11	0	32	49	4	358	2,148	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	10	113	9	0	37	141	16	0	15	47	18	0	42	61	8	517	2,330	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	18	146	10	0	47	118	23	0	22	66	27	0	49	63	12	601	2,380	0	0	1	0
7:45 AM	0	26	168	4	0	47	132	29	0	31	63	25	0	50	86	11	672	2,319	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	16	105	14	0	26	133	37	0	24	65	21	0	33	60	6	540	2,284	1	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	16	110	14	0	42	125	33	0	28	74	20	0	33	59	13	567		0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	10	122	9	0	25	132	21	0	24	51	25	0	45	62	14	540		0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	8	112	26	0	64	169	24	0	41	56	27	0	26	67	17	637		0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	108	945	91	0	315	1,041	202	0	198	456	174	0	310	507	85	4,432		1	0	1	0
Peak Hour	0	76	529	42	0	162	508	122	0	105	268	93	0	165	268	42	2,380		1	0	1	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 6 25 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 07:45 AM - 08:45 AM


Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				25 1/2 RD Northbound				25 1/2 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	3	85	12	0	24	154	20	0	6	7	9	0	20	14	7	361	2,115	0	1	0	0
7:15 AM	0	3	149	5	0	24	211	23	0	6	5	20	0	26	19	7	498	2,296	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	3	178	16	0	23	191	19	0	7	14	19	0	39	26	18	553	2,335	1	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	8	207	12	0	49	243	28	0	21	15	26	0	45	33	16	703	2,374	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	3	144	10	0	42	218	20	0	6	21	18	0	23	30	7	542	2,291	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	1	140	12	0	43	194	16	0	10	15	26	0	28	37	15	537		0	2	0	0
8:30 AM	0	4	170	18	0	40	176	8	0	23	25	52	0	22	44	10	592		0	11	0	0
8:45 AM	0	3	149	11	0	46	232	9	0	20	33	41	0	24	36	16	620		0	4	0	0
Count Total	0	28	1,222	96	0	291	1,619	143	0	99	135	211	0	227	239	96	4,406		1	18	0	0
Peak Hour	0	16	661	52	0	174	831	72	0	60	76	122	0	118	144	48	2,374		0	13	0	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 7 N 1ST ST & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM


Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				N 1ST ST Northbound				N 1ST ST Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	4	92	11	0	18	181	2	0	10	12	17	0	17	21	8	393	2,545	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	5	153	24	0	31	233	2	0	20	14	30	0	19	40	6	577	2,772	2	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	3	174	43	0	45	204	6	0	28	27	36	0	28	80	4	678	2,791	3	0	0	2
7:45 AM	0	8	227	42	0	52	285	8	0	32	51	59	0	29	93	11	897	2,719	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	4	150	22	0	46	244	6	0	33	33	18	0	18	37	9	620	2,506	0	1	0	0
8:15 AM	0	6	162	21	0	40	222	6	0	21	20	24	0	28	38	8	596		0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	2	192	29	0	39	195	13	0	21	24	23	0	25	32	11	606		0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	6	179	24	0	66	251	14	0	30	23	27	0	24	34	6	684		0	0	0	1
Count Total	0	38	1,329	216	0	337	1,815	57	0	195	204	234	0	188	375	63	5,051		5	1	0	3
Peak Hour	0	21	713	128	0	183	955	26	0	114	131	137	0	103	248	32	2,791		3	1	0	2



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 8 N 7TH ST & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM


Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				N 7TH ST Northbound				N 7TH ST Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	11	67	32	0	20	169	1	0	25	26	12	0	7	61	26	457	2,838	1	0	2	0
7:15 AM	0	13	115	49	0	28	215	6	0	20	52	14	0	7	80	35	634	3,181	2	1	3	0
7:30 AM	0	22	151	53	0	46	225	16	0	11	58	22	0	13	93	37	747	3,269	0	0	1	0
7:45 AM	0	39	187	64	0	38	287	27	0	34	98	21	0	21	123	61	1,000	3,213	1	1	2	0
8:00 AM	0	27	120	38	0	35	228	40	0	23	84	21	0	34	95	55	800	2,983	0	0	3	1
8:15 AM	0	25	132	42	0	32	205	14	0	32	38	19	0	27	101	55	722		1	1	2	0
8:30 AM	0	19	143	65	0	44	203	11	0	19	37	16	0	11	80	43	691		0	0	1	1
8:45 AM	0	18	150	55	0	38	259	8	0	37	53	24	0	7	81	40	770		4	0	6	4
Count Total	0	174	1,065	398	0	281	1,791	123	0	201	446	149	0	127	714	352	5,821		9	3	20	6
Peak Hour	0	113	590	197	0	151	945	97	0	100	278	83	0	95	412	208	3,269		2	2	8	1



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 9 N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM


Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				N 12TH ST Northbound				N 12TH ST Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	9	56	14	0	36	154	13	0	22	35	19	0	6	34	11	409	2,689	2	1	1	0
7:15 AM	0	20	94	22	0	47	204	13	0	27	45	15	0	10	70	10	577	3,042	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	24	109	34	0	87	240	26	0	28	74	18	0	20	76	21	757	3,093	1	1	0	1
7:45 AM	0	41	143	37	0	67	283	34	0	43	103	21	0	22	120	32	946	3,064	0	4	0	7
8:00 AM	0	24	124	23	0	58	266	23	0	39	73	20	0	21	72	19	762	2,921	0	2	0	0
8:15 AM	0	16	123	31	0	54	176	19	0	38	58	21	0	16	60	16	628		0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	18	117	32	0	73	222	18	0	33	49	18	0	30	97	21	728		0	2	0	0
8:45 AM	0	21	128	45	0	62	247	21	0	38	76	28	0	17	103	17	803		4	1	3	0
Count Total	0	173	894	238	0	484	1,792	167	0	268	513	160	0	142	632	147	5,610		7	11	4	8
Peak Hour	0	105	499	125	0	266	965	102	0	148	308	80	0	79	328	88	3,093		1	7	0	8



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 10 27 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020


Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM

Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				27 1/2 RD Northbound				27 1/2 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	8	70	0	0	0	204	79					0	46	0	16	423	2,728	0	0		0
7:15 AM	0	12	104	0	0	0	317	105					0	86	0	28	652	2,932	0	0		0
7:30 AM	0	13	122	0	0	0	381	110					0	99	0	45	770	2,844	0	0		0
7:45 AM	0	18	151	0	0	0	417	152					0	96	0	49	883	2,724	0	0		0
8:00 AM	0	28	126	0	0	0	303	77					0	63	0	30	627	2,561	1	0		1
8:15 AM	0	16	119	0	0	0	250	80					0	59	0	40	564		0	0		0
8:30 AM	0	16	115	0	0	0	325	87					0	65	0	42	650		0	0		0
8:45 AM	0	27	161	0	0	0	315	89					0	78	0	50	720		0	0		1
Count Total	0	138	968	0	0	0	2,512	779					0	592	0	300	5,289		1	0		2
Peak Hour	0	71	503	0	0	0	1,418	444					0	344	0	152	2,932		1	0		1



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 11 28 RD & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020


Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM

Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				Northbound				28 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	2	110	0	0	0	0	284	11				0	12	0	15	434	2,601	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	2	184	0	0	0	0	394	6				0	10	0	14	610	2,754	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	2	214	0	0	0	0	471	12				0	11	0	17	727	2,689	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	4	236	0	0	0	0	542	27				0	7	0	14	830	2,574	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	2	199	0	0	0	0	352	12				0	9	0	13	587	2,388	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	4	197	0	0	0	0	318	7				0	6	0	13	545		0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	5	191	0	0	0	0	387	7				0	9	0	13	612		0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	10	220	0	0	0	0	370	15				0	12	0	17	644		1	0	1	1
Count Total	0	31	1,551	0	0	0	0	3,118	97				0	76	0	116	4,989		1	0	1	1
Peak Hour	0	10	833	0	0	0	0	1,759	57				0	37	0	58	2,754		0	0	0	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 12 28 1/4 RD & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM


Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				28 1/4 RD Northbound				28 1/4 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	8	101	12	0	16	268	8	0	29	2	8	0	1	1	2	456	2,799	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	9	153	32	0	21	352	17	0	41	4	11	0	7	1	7	655	2,984	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	19	188	33	0	40	424	18	0	57	2	12	0	6	3	4	806	2,929	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	14	198	50	0	46	458	13	0	69	9	8	0	3	6	8	882	2,790	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	11	158	40	0	31	316	13	0	40	5	16	0	7	1	3	641	2,604	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	8	152	46	0	28	268	9	0	48	7	13	0	6	4	11	600		0	0	1	0
8:30 AM	0	10	148	43	0	23	344	6	0	38	6	19	0	13	6	11	667		0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	16	184	37	0	27	328	11	0	44	6	23	0	4	8	8	696		0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	95	1,282	293	0	232	2,758	95	0	366	41	110	0	47	30	54	5,403		0	0	1	0
Peak Hour	0	53	697	155	0	138	1,550	61	0	207	20	47	0	23	11	22	2,984		0	0	0	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 13 29 RD & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM


Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				29 RD Northbound				29 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	10	66	33	0	19	229	6	0	31	9	6	0	1	13	30	453	2,967	0	1	0	0
7:15 AM	0	18	106	46	0	23	297	13	0	68	30	11	0	5	18	41	676	3,134	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	29	138	39	0	29	357	26	0	62	56	15	0	16	43	80	890	2,993	0	1	0	0
7:45 AM	0	33	141	47	0	41	377	19	0	53	50	17	0	23	56	91	948	2,725	0	1	2	1
8:00 AM	0	17	121	37	0	22	238	4	0	60	21	14	0	6	25	55	620	2,454	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	7	125	43	0	25	206	2	0	55	9	22	0	10	12	19	535		1	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	15	125	39	0	31	268	2	0	62	8	27	0	5	16	24	622		0	0	0	1
8:45 AM	0	18	162	53	0	24	249	8	0	82	18	20	0	6	6	31	677		0	1	1	1
Count Total	0	147	984	337	0	214	2,221	80	0	473	201	132	0	72	189	371	5,421		1	4	3	3
Peak Hour	0	97	506	169	0	115	1,269	62	0	243	157	57	0	50	142	267	3,134		0	2	2	1



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 14 29 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM


Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				29 1/2 RD Northbound				29 1/2 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	6	64	7	0	17	208	21	0	7	2	4	0	7	4	12	359	2,291	0	0	1	0
7:15 AM	0	4	98	14	0	18	290	25	0	21	9	14	0	16	6	12	527	2,399	4	2	1	0
7:30 AM	0	2	131	21	0	30	382	43	0	28	8	11	0	8	17	18	699	2,332	2	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	6	150	26	0	31	338	43	0	17	10	23	0	26	17	19	706	2,175	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	2	117	27	0	15	221	18	0	12	7	11	0	21	9	7	467	1,981	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	13	127	16	0	13	205	15	0	12	2	23	0	20	7	7	460		0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	4	126	16	0	18	268	22	0	22	6	21	0	27	6	6	542		0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	11	153	21	0	23	241	15	0	16	4	8	0	7	4	9	512		0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	48	966	148	0	165	2,153	202	0	135	48	115	0	132	70	90	4,272		6	2	2	0
Peak Hour	0	14	496	88	0	94	1,231	129	0	78	34	59	0	71	49	56	2,399		6	2	1	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 15 30 RD & PATTERSON RD AM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM


Peak 15-Minutes: 07:30 AM - 07:45 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				30 RD Northbound				30 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
7:00 AM	0	2	53	10	0	9	119	4	0	63	3	4	0	10	15	38	330	2,120	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	4	94	25	0	21	184	3	0	69	7	10	0	9	20	42	488	2,231	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	11	87	35	0	33	271	3	0	89	8	13	0	18	32	68	668	2,188	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	30	114	51	0	30	213	4	0	96	16	9	0	5	27	39	634	2,052	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	27	73	31	0	17	159	4	0	49	14	13	0	5	16	33	441	1,931	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	26	90	46	0	19	132	4	0	53	10	9	0	12	8	36	445		0	1	0	2
8:30 AM	0	32	97	41	0	18	164	5	0	66	9	17	0	8	21	54	532		0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	28	99	32	0	17	169	8	0	42	12	18	0	9	28	51	513		0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	160	707	271	0	164	1,411	35	0	527	79	93	0	76	167	361	4,051		0	1	0	2
Peak Hour	0	72	368	142	0	101	827	14	0	303	45	45	0	37	95	182	2,231		0	0	0	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 1 24 RD & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM


Peak 15-Minutes: 04:30 PM - 04:45 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				24 RD Northbound				24 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	17	24	32	0	95	81	40	0	11	76	67	0	58	97	4	602	2,470	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	14	39	46	0	94	69	36	0	15	68	73	0	49	96	4	603	2,566	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	13	43	48	0	123	93	50	0	9	72	66	2	63	119	7	708	2,610	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	16	44	37	0	95	60	36	0	13	66	40	0	52	93	5	557	2,468	0	1	1	1
5:00 PM	0	16	43	51	0	147	96	51	0	10	78	50	0	41	107	8	698	2,444	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	18	25	40	0	128	73	40	0	10	75	59	0	49	125	5	647		0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	12	33	45	0	111	76	37	1	5	59	42	0	42	99	4	566		0	0	1	0
5:45 PM	0	16	31	37	0	127	62	40	0	10	62	45	0	37	64	2	533		0	0	0	1
Count Total	0	122	282	336	0	920	610	330	1	83	556	442	2	391	800	39	4,914		0	1	2	2
Peak Hour	0	63	155	176	0	493	322	177	0	42	291	215	2	205	444	25	2,610		0	1	1	1



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 2 MARKET ST & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM


Peak 15-Minutes: 04:30 PM - 04:45 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				MARKET ST Northbound				MARKET ST Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	19	111	25	1	7	160	59	0	19	9	7	0	63	9	32	521	2,095	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	31	121	24	0	4	121	54	0	15	10	16	0	35	8	48	487	2,157	0	2	1	1
4:30 PM	0	17	131	27	0	3	203	67	0	22	11	1	0	50	7	50	589	2,216	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	26	95	18	0	12	146	51	0	17	13	8	0	66	7	39	498	2,120	1	0	1	0
5:00 PM	0	20	109	19	0	7	224	63	0	17	15	6	0	52	3	48	583	2,084	0	1	0	1
5:15 PM	0	20	100	22	0	6	183	75	0	20	7	7	0	60	4	42	546		0	0	0	1
5:30 PM	1	21	79	12	0	2	146	79	0	15	7	13	0	55	5	58	493		0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	23	75	12	0	3	158	60	0	11	8	5	0	50	1	56	462		0	0	0	1
Count Total	1	177	821	159	1	44	1,341	508	0	136	80	63	0	431	44	373	4,179		1	3	2	4
Peak Hour	0	83	435	86	0	28	756	256	0	76	46	22	0	228	21	179	2,216		1	1	1	2



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 3 24 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 04:15 PM - 05:15 PM


Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				24 1/2 RD Northbound				24 1/2 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	16	123	35	0	47	157	35	0	55	54	33	0	42	58	11	666	2,775	0	1	0	0
4:15 PM	0	24	127	35	0	56	170	48	0	59	57	35	0	44	54	12	721	2,903	0	0	2	0
4:30 PM	0	10	128	39	1	42	171	40	0	61	58	39	0	41	53	18	701	2,892	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	16	122	38	0	49	168	47	0	43	59	43	0	42	48	12	687	2,781	0	1	0	0
5:00 PM	0	14	145	34	0	55	209	48	0	60	46	45	0	46	66	26	794	2,689	1	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	13	120	50	0	57	172	39	0	55	59	39	0	41	41	24	710		2	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	13	110	35	0	45	131	38	0	57	37	35	0	27	42	20	590		2	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	10	101	38	0	43	142	16	0	37	52	36	0	40	62	18	595		0	1	1	1
Count Total	0	116	976	304	1	394	1,320	311	0	427	422	305	0	323	424	141	5,464		5	3	3	1
Peak Hour	0	64	522	146	1	202	718	183	0	223	220	162	0	173	221	68	2,903		1	1	2	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 4 MESA MALL ACCESS & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM


Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				MESA MALL ACCESS Northbound				HOME DEPOT ACCESS Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	22	147	13	0	21	204	10	0	13	4	20	0	20	6	21	501	1,986	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	19	148	9	1	14	183	19	0	9	6	22	0	22	6	19	477	2,029	0	0	1	0
4:30 PM	0	11	162	16	1	19	227	22	0	12	5	16	0	22	3	24	540	2,078	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	18	135	13	0	22	171	16	0	18	5	23	0	24	4	19	468	1,994	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	14	150	8	1	15	241	15	0	16	8	27	0	21	1	27	544	1,921	3	0	0	1
5:15 PM	0	15	151	7	1	15	240	12	0	13	4	22	0	22	5	19	526		0	0	0	1
5:30 PM	0	9	133	8	0	15	203	9	0	17	7	16	0	16	3	20	456		0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	8	118	7	0	6	182	8	0	11	5	17	0	14	1	18	395		0	0	0	1
Count Total	0	116	1,144	81	4	127	1,651	111	0	109	44	163	0	161	29	167	3,907		3	0	1	3
Peak Hour	0	58	598	44	3	71	879	65	0	59	22	88	0	89	13	89	2,078		3	0	0	2



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 5 25 RD & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM


Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				25 RD Northbound				25 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	16	171	35	0	56	184	31	0	41	59	57	0	44	67	30	791	3,260	0	0	0	1
4:15 PM	0	9	153	31	0	55	236	27	0	54	64	53	0	42	72	27	823	3,420	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	15	180	36	0	51	209	36	0	45	69	38	0	55	73	28	835	3,438	0	0	0	1
4:45 PM	0	19	173	34	0	56	197	33	0	39	68	50	0	51	63	28	811	3,333	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	18	212	46	0	56	227	42	0	57	86	49	0	49	81	28	951	3,197	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	14	176	31	0	59	201	36	0	39	85	39	0	50	84	27	841		0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	18	161	27	0	37	174	37	0	30	78	39	0	41	67	21	730		0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	13	157	23	0	34	180	27	0	30	64	39	0	39	49	20	675		0	2	0	3
Count Total	0	122	1,383	263	0	404	1,608	269	0	335	573	364	0	371	556	209	6,457		0	2	0	5
Peak Hour	0	66	741	147	0	222	834	147	0	180	308	176	0	205	301	111	3,438		0	0	0	1



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 6 25 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM


Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				25 1/2 RD Northbound				25 1/2 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	12	253	30	0	46	244	30	0	31	48	65	0	25	23	9	816	3,081	0	21	0	0
4:15 PM	0	7	210	15	0	35	253	17	0	24	41	45	0	24	21	10	702	3,205	1	0	0	3
4:30 PM	0	12	252	19	0	43	263	25	0	19	32	32	0	53	28	9	787	3,342	1	2	0	3
4:45 PM	0	5	257	20	0	35	255	33	0	26	26	48	0	39	21	11	776	3,217	0	0	0	1
5:00 PM	0	10	314	18	0	40	305	30	0	22	46	58	0	44	36	17	940	3,049	0	3	0	0
5:15 PM	0	9	254	32	0	64	256	16	0	25	41	51	0	49	33	9	839		0	1	0	0
5:30 PM	0	15	215	14	0	38	229	19	0	9	39	34	0	37	11	2	662		0	1	0	0
5:45 PM	0	11	216	8	0	29	210	15	0	8	22	43	0	16	20	10	608		0	2	0	0
Count Total	0	81	1,971	156	0	330	2,015	185	0	164	295	376	0	287	193	77	6,130		2	30	0	7
Peak Hour	0	36	1,077	89	0	182	1,079	104	0	92	145	189	0	185	118	46	3,342		1	6	0	4



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 7 N 1ST ST & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM


Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				N 1ST ST Northbound				N 1ST ST Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	18	300	41	0	33	255	17	0	47	47	31	0	14	36	10	849	3,406	0	2	2	0
4:15 PM	0	17	220	35	0	49	291	14	0	44	34	43	0	15	36	8	806	3,524	0	6	0	1
4:30 PM	0	19	278	37	0	44	297	15	0	33	44	49	0	16	32	12	876	3,579	0	2	1	1
4:45 PM	0	11	287	49	0	50	269	13	0	48	38	46	0	24	34	6	875	3,440	0	4	0	3
5:00 PM	0	16	326	41	0	47	281	22	0	60	52	60	0	22	32	8	967	3,305	0	0	0	2
5:15 PM	0	9	312	40	0	46	263	10	0	43	45	28	0	20	34	11	861		0	1	1	0
5:30 PM	0	9	250	42	0	37	241	13	0	30	40	32	0	7	31	5	737		0	0	0	1
5:45 PM	0	18	223	37	0	34	239	11	0	23	55	31	0	17	44	8	740		0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	117	2,196	322	0	340	2,136	115	0	328	355	320	0	135	279	68	6,711		0	15	4	8
Peak Hour	0	55	1,203	167	0	187	1,110	60	0	184	179	183	0	82	132	37	3,579		0	7	2	6



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 8 N 7TH ST & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM


Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				N 7TH ST Northbound				N 7TH ST Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	29	282	43	0	26	194	13	0	69	94	56	0	13	70	47	936	3,646	0	1	2	0
4:15 PM	0	33	198	39	0	28	232	7	0	65	89	41	0	13	57	46	848	3,768	5	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	39	263	34	0	27	231	8	0	66	95	48	0	19	56	49	935	3,925	5	2	1	1
4:45 PM	0	35	273	42	0	27	235	17	0	60	82	53	0	16	49	38	927	3,803	2	2	2	2
5:00 PM	0	35	338	42	0	21	238	9	0	62	127	51	0	11	79	45	1,058	3,588	1	0	2	0
5:15 PM	0	33	330	45	0	29	216	12	0	59	103	45	0	20	71	42	1,005		1	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	19	236	31	0	27	181	8	0	52	101	56	0	22	42	38	813		1	1	0	1
5:45 PM	0	22	196	29	0	25	183	12	0	42	71	32	0	6	60	34	712		0	0	0	1
Count Total	0	245	2,116	305	0	210	1,710	86	0	475	762	382	0	120	484	339	7,234		15	6	7	5
Peak Hour	0	142	1,204	163	0	104	920	46	0	247	407	197	0	66	255	174	3,925		9	4	5	3



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 9 N 12TH ST & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM


Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				N 12TH ST Northbound				N 12TH ST Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	26	285	33	0	32	142	15	0	43	104	67	0	25	80	29	881	3,531	5	2	0	1
4:15 PM	0	25	236	37	0	34	207	14	0	45	73	49	0	30	64	16	830	3,646	2	1	0	1
4:30 PM	0	18	276	43	0	35	196	10	0	48	89	50	0	30	90	23	908	3,801	2	2	0	0
4:45 PM	0	26	277	42	0	34	179	11	0	65	83	44	0	27	94	30	912	3,700	0	1	1	0
5:00 PM	0	20	315	43	0	41	159	16	0	51	106	74	0	39	110	22	996	3,501	1	1	0	7
5:15 PM	0	25	317	47	0	42	158	15	0	48	86	48	0	40	122	37	985		2	1	1	1
5:30 PM	0	16	287	49	0	37	128	13	0	43	82	36	0	22	62	32	807		0	0	3	0
5:45 PM	0	24	205	29	0	45	132	16	0	38	72	43	0	30	67	12	713		1	1	2	0
Count Total	0	180	2,198	323	0	300	1,301	110	0	381	695	411	0	243	689	201	7,032		13	9	7	10
Peak Hour	0	89	1,185	175	0	152	692	52	0	212	364	216	0	136	416	112	3,801		5	5	2	8



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 10 27 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020


Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM

Peak 15-Minutes: 05:15 PM - 05:30 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				Northbound				27 1/2 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	56	346	0	0	0	221	59					0	135	0	30	847	3,347	0	0		0
4:15 PM	0	33	320	0	0	0	225	78					0	140	0	21	817	3,360	0	0		0
4:30 PM	0	31	332	0	0	0	225	106					0	122	0	31	847	3,407	0	0		0
4:45 PM	0	31	350	0	0	0	219	81					0	126	0	29	836	3,258	0	0		0
5:00 PM	0	35	369	0	0	0	190	76					0	159	0	31	860	3,162	0	0		1
5:15 PM	0	41	385	0	0	0	188	79					0	138	0	33	864		0	0		0
5:30 PM	0	34	279	0	0	0	204	60					0	105	0	16	698		0	0		0
5:45 PM	0	33	277	0	0	0	222	75					0	108	0	25	740		0	0		0
Count Total	0	294	2,658	0	0	0	1,694	614					0	1,033	0	216	6,509		0	0		1
Peak Hour	0	138	1,436	0	0	0	822	342					0	545	0	124	3,407		0	0		1



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 11 28 RD & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020


Peak Hour: 04:15 PM - 05:15 PM

Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				Northbound				28 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	12	455	0	0	0	0	265	22				0	9	0	3	766	3,145	0	0		0
4:15 PM	0	12	452	0	0	0	0	306	28				0	9	0	9	816	3,199	0	0		0
4:30 PM	0	12	423	0	0	0	0	335	26				0	5	0	6	807	3,184	0	0		0
4:45 PM	0	17	423	0	0	0	0	276	21				0	10	0	9	756	3,071	0	0		0
5:00 PM	0	15	481	0	0	0	0	267	33				0	11	0	13	820	3,006	0	0		0
5:15 PM	0	13	493	0	0	0	0	249	33				0	5	0	8	801		0	0		0
5:30 PM	0	8	367	0	0	0	0	256	29				0	11	0	23	694		0	0		0
5:45 PM	0	17	341	0	0	0	0	277	34				0	7	0	15	691		0	0		0
Count Total	0	106	3,435	0	0	0	0	2,231	226				0	67	0	86	6,151		0	0		0
Peak Hour	0	56	1,779	0	0	0	0	1,184	108				0	35	0	37	3,199		0	0		0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 12 28 1/4 RD & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 04:15 PM - 05:15 PM


Peak 15-Minutes: 04:15 PM - 04:30 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				28 1/4 RD Northbound				28 1/4 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	11	395	66	0	23	205	1	0	66	2	25	0	13	5	12	824	3,339	1	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	9	378	72	0	21	255	9	0	63	5	28	0	8	3	12	863	3,349	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	9	369	60	0	17	278	6	0	55	2	42	0	10	6	8	862	3,340	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	7	348	76	0	21	207	8	0	63	2	39	0	9	6	4	790	3,250	0	0	0	1
5:00 PM	0	4	394	56	0	19	218	5	0	57	5	41	0	11	6	18	834	3,201	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	9	398	74	0	38	210	7	0	51	5	34	0	9	4	15	854		0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	5	365	66	0	19	211	4	0	42	4	35	0	5	4	12	772		0	0	0	1
5:45 PM	0	7	311	49	0	20	254	5	0	40	7	33	0	6	3	6	741		0	2	0	0
Count Total	0	61	2,958	519	0	178	1,838	45	0	437	32	277	0	71	37	87	6,540		1	2	0	2
Peak Hour	0	29	1,489	264	0	78	958	28	0	238	14	150	0	38	21	42	3,349		0	0	0	1



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 13 29 RD & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM


Peak 15-Minutes: 04:00 PM - 04:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				29 RD Northbound				29 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	31	322	99	0	34	175	7	0	56	30	53	0	19	29	17	872	3,368	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	32	292	83	0	32	200	11	0	67	27	49	0	18	10	24	845	3,318	2	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	29	294	73	0	32	214	4	0	67	26	47	0	16	35	29	866	3,361	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	24	296	75	0	39	192	6	0	51	27	42	0	8	13	12	785	3,327	1	0	2	0
5:00 PM	0	44	302	80	0	32	183	6	0	54	32	42	0	11	19	17	822	3,261	1	1	0	0
5:15 PM	0	58	315	82	0	24	177	12	0	66	51	52	0	17	16	18	888		0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	44	283	76	0	23	157	7	0	60	49	41	0	20	24	48	832		0	1	1	0
5:45 PM	0	28	244	64	0	24	177	7	0	70	35	42	0	5	12	11	719		2	0	2	0
Count Total	0	290	2,348	632	0	240	1,475	60	0	491	277	368	0	114	158	176	6,629		6	2	5	0
Peak Hour	0	116	1,204	330	0	137	781	28	0	241	110	191	0	61	87	82	3,368		3	0	2	0



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 14 29 1/2 RD & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM


Peak 15-Minutes: 04:15 PM - 04:30 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				29 1/2 RD Northbound				29 1/2 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	5	330	19	0	18	191	21	0	26	8	44	0	14	4	8	688	2,762	2	0	0	1
4:15 PM	0	18	327	14	0	20	199	19	0	32	8	37	0	25	8	14	721	2,739	0	1	0	1
4:30 PM	0	20	333	17	0	8	223	14	0	22	12	18	0	15	8	7	697	2,707	0	1	0	0
4:45 PM	0	15	314	20	0	11	188	14	0	17	16	30	0	17	4	10	656	2,647	0	1	0	0
5:00 PM	0	15	290	14	0	15	200	14	0	26	10	40	0	24	3	14	665	2,573	2	0	0	2
5:15 PM	0	19	328	22	0	27	182	17	0	17	8	36	0	28	4	1	689		0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	14	308	23	0	14	178	20	0	16	9	21	0	24	6	4	637		0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	13	271	6	0	14	189	19	0	11	5	26	0	9	9	10	582		0	1	0	0
Count Total	0	119	2,501	135	0	127	1,550	138	0	167	76	252	0	156	46	68	5,335		4	4	0	4
Peak Hour	0	58	1,304	70	0	57	801	68	0	97	44	129	0	71	24	39	2,762		2	3	0	2



(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net


Location: 15 30 RD & PATTERSON RD PM

Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2020

Peak Hour: 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM


Peak 15-Minutes: 04:00 PM - 04:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Traffic Counts

Interval Start Time	PATTERSON RD Eastbound				PATTERSON RD Westbound				30 RD Northbound				30 RD Southbound				Total	Rolling Hour	Pedestrian Crossings			
	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right			West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	39	237	66	0	19	146	20	0	55	20	22	0	8	12	24	668	2,606	2	1	0	0
4:15 PM	0	46	221	54	0	14	151	10	0	45	18	23	0	15	12	41	650	2,536	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	33	234	68	0	14	152	15	0	54	12	16	0	12	12	30	652	2,546	1	0	0	1
4:45 PM	0	39	248	46	0	18	140	13	0	47	22	24	0	7	15	17	636	2,486	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	46	212	50	0	9	150	11	0	43	23	15	0	7	8	24	598	2,404	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	37	239	55	0	14	138	13	0	53	30	25	0	10	20	26	660		0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	37	212	54	0	17	121	14	0	37	17	26	0	12	15	30	592		0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	41	173	46	0	15	144	9	0	40	24	13	0	15	13	21	554		1	1	0	0
Count Total	0	318	1,776	439	0	120	1,142	105	0	374	166	164	0	86	107	213	5,010		4	2	0	1
Peak Hour	0	157	940	234	0	65	589	58	0	201	72	85	0	42	51	112	2,606		3	1	0	1

Appendix E - Access Plan Methodology and Evaluation Process



Memorandum

TO: Patterson Road Access Plan Project Team
FROM: Janet Lundquist
DATE: March 17, 2020
PROJECT: Patterson Road Access Study
RE: Access Plan Methodology

This memorandum describes the general approach proposed by Stolfus & Associates, Inc. (Stolfus) to develop the Patterson Road Access Plan. The purpose of this memorandum is to outline, for the benefit of the City of Grand Junction, the primary assumptions that will be used in developing the recommended access plan and to document agency concurrence with the proposed methodology. A separate methodology for the related traffic engineering elements of the project has been prepared documenting the primary assumptions and procedures that will be used to develop future traffic projections and analysis.

STUDY AREA

The study area consists of approximately 7.0 miles of Patterson Road between I-70B (23.75 Road) and Lodgepole Street (30.75 Road). The study area is located within the City of Grand Junction in Mesa County, Colorado.

ACCESS GUIDANCE

The Street Plan Functional Classification Map within the Grand Junction Circulation Plan identifies the corridor as a Minor Arterial from I-70B (23.75 Road) to 25 Road and a Principal Arterial from 25 Road to Lodgepole Street (30.75 Road). Guidance from the Transportation Engineering Design Standards (TEDS) for applicable classifications will be considered in developing the Access Plan. Currently, the study corridor falls under two categories:

Principal Arterial

A principal arterial is a 4-lane roadway with a right-of-way of 110 feet that includes a center median and detached sidewalks. The posted speed limits range from 35 mph-45 mph. Direct access is subordinate to through traffic movements. Full movement intersections are spaced 1/2 mile apart. Exceptions to 1/2 mile spacing may be permitted if no reasonable alternative exists, the need for the intersection is justified, and spacing meets the functional intersection area. One access is granted per parcel if reasonable access cannot be obtained from a lower classification roadway.

Within the Principal Arterial segment, a majority of accesses will be limited to right-in/right-out movements due to the median separated roadway and to reduce vehicle conflicts. Major intersections will be full movement and will be given priority since they serve many properties and interests. These intersections may currently be signalized or may reasonably be expected to meet signal warrants in the future. Three-quarter (left-in, right-in, right-out only) movements may be permitted if operations at adjacent full movement intersections are improved and design standards are met. Single or individual

properties are typically not granted a three-quarter movement access. Accommodation for passenger vehicle U-turns at major intersections is recommended to provide alternatives for restricted left-turn movements.

Minor Arterial


A minor arterial is a 4-lane roadway with a right-of-way of 80 feet, a center median or turn lane, and attached sidewalks. The posted speed limit is 35 mph. Direct access is subordinate to through traffic movements. Full movement intersections are spaced 1/4 mile apart. Exceptions to 1/4 mile spacing may be permitted if no reasonable alternative exists, the need for the intersection is justified, and spacing for the functional intersection area is met. One access is granted per parcel if reasonable access cannot be obtained from a lower classification roadway.

The Minor Arterial typical section allows for a center turn lane or a median. For the purposes of the Access Plan the most restrictive condition will be considered first. Within the Minor Arterial segment, a majority of accesses will be limited to right-in/right-out movements to reduce vehicle conflicts and with the assumption that the segment will be a median separated roadway. Major intersections will be full movement and will be given priority since they serve many properties and interests. These intersections may currently be signalized or may reasonably be expected to meet signal warrants in the future. Three-quarter movements may be permitted if operations at adjacent full movement intersections are improved and design standards are met. Single or individual properties are typically not granted a three-quarter movement access. Accommodation for passenger vehicle U-turns at major intersections is recommended to provide alternatives for restricted left-turn movements.

ACCESS PLAN APPROACH

The following assumptions regarding access points will be used during the development of the Access Plan:

- The existing posted speed limits will be retained through the Plan.
- Maximum 1-mile out of direction travel standard (1/2 mile each way) on Patterson Road.
- While intersection spacing guidance from TEDS will be used as a guideline, minimum full movement intersection spacing will be based on the following measures:
 - o Functional Intersection Area – AASHTO and the TRB Access Management Manual indicate separation of access points by a distance not less than the functional area of the intersection. The functional intersection area extends upstream and downstream from the physical intersection. The upstream distance is a combination of the storage length, deceleration and taper length, and the perception-reaction distance required for the speed of the segment. The downstream distance is measured as either acceleration length or decision sight distance. Providing acceleration length allows vehicles to accelerate to normal speed without conflict. Providing decision sight distance allows drivers to pass through an intersection before considering potential conflicts at the next intersection. Based on the suburban character of Patterson Road through this segment, the need for acceleration lanes is low. Therefore, we will use decision sight distance as the controlling downstream functional intersection distance.



The functional intersection area will depend on the speed of the segment and the number of projected turning vehicles. As an example, in a 45-mph suburban section with a maximum of 100 turning vehicles during the peak hour, the upstream and downstream functional intersection areas (FIA) are as follows:

- Upstream FIA = 100' (SHAC storage) + 350'(AASHTO decel + taper) + 100'(Access Management Manual suburban perception-reaction) = 550'
- Downstream FIA = 590'(Access Management Manual suburban DSD)

For additional explanation of the functional intersection area, refer to attached excerpts from AASHTO A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 2018 and TRB Access Management Manual, 2014. The most current guidance available from AASHTO will be used for deceleration and taper lengths.

Ideally, the full functional intersection area will be provided between full movement intersections. At a minimum, the physical length needed to accommodate storage length, deceleration and taper length will be provided between intersections for the current speed limit to ensure that proposed improvements will meet current design standards on opening day upon construction.

- o Other site-specific considerations as appropriate, including: locations of existing intersections, physical and/or right-of-way limitations, community and stakeholder input, type of access/traffic using access, etc.
- Three-quarter movement access points may be allowed if spacing meets functional intersection area for major intersections and traffic volumes and operations support a three-quarter movement.
- Relocate private access outside of the functional intersection area, if feasible.
- Consolidate private access to one access per ownership unless extenuating circumstances are identified related to property size, circulation and/or business operations. Multiple parcels under one ownership will be considered a single property or ownership.
- Share private accesses or locate public accesses to serve multiple properties, wherever possible.

- Eliminate direct private access to Patterson Road if reasonable access to the local street network is available. As described in TEDS Chapter 29.12.050: If a property has frontage on more than one street, access will be permitted only on those street frontages where design and safety standards can be met. The primary access shall be on the lower-order street.
- For the purposes of the access evaluation, it is assumed that Patterson Road will become a four-lane roadway with median separation based on the roadway classifications identified in the Grand Junction Circulation and TEDS. The appropriateness of additional access points between full movement intersections will be considered on a case-by-case basis. If such access is appropriate, it will be limited to right-in, right-out unless extenuating circumstances suggest that $\frac{3}{4}$ movement is more appropriate.
- The Grand Junction Circulation Plan and TEDS will be considered in identifying future access points. Any information available from the update that may impact the Patterson Road corridor will be considered. Additional or modified connections that provide circulation will be identified, if applicable. These local alternate routes may be adopted separately by the City in their Street Plan Functional Classification Map, if deemed beneficial.
- Potential techniques for access management will be identified within the study document, but specific techniques will not be identified for each access point. Full movement intersections may be signaled when warranted or other traffic control recognized by the MUTCD may be implemented.

ACCESS PLAN EVALUATION

The project team will develop a single overall recommended long-term access scenario. While options for specific areas may be identified and evaluated during the overall development of the plan, multiple corridor scenarios will not be developed and compared beyond those defined in the Traffic Methodology Memo. In order to provide a logical means for determining whether the Access Plan meets the purpose and need of the project, a compatibility index was developed. The index identifies a set of evaluation criteria that correspond with each access related project goal defined by the project team at the beginning of the project. A simple rating system that identifies if the plan is favorable, neutral or unfavorable with respect to each criterion is defined. Each of the three ratings under each criterion is given a definition specific to the criterion to assist in the evaluation. In cases where the access plan evaluation requires a comparison, the criteria will be measured against the 2045 No-ACP scenario. Please refer to the attached compatibility index for evaluation criteria and definitions.

IMPLEMENTATION

The improvements recommended in the Access Plan will represent a long-range plan to implement over time as traffic and safety needs arise and as funding becomes available. Construction of the improvements recommended may be completed using public and/or private funding. The following cases will trigger construction.

1. A property redevelops or changes use, resulting in an increase in traffic to and from the site of 20% or more. In this case, improvements at the specific access point may be required by the City. As part of the development review process, additional transportation improvements may also be necessary to address specific traffic-related impacts created by the development. These improvements will be compatible with the Access Control Plan (ACP). Upon redevelopment, the City may require property owners to provide legally defined cross-access easements for shared access points, as defined by the ACP. If a property does not redevelop, the property owner will not be required to construct access modifications. (Private Funding).

2. The City may obtain funding to complete improvements to a segment of the Patterson Road corridor. (Public Funding)
3. A safety or operational issue develops that can be mitigated through the implementation of access management techniques consistent with the ACP. Depending on the extent and type of safety or operational issue, improvements may address a segment of the Patterson Road corridor or may be limited to an isolated location or access point. (Public Funding)

It is important to remember that implementation of improvements recommended in the Access Plan will only occur if one of the triggers listed above are met. If a trigger is not met, a change to the existing condition will not be made. In short, if nothing changes, nothing changes.

A single access control plan table will be developed for this segment of Patterson Road. An access ID number and a reference point will identify the location of each access point in the table. A control point will be established for Patterson Road at I-70B (23.75 Road) to establish reference points. All other access point locations will be measured from the control point established. The access control plan table will provide a listing of each existing and future access point in the study area. For each access point the following information is provided: location, description of the current access status, the future configuration (Access Plan), and the condition(s) for change. Future exhibits graphically illustrating the Access Plan will be used for reference. In case of discrepancy, the access control plan table takes precedence over graphical illustrations.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

The public involvement plan for the Access Plan will include presentations to City Council and Planning Commission. In advance of the public Open Houses a workshop will be held for the City Council and Planning Commission to explain:

- Project background information
- Access management principles and techniques
- Summary of the project process
- Benefits of Access Control Plans
- Preliminary Draft Plan

A group stakeholder meeting will be held in advance of the public first Open House with other agencies including Mesa County, CDOT, Emergency Services, School District, Grand Valley Irrigation Company and Mesa Regional Transportation Planning Organization.

Two public Open Houses will be held to gather input from property owners, tenants, and the general public. All property owners adjacent to the Patterson Road corridor within the project study area will be invited to the open houses with a post card via first class mail. A legal notice and a display ad will be published in the Grand Junction Sentinel in advance of the public Open Houses. The project team will coordinate with the City Manager's office for publications of the Open House Materials on the City website. Exhibits presenting access management principles, the study process, and the recommended draft ACP will be displayed at the Open Houses. The second Open House will present changes to the Plan based upon input from the public and project stakeholders from the first open house and one-on-one meetings. Representatives from the project team will be available for questions and discussion at all open houses.

The project team will hold one-on-one meetings after the first Open House for access points of concern or requiring complex solutions. Additional meetings with stakeholders may also take place during the one-on-one meetings. The purpose of these meetings will be to resolve outstanding issues that require detailed discussion beyond the level possible during a public meeting.

PLAN ACCEPTANCE

The final Access Plan Report and ACP Table will be presented to the Planning Commission and City Council. The final acceptance of the Plan will be in the form of a Resolution adopting the Plan and/or adopting a local ordinance. The final Access Plan will be incorporated within the Grand Junction Circulation Plan. The local alternate routes identified within the Access Plan may be adopted separately by the City in their Street Plan Functional Classification Map.

Access Plan Compatibility Index					
The Access Plan will be evaluated using the following criteria to determine if the Plan meets the established project goals. In cases where the evaluation requires a comparison, the criteria will be measured against the 2045 No-Build scenario.					
Project Goal	Evaluation Criteria	Favorable (+)	Status with Respect to Criteria		
			Neutral (0)	Unfavorable (-)	
Provide effective and efficient through travel for traffic on Patterson Road utilizing the existing right-of-way and identify if additional right-of-way is needed.	Corridor Travel Speeds/Time	Increases/improves from No-Build scenario	Little or no change from No-Build scenario	Decreases/degrades from No-Build scenario	
	Functional Intersection Area	Full functional intersection area provided between intersections.	At a minimum, accommodates turn lane storage, decel and taper lengths between intersections without overlap.	Turn lane storage, decel and taper lengths overlap between intersections.	
	Number of Conflict Points	Fewer conflict points per mile	Number of conflict points maintained	More conflict points per mile	
	Right-of-way	Proposed ACP improvements can be implemented within the existing right-of-way	Proposed ACP improvements will require minimal right-of-way typical to a public project which may include minor ROW or easements on a few properties.	Proposed ACP improvements will require significant right-of-way purchase which may include full takes and/or impacts to numerous properties.	
Provide safe, effective, and efficient access to and from Patterson Road for businesses, residents, and guests to support the economic viability of the City of Grand Junction and Mesa County.	Intersection Sight Distance	More intersections have adequate sight distance	Same number of intersections have adequate sight distance	Fewer intersections have adequate sight distance	
	Intersection LOS or Critical Movements	More intersections or left turn movements operating at better LOS	Intersections or left turn movements operating at similar LOS	More intersections or left turn movements operating worse LOS	
	Conformance with Grand Junction TEDS manual	More locations meet auxiliary lane standards	Some locations meet auxiliary lane standards	Fewer locations meet auxiliary lane standards	
	Out of Direction Travel Distance	Less out-of-direction travel distance is required	No change	More out-of-direction travel distance is required	
	Intersection Crash Risk	Reduced by implementing needed physical improvements and access control measures	Maintained by implementing needed physical improvements only	Increased due to failure to implement needed physical improvements or access control measures	
	Business Market Area	Expands market area for the majority of businesses in the corridor	Market area maintained for a majority of businesses in the corridor	Reduced market area for a majority of businesses in the corridor	
Maintain compatibility with existing and proposed street network connections that provide local circulation to support the transportation system.	Local Route Circulation	Improve circulation via local routes	Maintain circulation via local routes	Reduce circulation via local routes	
	Serviceability of Local Routes to Developments and Properties within the Study Area	Improve serviceability of local routes	Maintain serviceability of local routes	Reduce serviceability of local routes	
Support alternative modal choices, including transit, pedestrian, and bicycle routes.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Parallel Access	Number of access points reduced	Number of access points maintained	Number of access points increased	
	Pedestrian/Bicycle Crossing Opportunities	Number of potential warranted signalized full movement intersections with opportunities for crossings increased compared to No-Build	No changes to number of potential warranted signalized full movement intersections with opportunities for crossings compared to No-Build	Number of potential warranted signalized full movement intersections with opportunities for crossings decreased compared to No-Build	
	Transit Opportunities	Increases opportunities to expand future transit plans	Maintains compatibility with future transit plans	Reduces compatibility with future transit plans	
Provide a plan that can be implemented in phases.	Public Support	Has positive public support	Has balanced public support	Does not have public support	
	Phasing Opportunities	Plan recommendations can be segmented into logical, compatible pieces funded by private development	Plan recommendations can be segmented into logical, compatible pieces requiring public & private funding	Plan recommendations not easily segmented and require significant public investment to implement	
	Physical Constraints	No physical constraints	Manageable physical constraints	Physical constraints are not manageable	
	Funding Opportunities	Commitment for public and/or private funding	Opportunity for public and/or private funding	Opportunity for public and/or private funding unlikely	
Maintain compatibility with previous local planning efforts, such as, the GVCP Plan, Ballot 2A measure, and the One Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan.	Compatibility with Local Planning	Expands/improves upon previous local planning recommendations	Consistent with previous local planning recommendations	Not consistent with previous local planning efforts	

Access Plan Compatibility Index



The Access Plan will be evaluated using the following criteria to determine if the Plan meets the established project goals. In cases where the evaluation requires a comparison, the criteria will be measured against the 2045 No-Build scenario.			
Project Goal	Evaluation Criteria	Rating	Reasoning
Provide effective and efficient through travel for traffic on Patterson Road utilizing the existing right-of-way and identify if additional right-of-way is needed.	Corridor Travel Speeds/Time	Favorable	The segment PFFS is approximately 1% better with the ACP. Generally the travel speed and corridor travel time are better than the No-Build.
	Functional Intersection Area	Neutral	Generally full functional intersection area is provided between intersections. There are a few locations, including between 24 Rd and Market St and a few 3/4 movement locations where only turn lane requirements can be met or a variance is required. In addition, conditional safety access points are identified for public road intersections inside the functional intersection area that have alternative circulation options, These access points may be closed in the future if safety issues develop.
	Number of Conflict Points	Favorable	Access points decrease from 283 to 149-160 total access points and there are over 125 restricted movement access points resulting in a significant reduction in conflict points.
	Right-of-way	Neutral	Typical ROW easements for a public project anticipated to install identified auxiliary lanes and to install a barrier median through the narrow segment between 1st St and Mira Vista.
Provide safe, effective, and efficient access to and from Patterson Road for businesses, residents, and guests to support the economic viability of the City of Grand Junction and Mesa County.	Intersection Sight Distance	Favorable	Restricting movements at locations with sight distance concerns such as between 24 1/2 Road and the Home Depot access and in the narrow section between 1st St and Mira Vista has reduced the risk of conflicts due to sight distance.
	Intersection LOS or Critical Movements	Neutral	5 intersections operate at better LOS, 3 intersections operate at worse LOS. Generally, the intersection results are similar to the No Build scenario or slightly better.
	Conformance with Grand Junction TEDS Manual	Favorable	The Plan allows for full movement intersections and 3/4 movement access points to meet the auxiliary lane standards by protecting functional intersection areas at intersections.
	Out of Direction Travel Distance	Unfavorable	Out of direction travel increases due to the application of limited movement intersections. The 1-mile out-of-direction travel standard established at the beginning of the project is followed using 3/4 movement's where signals are spaced farther apart.
	Intersection Crash Risk	Favorable	The intersection crash risk has been reduced by implementing needed physical improvements and access control measures through anticipated implementation of raised medians throughout the corridor to restrict movements.
	Business Market Area	Favorable	The market area is maintained for a majority of businesses in the corridor as evidenced by improved/unchanged travel times.
Maintain compatibility with existing and proposed street network connections that provide local circulation to support the transportation system.	Local Route Circulation	Favorable	The Plan is consistent with the GJCP Plan and recommends alternative routes that will help improve circulation via existing and proposed local routes to provide circulation for restricted movement access points at adjacent full movement intersections.
	Serviceability of Local Routes to Developments and Properties within the Study Area	Favorable	Access points are compatible with routes identified in the GJCP Plan to serve major traffic generators and consistent with travel patterns. Full movement access points and 3/4 movements serve public road intersections or private access points supporting multiple properties.
Support alternative modal choices, including transit, pedestrian, and bicycle routes.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Parallel Access	Favorable	The number of access points is reduced along the corridor thereby reducing conflicts for parallel ped/bike routes.
	Pedestrian/Bicycle Crossing Opportunities	Neutral	No changes to number of signalized full movement intersections with opportunities for crossings compared to No-Build. Recommend further traffic and safety analysis of future opportunities for mid-block crossings to support pedestrian accessibility and transit access.
	Transit Opportunities	Neutral	The Plan maintains compatibility with future transit plans along the corridor.
Provide a plan that can be implemented in phases.	Public Support	Neutral	Generally the public supports improving Patterson Road. Some individual property owners view the plan unfavorably as it relates to their individual property, but not as it relates to the entire corridor. Property owners that participated in the outreach program helped form the plan and several revisions were incorporated based on public comment. In particular, several conditional right-in,right-out access points were added to clearly denote where redevelopment would trigger the closure of the access rather than a public project.
	Phasing Opportunities	Favorable	The plan recommendations can be segmented into logical, compatible pieces funded by private development. Conditional access points provided for interim development conditions. (Public funding may be used to implement plan, if available).
	Physical Constraints	Neutral	Beyond the narrow segment between 1st St and Mira Vista, few physical constraints have been identified and are anticipated to be manageable. (Several physical constraints identified during the development of the plan through one-on-one meetings and observation resulted in modifications to the plan.)
	Funding Opportunities	Favorable	Plan implementation has potential for public and/or private funding as redevelopment and corridor development occurs. Several public projects that support access and circulation are already funded through the Ballot 2A measure. City of Grand Junction is actively applying access management principles and plan recommendations with developments currently in process.
Maintain compatibility with previous local planning efforts, such as, the GJCP Plan, Ballot 2A measure, and the One Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan.	Compatibility with Local Planning	Favorable	The Plan is compatible with existing planning and will improves upon previous local planning recommendations including the GJCP Plan, Ballot 2A measure, and One Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan. The ACP has expanded upon the GJCP Plan to identify additional circulation routes.

Functional Intersection Area References




Figure 9-1. Conflict Points at Various Intersection Types

9.2.2 Intersection Functional Area

An intersection is defined by both its functional and physical areas (18), as illustrated in Figure 9-2. The functional area of an intersection extends both upstream and downstream from the physical intersection area and includes any auxiliary lanes and their associated channelization.




Figure 9-2. Physical and Functional Area of an Intersection

The functional area on the approach to an intersection or driveway consists of three basic elements: (1) perception–reaction decision distance, (2) maneuver distance, and (3) queue-storage distance. These elements are shown in Figure 9-3. The distance traveled during the perception–reaction time will depend upon vehicle speed, driver characteristics, and driver familiarity with the location. Where there is a left- or right-turn lane, the maneuver distance includes the length needed for both braking and lane changing. In the absence of turn lanes, it involves braking to a comfortable stop. The storage length should be sufficient to accommodate the longest queue expected most of the time. Ideally, driveways should not be located within the functional area of an intersection, as shown in Figure 9-2, or within the influence area of an adjacent driveway.




Figure 9-3. Elements of the Functional Area of an Intersection

9.2.3 Design Objectives

The key to any intersection design is achieving a set of fundamental design principles that includes speed reductions, lane alignments, and human factors needs. The goal of any intersection design, regardless of type or location, should be to implement the following principles:

- Reduce vehicle speeds through the intersection, as appropriate;
- Provide the appropriate number of lanes and lane assignment to achieve adequate capacity, lane volume, and lane continuity;
- Provide channelization that operates smoothly, is intuitive to drivers, and results in vehicles naturally using the intended lanes;
- Provide adequate accommodation for the design vehicles;
- Meet the needs of pedestrians and bicyclists; and
- Provide appropriate sight distance and visibility.

Each element described above influences the operational efficiency and potential for crashes at intersections. When developing a design, the appropriate balance of operational performance for various modes, safety, and cost considerations should be sought throughout the design process. Favoring one component of the design may negatively affect another.

Deceleration lanes are advantageous on higher speed roads, because the driver of a vehicle leaving the roadway has no choice but to slow down on the through-traffic lane if a deceleration lane is not provided. The failure to brake by the following drivers, because of a lack of alertness, may result in rear-end collisions. Acceleration lanes are advantageous on roads without stop control, particularly those with higher operating speeds and/or higher volumes. Acceleration lanes are not desirable at all-way stop-controlled or signalized intersections where entering drivers can wait for an opportunity to merge without disrupting through traffic. For additional design guidance related to lengths and other aspects of deceleration and acceleration auxiliary lanes, refer to Section 10.9.6.

9.7.2 Deceleration Lanes

Figure 9-32 illustrates the upstream functional area of an intersection in relation to the components of deceleration lane length, which consist of the perception–reaction distance, the lane change and deceleration distance (also called the maneuver distance), and the storage length (also called the queue storage distance) (39).

Desirably, the total physical length of the auxiliary lane should be the sum of the length for these three components (lane change, deceleration, and storage distances). Common practice, however, is to accept a moderate amount of deceleration within the through lanes and to consider the taper length as a part of the deceleration within the through lanes. Each component of the deceleration lane length is discussed below.


9.7.2.1 Perception–Reaction Distance

The perception–reaction distance (d_1) in Figure 9-32 represents the distance traveled while a driver recognizes the upcoming turn lane and prepares for the left-turn maneuver. The distance increases with perception–reaction time and speed. The perception–reaction time varies with the driver's familiarity with the roadway segment and state of alertness; for example, an alert driver who is familiar with the roadway and traffic conditions has a smaller perception–reaction time than an unfamiliar driver. Traffic conditions on urban and suburban roadways could result in drivers having a higher level of alertness than those on highways in rural areas. Therefore, a value of 1.5 s is often used as the perception–reaction time for suburban, urban, urban core, and rural town contexts, and 2.5 s is often used for rural contexts (44).

Provision for deceleration clear of the through-traffic lanes is a desirable objective on arterial roads and streets and should be incorporated into design, whenever practical. Approximately two-thirds of the drivers observed making left turns in a research study concerning turn lanes used deceleration rates greater than 6.5 ft/s² [2.0 m/s²] to come to a stop at the stop line (16). A turn lane design based on that rate will accommodate the preferred behavior of 85 percent of turning drivers at high-speed sites. Table 9-20 presents the estimated distances needed by drivers to maneuver from the through lane into a left- or right-turn lane and brake to a stop based on an equivalent deceleration rate of 6.5 ft/s² [2.0 m/s²]. These distances are based on accommodat-

ing observed driver behavior; drivers and vehicles are capable of much greater comfortable, controlled deceleration, when needed. Since provision of deceleration length based deceleration at a rate of 6.5 ft/s^2 [2.0 m/s^2] is not always practical, it should be noted that drivers are capable of much higher deceleration rates. For example, the stopping sight distance calculations in Chapter 3 use 11.2 ft/s^2 [3.4 m/s^2] as a comfortable, controlled deceleration threshold for most drivers and the *Access Management Manual* (48) presents distances for “limiting conditions” based on the equivalent of a 9.9-ft/s^2 [3.0-m/s^2] deceleration rate throughout the full deceleration length (i.e., taper and full-width deceleration lane). Thus, deceleration rates greater than 6.5 ft/s^2 [2.0 m/s^2] may be used where needed.

As noted above, it is not practical on many facilities to provide the full length of the auxiliary lane for deceleration due to constraints such as restricted right-of-way, distance available between adjacent intersections, and storage needs. However, research has demonstrated that providing a left- and right-turn lane on any intersection approach has a substantial crash reduction benefit (22). Therefore, turn lanes should be installed where warranted (see Section 9.7.3), even where the distances in Table 9-20 cannot be achieved.



Where:

- d_1 = distance traveled while driver recognizes upcoming turn lane and prepares for the left-turn maneuver
- $d_{2(a)}$ = distance traveled while decelerating and changing lanes from the through-lane into the turn lane
- $d_{2(b)}$ = distance traveled during deceleration after lane change
- d_3 = distance provided for the storage of the queue of stopped vehicles waiting to turn

Figure 9-32. Functional Area Upstream of an Intersection Illustrating Components of Deceleration Lane Length

Table 9-20. Desirable Lane Change and Deceleration Distances

U.S. Customary		Metric	
Speed (mph)	Lane Change and Deceleration Distance (ft)	Speed (km/h)	Lane Change and Deceleration Distance (m)
20	70	30	25
25	105	40	35
30	150	50	50
35	205	55	65
40	265	65	85
45	340	70	105
50	415	80	130
55	505	90	155
60	600	95	185
65	700	105	215
70	815	110	250

Notes:

1. The lane change and deceleration lengths are shown as d_2 in Figure 9-32.
2. Deceleration lengths are based on a 6.5 ft/s^2 [2.0 m/s^2] deceleration throughout the entire length. Larger deceleration rates may be used when deceleration lengths based on 6.5 ft/s^2 [2.0 m/s^2] are impractical.
3. Access points should not be located in the deceleration areas.

9.7.2.2 Storage Length

A deceleration lane should be sufficiently long to store the number of vehicles likely to accumulate in a queue during a critical period. The storage length should be sufficient to avoid spillback of turning vehicles into the through-travel lanes waiting for a signal change or for a gap in the opposing traffic flow.

At signalized intersections, the storage length needed should be determined by an intersection traffic analysis, and will depend on the signal cycle length, the signal phasing arrangement, and the rate of arrivals and departures of turning vehicles. The storage length is a function of the probability of occurrence of events and should usually be based on 1.5 to 2 times the average number of vehicles that would need to be stored per signal cycle, which should be estimated based on the design volume or directly from traffic counts. Where turning lanes are designed for two-lane operation, the storage length is reduced to approximately half of that needed for single-lane operation. For further information, refer to the *Highway Capacity Manual* (49).

The storage length needed for a left-turn lane for any set of turning movement volumes and an assumed probability the storage length will be exceeded can be determined with the following sequence of equations, adapted from (16):

Functional Intersection Area and Access Location

14.1 INTRODUCTION

The area around an urban intersection is complex and unique. It is affected by the numerous conflicts that can occur within and near the intersection. Consequently, the design and control of access features, geometrics, and operations in the vicinity of intersections must be explicitly considered. Considerations include

- Geometrics within and near the intersection, such as number and width of lanes, presence of raised medians, curb returns, channelization features, and turn lanes;
- Traffic conditions, including the volume, peak times, mix of vehicle types, speeds, traffic control, and queuing;
- Driver performance and human factors, including perception–reaction time, deceleration characteristics, and drivers' understanding of traffic controls;
- Transit, pedestrian, and bicycle presence, considering the number, frequency, and location of transit stops, pedestrian crossings, and bicycle lanes; and
- Land use activities that require access, generate travel demand, and require transportation service for patrons and deliveries.

Management of conflicts within the intersection area requires identification of the functional area of the intersection. The functional area of an intersection extends both upstream and downstream from the physical intersection area and includes the longitudinal limits of auxiliary lanes. The influence area associated with a driveway includes (a) the impact length (the distance back from a driveway in which cars begin to be affected), (b) the perception–reaction distance, and (c) the car length.

Thus, the functional intersection area includes any area upstream or downstream of an intersection where intersection operation and conflicts significantly influence driver behavior, vehicle operations, or traffic conditions. Consequently, the functional intersection area can always be expected to be larger than the physical intersection, as shown in Exhibit 14-1. Although the intersection depicted in Exhibit 14-1 is a typical at-grade intersection,




EXHIBIT 14-1 Functional area in which access should be avoided (1).

the concept of functional intersection area applies to stop-controlled intersections, signalized intersections, and roundabouts.

Ideally, no access should be provided within these functional distances. If access must be provided within the functional distance, the challenge is to determine the best location and the type of access that may be permitted. This chapter provides methods and guidelines for determining the upstream and downstream functional distances of an intersection, how to manage access connections within the functional area, and how to determine the best location, or window, where access can be provided with the least negative impact on the intersection. Also addressed are considerations relative to connection on opposite sides of a roadway, as well as the location of transit access.

14.2 UPSTREAM FUNCTIONAL DISTANCE

The presence of an auxiliary lane, such as a right-turn lane, can potentially extend the functional intersection area if the transition from the through lane to the turn lane requires additional time and attention by the driver. As illustrated in Exhibit 14-2, the upstream functional distance of an intersection on a roadway consists of three elements:

- Distance traveled during a perception–reaction time (d_1);

- Deceleration distance while the driver maneuvers to a stop (d_2); and
- Queue storage (d_3).

The minimum physical length consists of the perception–reaction distance (d_1), the deceleration–maneuver distance (d_2), plus the queue storage (d_3). Exhibit 14-2a demonstrates the upstream functional intersection area for locations without a turn lane; Exhibit 14-2b represents the upstream functional intersection area at locations where a right-turn lane is present.

The functional intersection area is defined for through lanes on the basis of the same three elements: perception–reaction distance, deceleration to a stop at the back of the queue, and size of the queue. Thus, the functional intersection area is defined by the largest functional intersection distance of the lanes on an approach.

14.2.1 Distance Traveled During Perception–Reaction Time

Distance d_1 in Exhibit 14-2 increases with perception–reaction time and speed. The perception–reaction time varies with the driver's familiarity with the roadway segment and state of alertness. The perception–reaction time of an alert driver who is familiar with the roadway and traffic conditions is less than that of an unfamiliar driver.




EXHIBIT 14-2 Upstream functional intersection area: (a) without a turn bay and (b) with a turn bay (1, 2). (NOTE: PRT = perception-reaction time.)

Additionally, traffic conditions on urban and suburban roadways result in drivers having a higher level of alertness than drivers on rural highways. Thus, a value of 1.5 s is often used as the perception-reaction time for urban and suburban conditions, and 2.5 s is often used for rural situations (1). Exhibit 14-3 demonstrates the perception-reaction distances for a variety of speed and perception-reaction time values.

14.2.2 Distance Traveled During Deceleration-Maneuver

During low-volume conditions and in locations with only a few driveways, deceleration charac-

teristics of the vehicles and their drivers determine the length of the deceleration-maneuver distance (d_2). Studies have determined, however, that with increasing and closely spaced driveway density, the additional influence of driveway maneuvers introduces associated delays and reduced roadway capacity (3). Consequently, the deceleration-maneuver distance can also be influenced by ambient traffic activities, including driveway maneuvers. National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 420 (3) introduced the concept of probability-based impact lengths that take into consideration these additional operational constraints. The distance traveled during the deceleration-maneuver component

EXHIBIT 14-3 Distance Traveled During Driver's Perception-Reaction

Speed (mph)	Perception-Reaction Distance (d_1) (ft), by Perception-Reaction Time						
	1.0 s	1.5 s	2.0 s	2.5 s	3.0 s	3.5 s	4.0 s
20	30	45	60	75	90	105	120
25	35	55	75	90	110	130	145
30	45	65	85	110	130	155	175
35	50	75	105	130	155	180	205
40	60	90	120	145	175	205	235
45	65	100	130	165	200	230	265
50	75	110	145	185	220	255	295
55	80	120	160	200	240	285	325
60	90	130	175	220	265	265	355
65	95	145	190	240	285	335	380
70	105	155	205	255	310	360	410
75	110	165	220	275	330	385	440

NOTE: Distances rounded to 5 ft.

of upstream functional distance may be determined by two parameters:

1. Deceleration distance and
2. Impact distance.

The largest length should then be conservatively applied.

The deceleration method provides values of d_2 for a wide range of speeds on the basis of deceleration rate; the impact method is applicable only for select speeds of 30, 40, 45, and 50 mph. For the impact distance method, the difference in the recommended distance for 30 and 35 mph is very small (on the order of 20% or less); thus, it is suggested that the 30-mph curve also be used for 35 mph.

The deceleration and impact methods are described in detail in the following subsections.

14.2.2.1 Deceleration Distance

Gates et al. (4), Chang et al. (5), and Williams (6) reported similar deceleration rates for drivers braking to a stop at a traffic signal without changing lanes. The research by Gates et al. (4) is the most recent and the most detailed and is used as the basis for the deceleration-manuever distances in Exhibit 14-4. Gates et al. also reported that deceleration rate is

related to drivers traveling at a slower speed before braking and thereby using a lower average deceleration rate than those traveling at a higher initial speed (4). Thus, the deceleration distances at slower speeds (less than 40 mph) are slightly longer (15 ft or less) than the deceleration-manuever distances given in Exhibit 14-4. The conservative and recommended deceleration distance for locations with left-turn or right-turn lanes is associated with the column labeled "Most Drivers" in Exhibit 14-4. Because turn-lane operations are more complex than queuing in a through lane, the column labeled "Limiting Conditions" can be applied to through lanes or shared right-turn lanes, as the sighting conditions and braking to the back of the queue are straightforward and less complex than those of the turning lanes.

As demonstrated in Exhibit 14-2, more distance is required for d_2 at turn-lane locations than for d_2 at locations without turn lanes. In general, a vehicle will reduce speed by approximately 10 mph while maneuvering into a turn lane. Because of the attention needed to accomplish the lane change, the vehicle does not initiate full deceleration until it has cleared the through lane. Consequently, the full deceleration portion of d_2 [referred to as $d_{2(b)}$ (see Exhibit 14-2b)] begins at a lower speed than the initial intersection

EXHIBIT 14-4 Deceleration–Maneuver Distance Based on Average Deceleration Rate

Speed (mph)	Deceleration–Maneuver Distance (d_2) (ft)	
	Most Drivers ^a	Limiting Conditions ^b
20	60	45
25	95	70
30	135	100
35	185	135
40	240	175
45	305	220
50	375	275
55	455	330
60	540	395
65	635	460
70	735	535
75	840	610

NOTE: Deceleration while steering straight ahead. Distances rounded to 5 ft.

^aEighty-five percent of drivers traveling at a speed of 40 mph or less were reported to use a deceleration rate of 7.2 ft/s² or less. Thus, the distance for d_2 given in the table accommodates 85% of drivers; only 15% will require a longer distance (4).

^bBased on 50th percentile of drivers using a deceleration rate of 9.9 ft/s², yielding a shorter deceleration–maneuver distance (4). Braking distances to determine AASHTO stopping sight distance are based on 11.2 ft/s² (7).

approach speed. Exhibit 14-5 presents distances similar to those shown in Exhibit 14-4 that directly define the two distances that collectively result in the d_2 value. These candidate values are based on assumed values for time in lateral movement and their respective deceleration rates. Exhibit 14-6 shows how one agency has adapted d_2 values on the basis of deceleration for its jurisdiction.

14.2.2.2 Impact Distance

Impact distance is the distance upstream of an access connection at which the brake lights of a through vehicle in the curb lane are activated in response to the interference of a right-turning vehicle. This impact distance concept is based on the research in NCHRP 420 (3). This empirical method for determining d_2 has two advantages: (a) a value for d_2

EXHIBIT 14-5 Distance Traveled During Lane Change and Deceleration to a Stop

Speed (mph)	Distance Traveled (ft)		
	Deceleration ^a and Lateral Movement [$d_{2(a)}$]	Full Deceleration Distance ^b [$d_{2(b)}$]	Total Distance (d_2)
20	55	15	70
25	70	35	105
30	90	60	150
35	130	95	225
40	155	135	290
45	175	185	360
50	200	240	440
55	220	305	525
60	380	375	655
65	310	455	755
70	335	540	875
75	360	635	995

NOTE: Distance traveled rounded to 5 ft.

^aDeceleration while moving laterally from through lane into turn lane:

Speed (mph)	Time in Lateral Movement (s)	Deceleration Rate (ft/s ²)
≤30	2.5	5.9
30–55	3	4.9
≥60	3.5	4.2

^b7.2 ft/s².

EXHIBIT 14-6 Alternative Values for d_2 from the Lincoln, Nebraska, Access Management Policy (8)

Speed (mph)	d_2 (ft)	AASHTO (ft)
15	NA	80
20	NA	115
25	80	155
30	115	200
35	155	250
40	200	305
45	250	360
50	305	425
55	360	496

NOTE: AASHTO values for stopping sight distance after 10-mph speed reduction before entering the turn lane (assumes deceleration rate of 11.2 ft/s²); NA = not available.

EXHIBIT 14-7 Suggested Percentage of Through Vehicles That Will Sustain an Impact, by Functional Roadway Category

Functional Roadway Category	Through Vehicles Sustaining Impact ^a (%)
Principal arterial	2–4
Minor arterial	4–10
Major collector	5–20
Minor collector	10–30
Local	na

NOTE: na = not applicable.

^aMay also be stated as “the probability that a through vehicle must decelerate because of a preceding turning vehicle.”

can be obtained for different probabilities that a through vehicle will sustain an impact (see Exhibit 14-7) and (b) the probability that a through vehicle will sustain an impact can be estimated for a queue distance d_2 .

Exhibit 14-8 depicts these impact distances. For example, if it is acceptable to affect 10% of through vehicles on a 40-mph roadway, the d_2 distance is approximately 250 ft. This distance is comparable to the 240 ft for most drivers based on the deceleration–maneuver distance in Exhibit 14-4.

EXHIBIT 14-9 Example of Calculation of d_2 by Impact Method
Estimation of d_2 by the impact method:

Given a principal arterial where 2% probability of impact is considered acceptable,

if

speed = 45 mph

then

$d_2 = 460$ ft (according to Exhibit 14-8).

Estimation of probability of impact given d_2 :

If

impact distance = 220 ft and
speed = 40 mph,

then

probability of impact $\approx 20\%$
(according to Exhibit 14-8).

A limitation of the impact distance for determining d_2 is that data are available for only four speeds; in contrast, the deceleration method provides a d_2 value for all speeds. The examples in Exhibit 14-9 demonstrate the use of the impact method to estimate d_2 .




EXHIBIT 14-8 Cumulative frequency distribution of impact lengths.

[SOURCE: Research conducted in association with NCHRP Report 420 (3).]

14.2.3 Queue Storage

In rural areas, turn volumes are typically low and speeds are high. Although queue storage (d_3) is short, long upstream functional distances result from the long distances traveled during drivers' perception–reaction times plus the long maneuver distances.

In urban areas, different traffic conditions are encountered in peak and off-peak periods. In peak periods, traffic volumes are high and speeds are slow in comparison with off-peak conditions. Peak periods commonly require more queue storage and less maneuver distance, as well as shorter distances for the perception–reaction (decision-making) process. The upstream functional distance may thus be determined by the peak or the off-peak, whichever results in the greater sum of $d_1 + d_2 + d_3$. Thus, determination of the upstream functional distance requires calculation for both the peak and off-peak, especially for urban roadways.

As illustrated in Exhibit 14-2, the physical length of a turn bay is the functional length minus the distance traveled during the driver's perception–reaction time (i.e., $d_2 + d_3$). The physical length, which is an access design topic, is discussed in Chapter 16. The functional distance for a specific approach can be calculated by adding the appropriate distance for d_1 from Exhibit 14-3 to the maneuver distance (d_2) plus queue storage (d_3) by using procedures presented in Chapter 16. When traffic volumes and speeds are different in peak and off-peak periods, the sum of $d_1 + d_2 + d_3$ is calculated for both the peak and off-peak for the through lanes and the turn lanes; the largest distance is the upstream functional intersection distance.

The examples in Exhibit 14-10 demonstrate the calculation for the overall functional intersection distance. For the purposes of these examples, a queue storage length (d_3) is assumed. In the calculation of the upstream functional distance of a specific access connection, the procedures presented in Chapter 16 would be used.

14.3 DOWNSTREAM FUNCTIONAL DISTANCE

The downstream functional distance can be affected by various factors:

- **Geometric features**—acceleration lanes, bus bays, bus pullouts, parked

vehicles, midblock pedestrian crossings, bulb-outs;

- **Operational effects**—speeds, right and left turns into driveways, right and left turns out of driveways, bicycles, multilane cross sections, two-way left-turn lanes (TWLTLs), bus stops and reentry points, major weaving movement from the side access to a downstream left-turn opportunity; and
- **Human factors**—driver perception–reaction times, acceleration and deceleration characteristics, and drivers' sighting ability.

Drivers must have the time and distance provided by the downstream functional distance to deal with traffic conflicts, roadside activities, and roadway features. This requirement may be addressed by providing sight distance to see and avoid conflicts or by assuring there is adequate distance to accelerate to roadway speed.

Research conducted for NCHRP Project 03-99, "Development and Application of Access Management Guidelines," suggests that the nonemergency driving activity associated with driveway detection and reaction, during which a vehicle may reduce speed to accommodate driveway activities, results in driver perception–reaction times that range from 2.8 s for left-turn driveway maneuvers up to 6.5 s for right-turn driveway maneuvers. An average perception–reaction time associated with access management activities is approximately 2.8 s, with an 85th percentile perception–reaction duration of 4.3 s. These lengths are considerably longer than the values based on geometric design associated with the AASHTO geometric design policy for alert drivers (7).

The downstream functional distances discussed in the following subsections help to limit access points that are close enough to the intersection to create unacceptable conflicts, cause breakdowns in platoons, and generate shock waves when slower vehicles enter the traffic stream. If conditions are restricted, a variance in determination of the downstream functional distance may be offered. If conditions are not complex, reduced stopping sight distance or perception–reaction times may be accepted, if a study of the conditions shows these changes are acceptable. Principal and strategic arterials would not receive this variance.

EXHIBIT 14-10 Examples of Calculation of Overall Functional Intersection Distance**Example 1. Determination of upstream functional distance for a rural roadway environment**

Given a perception–reaction time of 3.5 s and a speed of 65 mph, the upstream functional intersection distance is calculated as follows:

If

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 (\text{Exhibit 14-3}) &= 335 \text{ ft,} \\ d_2 (\text{Exhibit 14-4}) &= 635 \text{ ft, and} \\ d_3 (\text{assuming one vehicle}) &= 25 \text{ ft,} \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\text{upstream functional distance} = 1,195 \text{ ft.}$$

Example 2. Comparison of upstream functional distance for peak and off-peak conditions for a suburban roadway environment

Given

$$\begin{aligned} \text{perception–reaction time} &= 1.5 \text{ s,} \\ \text{off-peak speed} &= 45 \text{ mph,} \\ \text{peak speed} &= 30 \text{ mph,} \\ \text{assumed off-peak queue storage} &= 75 \text{ ft, and} \\ \text{assumed peak queue storage} &= 225 \text{ ft,} \end{aligned}$$

Find the upstream functional distance on the basis of

Method A, deceleration–maneuver distance or
Method B, impact distance.

Method A: Upstream Functional Distance Based on Deceleration–Maneuver Distance

<i>Component</i>	<i>Off-Peak (ft)</i>	<i>Peak (ft)</i>
d_1 (Exhibit 14-3)	100	65
d_2 (Exhibit 14-4)	305	135
d_3 (assumed)	75	225
Upstream functional distance	480	425

Solution: The off-peak distance is longer than the peak period distance; thus, the upstream functional distance is 480 ft.

Method B. Upstream Functional Distance Based on Impact Distance

<i>Component</i>	<i>Off-Peak (ft)</i>	<i>Peak (ft)</i>
d_1 (Exhibit 14-3)	100	65
d_2 (Exhibit 14-4, 15% probability of impact)	280	190
d_3 (assumed)	75	225
Upstream functional distance	455	480

Solution: The peak period distance is longer; thus, the upstream functional distance is 480 ft.

14.3.1 Adequate Downstream Acceleration Distance

Sufficient distance must be provided for vehicles leaving the intersection from a stop to accelerate to normal roadway speed. Access points within this distance would introduce unacceptable conflicts and unexpected opera-

tions. These acceleration distances are given in Exhibit 14-11.

The total acceleration lane length, including the taper distance, would be the required downstream functional distance. If an acceleration lane is not provided, the downstream functional distance would be the acceleration distance alone. Acceleration rates are much

EXHIBIT 14-11 Ideal Downstream Functional Distance Based on Acceleration

Speed (mph)	Acceleration Distance ^a (ft)	Typical Taper Distance ^b (ft)	Downstream Functional Distance ^c (ft)
20	100	60	160
25	150	80	230
30	220	100	320
35	320	120	440
40	440	140	580
45	580	160	740
50	770	180	950
55	1,000	200	1,200
60	1,300	220	1,520
65	1,750	240	1,990
70	2,320	260	2,580

^aBased on AASHTO (7, Figure 2-24).^bBased on AASHTO (7, Figure 9-49 and p. 9-127).^cAcceleration lane length.

less than comfortable deceleration rates, which may result in acceleration lane lengths and downstream functional distances that exceed upstream functional distances at some intersections. No driveway access should be allowed in the acceleration-based ideal downstream intersection distance.

14.3.2 Sufficient Downstream Sight Distance

If a vehicle is not required to stop at a traffic signal, the driver requires sufficient time to identify conflicts and associated downstream operational constraints after he or she has successfully navigated the intersection. The available distance must be long enough so that the driver can see, understand, and react to downstream conditions. Depending on the complexity of the downstream configuration, a distance longer than stopping sight distance may be necessary. Stopping sight distance provides perception–reaction time plus braking distance to a single clearly discernible hazard in the middle of the roadway. The downstream functional distance often must provide sight distance to more subtle and complex situations, both within the traffic stream and along the roadside. Consequently, a longer sight distance, such as decision sight distance, should be provided.

Use of decision sight distance for recommended downstream functional distances recognizes the added complexity, increased conflicts, and added difficulty in viewing both roadside and traffic stream conditions through increased perception–reaction times and longer braking or maneuver times. These values are given in Exhibit 14-12.

Decision sight distance to a stop is a logical minimum downstream functional distance for arterials and is based on adequate perception–reaction and maneuver times plus braking to a stop. Multilane arterials may use decision sight distance for changes in speed, path, or direction that accommodate safe, smooth, comfortable operations. Decision sight distance for a change in speed, path, or direction provides sufficient travel time to adjust to traffic conditions and make a lane change in multilane facilities. The larger of the distances—acceleration distance versus decision sight distance—should be used to determine the downstream functional distance.

14.4 IDENTIFYING THE ACCESS WINDOW

AASHTO states, “Ideally, driveways should not be situated within the functional area of an intersection or in the influence area of an

EXHIBIT 14-12 Ideal Downstream Functional Distance Based on Decision Sight Distance to Stop and for Change in Speed, Path, or Direction

Speed (mph)	Decision Sight Distance to Stop (ft)			Decision Sight Distance (ft) for Change in Speed, Path, or Direction		
	Rural ^a	Suburban ^b	Urban ^c	Rural ^d	Suburban ^e	Urban ^f
20	130	215	305	305	340	430
25	180	280	400	375	400	525
30	220	350	490	450	535	620
35	275	425	590	525	625	720
40	330	505	690	600	715	825
45	395	590	800	675	800	930
50	465	680	910	750	890	1,030
55	535	775	1,030	865	980	1,135
60	610	875	1,150	990	1,125	1,280
65	695	980	1,275	1,050	1,220	1,365
70	780	1,090	1,410	1,105	1,275	1,445
75	875	1,200	1,545	1,180	1,365	1,545

^aStop on a rural road with perception–reaction time (PRT) = 3.0 s.

^bStop on a suburban road with PRT = 6.0 s.

^cStop on an urban road with PRT = 9.1 s.

^dChange in speed, path, or direction on a rural road, PRT = 10.2 to 11.2 s.


^eChange in speed, path, or direction on a suburban road, PRT = 12.1 to 12.9 s.

^fChange in speed, path, or direction on an urban road, PRT = 14.0 to 14.5 s.


adjacent driveway” (7, p. 9-182). To identify where access can best be located, it is helpful to first identify where access should not be located (Exhibit 14-13). The remaining window is where access can be located with the least interference to the abutting roadway and with the most benefit and flexibility for the site (1).

The steps in determining the location and size of the access window are as follows:


1. Locate nearby intersections (streets and driveway connections).
2. Arrange these intersections in descending order of importance; for example, arterial-to-arterial intersections are the most important, arterial-collector intersections are next in importance, and so on.
3. Define the upstream functional area of each intersection (i.e., the distance traveled during perception–reaction time plus maneuver distance plus queue storage). Note the largest queue may be in a through lane.
4. Define the downstream functional area of each intersection.
5. Identify the window in which direct access can best be provided. The larger the window, the greater the flexibility in site layout, including building location, site circulation design, and driveway design. Keep in mind that traffic queue lengths (and, therefore, upstream functional areas) are sensitive to changing traffic volumes and intersection traffic control.
6. Ask the question, how much flexibility is there for the site access and circulation to accommodate changing traffic conditions?
7. If the access window is very small or if there is no access window, additional questions need to be asked:
 - How much interference will be caused by the site development and direct access to the abutting street? What safety and operational problems can be expected?
 - Can the site traffic that is necessary for a successful development adequately enter or exit the site? If not, how much traffic can be accommodated and at what times of the day?



(a)



(b)



(c)

EXHIBIT 14-13 Window of opportunity for direct access drive: (a) left or right turn, (b) right turn only, and (c) no window (1).

14.5 ACCESS CONNECTIONS WITHIN THE FUNCTIONAL AREA

Although it is desirable to avoid access within the functional area, this is not always possible in urban areas, where short street spacing and small property frontages are common. If the property frontage is within the functional intersection area and alternative access is not available or cannot be provided at reasonable cost, it may be necessary to permit an access connection. Locating a connection within the functional area may be necessary if (a) no other reasonable access to the property is available or (b) topographic conditions preclude locating the access beyond the upstream or downstream functional distance. In such cases, including the following condi-

tions in the access permit can minimize the adverse impacts of the connection:

1. Require that the access connection be located as far as possible from the intersection.
2. Limit movements to right in, right out by provision of a nontraversable median or flexible pylons (see Exhibits 14-14 and 14-15 for examples).
3. Specify the maximum volume entering and leaving the driveway in the 1-h peak and in a 24-h period.
4. Require the applicant to agree to close the access connection if and when alternative access becomes available.

If the property frontage is within the functional intersection area, and alternative access is not available or cannot be provided at reasonable cost, it may be necessary to permit an access connection.




EXHIBIT 14-14 Installation of flexible pylons to restrict movements on opposite sides of this roadway and permit right-in, right-out only.

(Photograph by V.G. Stover.)

Exhibit 14-16 illustrates a problem that can occur when an access connection is located within the upstream functional distance of an intersection. After exiting from a driveway that is within the upstream functional intersection distance, a driver blocks the through traffic lanes while waiting to enter the left-turn lane. Corrective actions might be to make the driveway a one-way entrance only and to use flexible pylons between the left-turn lane and the adjacent through lane.

14.5.1 Driveways and Auxiliary Lanes

The placement of a driveway within the physical boundaries of a turn lane or located in the upstream deceleration lanes or downstream acceleration lanes should be avoided. Placing




EXHIBIT 14-15 Nontraversable median installed circa 2009 on Southwest Parkway at Texas Avenue, College Station, Texas, as a retrofit action to restrict left turns at a driveway serving a strip commercial center.

(Photograph by V.G. Stover.)



EXHIBIT 14-16 Problem resulting from an access connection located within the left-turn queue length.

(Photograph by V.G. Stover.)

a driveway within the turn-lane boundaries creates a driver expectancy problem: drivers of trailing vehicles expect the leading vehicle to turn at the intersection and often must stop abruptly to accommodate the vehicle turning into the driveway. Similarly, drivers should be introduced to one decision at a time. Positioning a driveway in acceleration or deceleration lanes or adjacent to lanes where acceleration and deceleration movements are expected to occur creates unexpected vehicle conflicts with turning vehicles.

14.5.2 Corner Clearance

Corner clearance represents the distance that is provided between an intersection and the nearest driveway. Because it is a special case of access spacing, it is addressed in Chapter 15. Section 15.4 discusses how corner clearance is determined and is integrated with the functional intersection area.

14.6 CONNECTIONS ON OPPOSITE SIDES OF A ROADWAY

Access connections on opposite sides of a roadway present specific access location and management issues. Closely spaced connections on opposite sides of an undivided roadway or on a roadway with a TWLTL result in jog maneuvers instead of separate and distinct turning movements, as illustrated in Exhibit 14-17. Such connections can also result in conflicting left turns, as illustrated in Exhibit 14-18.

Separation of the access connections to create two separately functioning T-intersections

Appendix F - Access Control Plan Tables

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E) 2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles. 4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration. 5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration. 6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change 7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street 8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation. 9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.						
Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for Implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
1	0.060	LT	2384 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 3	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
2	0.133	RT	2381, 2385, 2387 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Shared Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-054-09-001 and parcel no. 2945-054-00-087 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment. If a public project is funded prior to redevelopment, parcel no. 2945-054-09-001 must provide a cross access agreement to parcel no. 2945-054-00-087 or the access shall be restricted to Right-In/Right-Out.
3	0.149	LT	2384 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
4	0.157	LT	2388 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Rae Lynn St	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
5	0.167	RT	2386 Hwy 6 & 50	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when alternate access is available via Access 2. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-054-09-001 and parcel no. 2945-054-00-087 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
6	0.222	RT	Rae Lynn St (private)	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Safety Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. If a safety or operational issue develops, the access may be closed - alternate access to 24 Rd available.
7	0.226	LT	Rae Lynn St (public)	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Safety Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Once Rae Lynn St is extended to Leland Ave (and to 24 Rd), the access may be closed if a safety or operational issue develops.
8	0.292	RT	24 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
9	0.292	LT	24 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
10	0.421	RT	Commercial access for Mesa Mall	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
11	0.421	LT	Market St	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
12	0.498	LT	2412 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-043-022-002 and parcel no. 2945-043-01-001 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
13	0.505	LT	2422 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 12 or 14	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-043-022-002 and parcel no. 2945-043-01-001 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
14	0.534	LT	2422 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when alternate access to Access 12 is available. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-043-022-002 and parcel no. 2945-043-01-001 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E) 2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles. 4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration. 5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration. 6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change 7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street 8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation. 9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.						
Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
15	0.600	LT	2424, 2428, 2430, 2436 Patterson Rd (Home Depot)	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
16	0.600	RT	Commercial access for Mesa Mall	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
17	0.675	LT	2430, 2436 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Conditional Safety Right-In Only	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. If a safety or operational issue develops, the access may be closed - alternate access via Access 15 available.
18	0.734	LT	2436, 2438, 2440 Patterson Rd and 625 24 1/2 Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
19	0.814	LT	2442, 2444 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
20	0.855	LT	2446, 2448 Patterson Rd	Right-In/Right-Out	Shared Right-In Only	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
21	0.944	LT	24 1/2 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
22	0.944	RT	24 1/2 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
23	1.009	LT	2452, 2454 Patterson Rd	Right-In/Right-Out	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Access will close upon redevelopment and when alternate access to Flatop Ln is available.
24	1.031	RT	2451, 2463, 2465 Patterson Rd and 590 24 1/2 Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Conditional Safety Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. If a safety or operational issue develops, the access may be closed - alternate access via 24 1/2 Rd and Commerce Blvd available.
25	1.071	LT	2460, 2464 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In Only	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no 2945-044-10-002 and parcel no 2945-044-18-000 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
26	1.113	LT	2470, 2472, 2474 Patterson Rd (north side)	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no 2945-044-10-002 and parcel no 2945-044-18-000 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment. If a public project is funded prior to redevelopment, parcel no. 2945-044-18-000 must provide a cross access agreement to parcel no. 2945-044-10-002 or the access shall be restricted to Right-In/Right-Out.
27	1.113	RT	Commerce Blvd (south side)	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
28	1.176	LT	2470, 2472, 2474 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no 2945-044-00-065 and parcel no 2945-044-17-000 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles.
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change
7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.
9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.

Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for Implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
29	1.235	LT	2478 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-044-00-068, parcel no 2945-044-00-065 and parcel no 2945-044-17-000 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment. If a public project is funded prior to redevelopment, parcel no. 2945-044-17-000 must provide a cross access agreement to parcel no. 2945-044-00-068 and parcel no. 2945-044-00-065 or the access shall be restricted to Right-In/Right-Out.
30	1.308	LT	2482 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-044-00-068, parcel no 2945-04-17-000 and parcel no. 2945-044-05-000 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
31	1.325	RT	2488 Commerce Blvd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Commerce Blvd	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
32	1.358	LT	2486, 2490 2494 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when alternate access is available via Access 30. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-044-00-068 and parcel no. 2945-044-05-000 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
33	1.404	RT	599 25 Rd	Right In-Right Out	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops - access via 25 Rd. Cross access agreements required between property numbers 2945-091-06-001, 2945-091-06-002, and 2945-091-06-003 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
34	1.424	LT	2498 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops - access via 25 Rd. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-044-05-002 and parcel no. 2945-044-05-000 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
35	1.459	LT	25 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
36	1.459	RT	25 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
37	1.492	RT	596 25 Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops - access via 25 Rd.
38	1.538	RT	2515 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops - access via Access 38a.
38a	1.590	RT	2515 Patterson Rd	None	Right-In/Right-Out	When property redevelops.
39	1.600	LT	Foresight Cir (outbound)	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-Out Only	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
40	1.619	LT	Foresight Cir (inbound)	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In Only	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E) 2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles. 4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration. 5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration. 6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change 7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street 8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation. 9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.						
Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
41	1.648	RT	Northgate Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
42	1.715	LT	2526, 2528 Patterson Rd, 606 East Foresight Cir	Shared Right In-Right Out	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	
43	1.768	LT	2532 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
44	1.861	LT	Burkey St	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
47	1.975	LT	25 1/2 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
48	1.975	RT	25 1/2 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
49	2.040	LT	2554, 2555, 2556, 2558, 2560 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-034-17-001 and parcel no. 2945-034-17-002 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
50	2.092	LT	2562 Patterson Rd - Consolidated with properties at Accesses 50 through 55	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-Out Only	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
51	2.104	LT	2566 Patterson Rd - Consolidated with properties at Accesses 50 through 55	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - access via Accesses 50 and 53	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
52	2.124	LT	2570 Patterson Rd - Consolidated with properties at Accesses 50 through 55	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - access via Accesses 50 and 53	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
53	2.141	LT	2570 Patterson Rd - Consolidated with properties at Accesses 50 through 55	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Either Access 53 or 61 may be unsignalized 3/4 movement. The other access will be RIRO. Movements may be restricted when properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required for properties currently served by Access 53, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61 upon redevelopment.
54	2.138	RT	Cider Mill Rd - Consolidated with properties at Accesses 50 through 55	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
55	2.146	LT	2570 Patterson Rd - Consolidated with properties at Accesses 50 through 55	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - access via Accesses 50 and 53	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
56	2.165	LT	2572 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - access via Access 53 or 61	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required for properties currently served by Access 53, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61 upon redevelopment.
57	2.181	LT	2574 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - access via Access 53 or 61	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required for properties currently served by Access 53, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61 upon redevelopment.
58	2.204	LT	2576 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - access via Access 53 or 61	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required for properties currently served by Access 53, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61 upon redevelopment.
59	2.209	LT	2580 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - access via Access 61	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required for properties currently served by Access 53, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61 upon redevelopment.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E) 2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles. 4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration. 5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration. 6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change 7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street 8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation. 9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.						
Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for Implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
60	2.253	RT	Unaddressed Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops or when alternate access to a public road is available.
61	2.229	LT	2580 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Either Access 53 or 61 may be unsignalized 3/4 movement. The other access will be RIRO. Movements may be restricted when properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required for properties currently served by Access 53, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61 upon redevelopment.
62	2.266	RT	25 3/4 Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Access 62 and Access 64 shall be evaluated to determine if a 3/4 movement may be implemented at both locations. A design variance or speed reduction must be justified and approved by the City to allow 3/4 movement at both locations. Otherwise, one location must be restricted to RIRO as determined by the City. Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur or when a public project is funded.
63	2.255	LT	2582, 2584 Patterson Rd	Shared Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
64	2.353	LT	Meander Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Access 62 and Access 64 shall be evaluated to determine if a 3/4 movement may be implemented at both locations. A design variance or speed reduction must be justified and approved by the City to allow 3/4 movement at both locations. Otherwise, one location must be restricted to RIRO as determined by the City. Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur or when a public project is funded.
65	2.353	RT	Meander Ct	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
66	2.430	LT	2594, 2596 Patterson Rd	Shared Right In-Right Out	Shared Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when adjacent properties redevelop - access via Meander Dr or 26 Rd.
67	2.487	LT	26 Rd (N 1st St)	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
68	2.487	RT	N 1st St (26 Rd)	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
69	2.561	RT	Park Dr	Right In-Right Out	Conditional Safety Right-In/Right-out	If a safety or operational issue develops, the access may be closed - alternate access to 1st St via Belaire Dr available.
70	2.651	RT	2615 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 71	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
71	2.674	RT	2615 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops - access via Lost Lane.
72	2.706	RT	2621 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops and alternate access to Access 73 is available. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-112-11-018, parcel no 2945-112-11-019, and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E) 2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles. 4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration. 5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration. 6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change 7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street 8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation. 9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.						
Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for Implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
73	2.714	RT	2623 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-112-11-018, parcel no 2945-112-11-019, and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
74	2.718	LT	2626 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. The connection to Horizon Place will be a public street and all appropriate Rights-Of-Way shall be dedicated upon redevelopment.
75	2.722	RT	2623 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops and alternate access to Access 73 is available. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-112-11-018, parcel no 2945-112-11-019, and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
76	2.732	RT	2625 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops.
77	2.740	LT	2626 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 74	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
78	2.746	RT	2625 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
79	2.749	LT	2628 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops and alternate access to Access 83 is available. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-023-00-038, parcel no 2945-023-00-039, and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
80	2.756	RT	326 Belaire Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Belaire Dr	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
81	2.761	LT	2628 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 79 or 83	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
82	2.765	RT	336 Belaire Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops - access via Belaire Dr.
83	2.768	LT	2630 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-023-00-040, parcel no 2945-023-00-039, parcel no. 2945-023-00-038, and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
84	2.779	LT	2630 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 83	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles.
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change
7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.
9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.

Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for Implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
85	2.785	LT	2632 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops and alternate access to Access 87 or 83 is available. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-023-00-040, parcel no. 2945-023-00-042, parcel no 2945-023-00-039, and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
86	2.794	RT	Mira Vista Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when access to Access 86a is available.
86a	2.819	RT	2624 Mira Vista Rd	None	Conditional 3/4 Movement	Installation of the a new 3/4 movement access at 86a may be implemented when the property redevelops. Access 93 must be restricted or closed and Access 86 must be closed, when implemented.
87	2.807	LT	2634 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-023-00-041, parcel no 2945-023-00-042, parcel no. 2945-023-14-010, parcel no. 2945-023-00-040 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
88	2.818	LT	2634 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 87	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
89	2.829	LT	2636, 2638 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-in/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-023-00-041, parcel no. 2945-023-00-042, parcel no 2945-023-14-009, parcel no. 2945-023-14-010 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
90	2.848	LT	2640 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via 89	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-023-14-008, parcel no 2945-023-14-009, parcel no. 2945-023-14-010 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
91	2.859	LT	2640 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via 89	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
92	2.867	LT	2642 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-023-14-008, parcel no 2945-023-14-009 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment. Access will close when property redevelops and cross access to 89 is available.
93	2.867	RT	2635 N 7th St	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, when a public project is funded, or when Access 86a is implemented. Access will be restricted to Right-In Only if Access 86a is implemented, but trucks cannot be accommodated there. Access will close when the property redevelops or when on-site truck movements can be accommodated at Access 86a.
94	2.878	LT	2642 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 92	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles.
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change
7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.
9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.

Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for Implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
95	2.894	LT	2644 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 96	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-023-14-006, parcel no 2945-023-14-007 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
96	2.910	LT	2646 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-023-14-006, parcel no 2945-023-14-007 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
97	2.943	LT	2646, 2648 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
98	2.960	LT	2648 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via 26 1/2 Rd or Access 97	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
99	3.000	LT	26 1/2 Rd (N 7th St)	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
100	3.000	RT	N 7th St (26 1/2 Rd)	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
101	3.072	LT	N 8th Ct	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
102	3.136	RT	2661 Patterson Rd, 750 Wellington Ave	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
103	3.164	LT	2666 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops - access via Viewpoint Dr.
104	3.190	LT	View Point Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
105	3.216	LT	2674 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when adjacent properties redevelop - access via Viewpoint Dr.
106	3.262	LT	26 3/4 Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
107	3.308	LT	2416 26 3/4 Rd, 935, 959 Northern Way	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Northern Way	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
108	3.333	LT	Northern Way	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
109	3.333	RT	Private road, 2683 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
110	3.353	RT	2683 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when alternate access is available to Access 109, 114, or 116.
111	3.358	LT	960 Northern Way	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Northern Way	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
112	3.368	LT	2686 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 115	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
113	3.376	RT	2683 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right Out Only	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E) 2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles. 4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration. 5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration. 6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change 7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street 8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation. 9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.						
Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
114	3.391	RT	2687 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops and alternative access to Access 116 is available. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-111-27-005 and parcel no. 2945-111-00-009 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
115	3.395	LT	2686 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
116	3.426	RT	2691, 2695, 2699 Patterson Rd, 2531 N 12th St	Shared Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-111-27-005 and parcel no. 2945-111-00-009 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
117	3.447	RT	2691, 2695, 2699 Patterson Rd, 2531 N 12th St	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 116	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
118	3.456	LT	2686 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 115	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access closure will require coordination with Grand Valley Transit since they use this access point to stop on the hospital site.
119	3.515	LT	N 12th St	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
120	3.515	RT	N 12th St	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
121	3.560	LT	2702 Patterson Rd	Right In-Right Out	Close - Access via 12th St	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
122	3.574	LT	2708 Patterson Rd	Right In-Right Out	Close - Access via Access 124	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
123	3.585	RT	2600 N 12th St	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	
124	3.592	LT	2708 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
125	3.619	LT	2710 Patterson Rd	Right In-Right Out	Right-In/Right-Out	
126	3.639	LT	2714 Patterson Rd	Right In-Right Out	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. A single shared access will be provided at Access 126/127 when cross access agreements are established and the other access will be closed. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-013-00-013 and 2945-013-00-014 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
127	3.643	LT	2718 Patterson Rd	Right In-Right Out	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. A single shared access will be provided at Access 126/127 when cross access agreements are established and the other access will be closed. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-013-00-013 and 2945-013-00-014 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
128	3.659	LT	2718 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close- Access via Access 126 or 127	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
129	3.664	RT	2721 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles.
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change
7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.
9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.

Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for Implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
130	3.744	RT	2721 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-Out Only	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
131	3.773	RT	N 15th St	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
132	3.773	LT	N 15th St	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
133	3.805	LT	2726 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops - access via 27 1/4 Rd.
134	3.811	LT	2728 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
135	3.837	RT	2680 N 15th St	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops - access via 15th St.
136	3.853	LT	2734 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via Access 136a. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-013-00-030, parcel no. 2945-013-00-031, and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
136a	3.863	LT	2734/2736 Patterson Rd Property Line	None	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Shared access at the property line when either property redevelops. Movements may be restricted to right-in/right-out when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-013-00-030, parcel no. 2945-013-00-031, and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
137	3.872	LT	2736 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via Access 136a. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-013-00-030, parcel no. 2945-013-00-031, and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
138	3.875	RT	2737, 2741, 2745 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-122-28-004, parcel no. 2945-122-40-003, and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
139	3.887	RT	2737 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 138	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
140	3.902	LT	2738 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
141	3.934	RT	2737, 2741, 2745 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops and access to Access 141a or 138 is available. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-122-28-002, parcel no. 2945-122-40-003, parcel no. 2945-122-40-004 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E) 2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles. 4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration. 5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration. 6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change 7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street 8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation. 9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.						
Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
141a	3.948	RT		None	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Shared access at the property line when either property redevelops. Movements may be restricted to right-in/right-out when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-122-28-002, parcel no. 2945-122-40-003, and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
142	3.942	LT	2742 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via Access 142a. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-013-00-33, parcel no. 2945-013-22-003, and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
142a	3.955	LT	2742 Patterson Rd, Empty lot	None	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Shared access at the property line when either property redevelops. Movements may be restricted to right-in/right-out when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-013-00-33, parcel no. 2945-013-22-003, and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
143	3.967	LT	Empty lot	Signalized Full Movement	Close - Access via 27 1/2 Rd	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-013-00-33, parcel no. 2945-013-22-003, and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
144	4.015	LT	Empty lot	Signalized Full Movement	Close - Access via 27 1/2 Rd	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
145	4.049	LT	27 1/2 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
145a	4.049	RT	South leg 27 1/2 Rd	None	Signalized Full Movement	Installation of the south leg of 27 1/2 Rd may be implemented when the property redevelops, if desired and approved by the City. Access 148 must be restricted to RIRO if implemented.
146	4.061	RT	2751, 2765 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when property redevelops and/or access to Access 145a or 148 becomes available.
147	4.121	LT	Spring Valley Cir	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Conditional Safety Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
148	4.121	RT	2751, 2765 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Conditional Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. If Access 145a is implemented, access must be restricted to RIRO.
149	4.250	RT	2771, 2773, 2775 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-121-00-002 and parcel no. 2945-121-00-019 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
150	4.258	LT	Beechwood St	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
151				Not used		

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles.
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change
7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.
9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.

Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for Implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
152	4.292	RT	2777 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2945-121-00-018 and parcel no. 2945-121-00-003 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
153	4.323	LT	2778 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
154	4.356	LT	Pheasant Trail Ct	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
155	4.356	RT	El Corona Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
156	4.384	RT	Mount View Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
157	4.457	RT	Mantey Heights Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
158	4.504	RT	Santa Fe Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via E Park Ave	When adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
159	4.546	LT	28 Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
160	4.558	RT	2801 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
161	4.584	RT	E Park Ave	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
162	4.620	RT	2811 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via Camino Del Rey Dr or Rio Grande Drive.
163	4.677	RT	Rio Grande Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
164	4.677	LT	2814 Patterson Rd, 615 28 1/4 Rd	Right-In/Right-Out	Right-In/Right-Out	
165	4.739	RT	2813, 2815, 2825 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
166	4.776	RT	2813, 2815, 2825 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 165	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
167	4.828	RT	28 1/4 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
168	4.828	LT	28 1/4 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
169	4.866	RT	2827 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via 28 1/4 Rd	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
170	4.916	RT	2835 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
171	4.930	LT	2844 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 176	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E) 2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles. 4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration. 5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration. 6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change 7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street 8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation. 9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.						
Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
172	4.946	RT	Grand Cascade Way	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
173	4.972	LT	2844 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 176	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
174	4.980	LT	2844 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 176	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
175	5.000	LT	2844 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 176	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
176	5.037	LT	2844 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2943-064-00-082, parcel no. 2943-064-00-083, and parcel no. 2943-062-00-101 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
177	5.048	LT	2844 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 176	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
178	5.082	LT	2854 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 176	When alternate access to Access 176 is available. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2943-064-00-082 and parcel no. 2943-062-00-101 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
179	5.111	LT	2856 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 176	When alternate access to Access 176 is available. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2943-064-00-083 and parcel no. 2943-062-00-101 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
180	5.153	LT	2844 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via Access 176.
181	5.165	RT	Legends Way	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
182	5.189	LT	2872 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via 28 3/4 Rd	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
183	5.229	LT	2872 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via 28 3/4 Rd	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
184	5.248	LT	28 3/4 Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
185	5.264	RT	598 Sinatra Way	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Naples Dr	Access closing with Bella Dimora subdivision redevelopment
186	5.277	LT	604 28 3/4 Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via 28 3/4 Rd	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
187	5.280	RT	598 Sinatra Way	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Naples Dr	Access closing with Bella Dimora subdivision redevelopment
188	5.288	LT	2876 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
189	5.302	LT	2876 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 188	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
190	5.303	RT	598 Sinatra Way	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Naples Dr	Access closing with Bella Dimora subdivision redevelopment
191	5.326	RT	2879 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via W Indian Creek Dr	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E) 2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles. 4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration. 5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration. 6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change 7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street 8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation. 9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.						
Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for Implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
192	5.360	RT	W Indian Creek Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
193	5.360	LT	W Indian Creek Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
194	5.438	RT	Belhaven Way	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
195	5.447	RT	2893 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Belhaven Way	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Belhaven Way to be widened to full width with redevelopment or a public project.
196	5.488	LT	E Indian Creek Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Safety Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. If a safety or operational issue develops, the access may be closed - alternate access via W Indian Creek Dr available.
197	5.488	RT	2893 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Belhaven Way	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
198	5.527	RT	2893 Patterson Rd	Right-In/Right-Out	Close - Access via Belhaven Way	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
199	5.572	RT	29 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
200	5.572	LT	29 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
201	5.610	LT	2902, 2904, 2906 Patterson Rd, 606, 608 29 Rd	Right In-Right Out	Shared Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when adjacent properties redevelop - access via 29 Road.
202	5.645	LT	2908 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements are required between properties currently served by Access 202, 203, 204, and 206 upon redevelopment. Access points shall be consolidated and shared between these properties as redevelopment occurs. Proposed configuration to be approved by the City.
203	5.662	LT	2910 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements are required between properties currently served by Access 202, 203, 204, and 206 upon redevelopment. Access points shall be consolidated and shared between these properties as redevelopment occurs. Proposed configuration to be approved by the City.
204	5.679	LT	2912 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements are required between properties currently served by Access 202, 203, 204, and 206 upon redevelopment. Access points shall be consolidated and shared between these properties as redevelopment occurs. Proposed configuration to be approved by the City.
205	5.679	RT	2901, 2903, 2905, 2913, 2915 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E)
2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles.
4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration.
5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration.
6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change
7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street
8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation.
9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.

Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
206	5.696	LT	2914 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements are required between properties currently served by Access 202, 203, 204, and 206 upon redevelopment. Access points shall be consolidated and shared between these properties as redevelopment occurs. Proposed configuration to be approved by the City.
207	5.719	RT	2901, 2903, 2905, 2913, 2915 Patterson Rd	Right In-Right Out	Right-In/Right-Out	Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2943-082-33-003, parcel no. 2943-082-33-002 and parcel no. 2943-082-00-043 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
208	5.732	LT	Partee Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
209	5.750	RT	2917 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops and alternative access to Access 207 is available. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2943-082-33-003, parcel no. 2943-082-33-002 and parcel no. 2943-082-00-043 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
210	5.764	LT	2918 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via Partee Dr.
211	5.792	LT	Cris-Mar St	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
212	5.795	RT	Redwing Ln	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
213	5.829	LT	Parcel Number: 2943-053-40-000	Unsignalized Full Movement	Gated Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
214	5.836	LT	2926 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
215	5.858	LT	2926 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 214	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
216	5.858	RT	29 1/4 Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
217	5.880	LT	2934 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
218	5.891	LT	2934 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 217	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
219	5.897	LT	2938 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
220	5.905	LT	2938 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 219	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E) 2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles. 4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration. 5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration. 6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change 7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street 8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation. 9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.						
Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for Implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
221	5.931	LT	29 3/8 Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
222	5.931	RT	29 3/8 Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
223	5.951	LT	2940 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops or when alternative access to 29 3/8 Rd is available.
224	5.969	LT	2942 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops and alternative access to 29 1/2 Rd via Penny Lane is available. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2943-053-00-051 and parcel no. 2943-053-00-052 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
225	5.974	RT	2939 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Colanwood St.	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
226	6.000	LT	2944 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops and alternative access to 29 1/2 Rd via Penny Lane is available. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2943-053-00-051 and parcel no. 2943-053-00-052 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
227	6.020	RT	Colanwood St	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Safety Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. If a safety or operational issue develops, the access may be closed - alternate access via Wellington Ave or Parkway Dr available.
228	6.025	LT	2948 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via 29 1/2 Rd.
229	6.041	RT	2945 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
230	6.057	RT	599 29 1/2 Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via 29 1/2 Rd.
231	6.087	RT	29 1/2 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
232	6.087	LT	29 1/2 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
233	6.160	RT	E Greenfield Cir	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Safety Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. If a safety or operational issue develops, the access may be closed - alternate access via Bookcliff Ave available.
234	6.188	LT	Pioneer Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Safety Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. If a safety or operational issue develops, the access may be closed - alternate access via Bonito Ln available.
235	6.243	LT	Broken Spoke Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E) 2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles. 4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration. 5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration. 6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change 7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street 8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation. 9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.						
Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for Implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
236	6.282	RT	Darby Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
237	6.345	LT	Maintenance access	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will close when access to Access 241 is available.
238	6.352	RT	2977 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
239	6.391	LT	2980 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 241	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
240	6.400	RT	Placer St	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
241	6.404	LT	2982 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
242	6.484	LT	2982 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 241	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
243	6.474	RT	Maintenance access	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when alternate access to Access 245 or 30 Rd is available. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2943-081-00-042 and parcel no. 2943-081-00-051 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
244	6.497	LT	Hudson Bay Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Safety Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. If a safety or operational issue develops, the access may be closed - alternate access via F 1/4 Rd available.
245	6.497	RT	599 30 Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via 30 Rd. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2943-081-00-042 and parcel no. 2943-081-00-051 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
246	6.528	LT	2992 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via 30 Rd.
247	6.532	RT	599 30 Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 245	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
248	6.600	RT	30 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
249	6.600	LT	30 Rd	Signalized Full Movement	Signalized Full Movement	
250	6.667	LT	Ronlin Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Safety Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. If a safety or operational issue develops, the access may be closed - alternate access via E Vista Dr available.
251	6.721	LT	Agana Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
252	6.721	RT	Agana Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Serenade Dr	When adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E) 2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles. 4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration. 5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration. 6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change 7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street 8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation. 9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.						
Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for Implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
253	6.776	LT	Starlight Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
254	6.831	LT	Serenade St	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
255	6.831	RT	Serenade St	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
256	6.863	RT	3027 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via McMullin Dr	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
257	6.863	LT	3026 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via Access 258. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2943-043-00-143 and parcel no. 2943-043-00-082 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
258	6.882	LT	3026 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Shared Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2943-043-00-143 and parcel no. 2943-043-00-082 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
259	6.897	LT	3028 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via Access 258. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2943-043-00-143, parcel no. 2943-043-00-082 and parcel no 2943-043-00-195 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
260	6.911	LT	3030 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via Access 263. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2943-043-00-195 and parcel no. 2943-043-00-082 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
261	6.913	RT	McMullin Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
262	6.962	RT	Gerken Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
263	6.962	LT	Round Table Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
264	6.991	RT	599 Grand Valley Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Grand Valley Dr	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
265	7.002	RT	599 Grand Valley Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Grand Valley Dr	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
266	7.016	RT	Grand Valley Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E) 2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles. 4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration. 5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration. 6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change 7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street 8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation. 9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.						
Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for Implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
267	7.016	LT	Grand Valley Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
268	7.039	RT	598 Grand Valley Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Grand Valley Dr	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
269	7.053	RT	3047 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via Wellington Ave or Kirby Lane.
270	7.060	LT	3044 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - access via Stoney Brook Ln	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
271	7.082	RT	3047 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops or when alternate access to Wellington Ave or Kirby Lane is available.
272	7.111	RT	3049 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via Wellington Ave.
273	7.120	LT	Mesa Valley Dr	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
274	7.147	LT	3054 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 276	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
275	7.147	RT	Shoshone St	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
276	7.168	LT	3054 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
277	7.221	LT	Cottage Meadows Ct	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized 3/4 Movement	Movements may be restricted when adjacent properties redevelop, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
278	7.243	RT	3065 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 284, 286 and Wellington Ave	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
279	7.256	LT	3064 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
280	7.264	LT	3066 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops and alternate access to Orange Grove Way is available. Cross access agreements required between parcel no. 2943-044-00-217, and parcel no. 2943-044-37-002 and any adjacent properties with same ownership upon redevelopment.
281	7.276	LT	3068 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Conditional Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded. Access will be closed when property redevelops - access via Orange Grove Way.
282	7.279	RT	3067 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Right-In/Right-Out	Movements may be restricted when property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
283	7.290	LT	3068 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 281	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
284	7.295	RT	3073 Patterson Rd	Gated Unsignalized Full Movement	Gated Unsignalized Full Movement	When property redevelops, close access - access via Access 286.

**ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
PATTERSON ROAD
I-70B(MP 0.000) to Lodgepole St (MP 7.349)**

* All access points are defined by the approximate reference point (milepost) (in hundredths of a mile) based on GIS.

1. Oriented from direction of reference point (W-E) 2. MUTCD - Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 3. Full movement intersections and 3/4 movements shall accommodate U-turns for passenger vehicles. 4. Unless otherwise specified, conditions listed refer to proposed configuration. 5. Access closures are conditional upon alternative access to the highway or local street system. Refer to alternative access listed in proposed configuration. 6. Implement with land development, redevelopment or use change 7. If the City of Grand Junction improves Patterson Road or if safety or operational issues develop, access modifications may be implemented as long as reasonable access to the local street 8. Conditional proposed configurations may be further restricted under certain circumstances. Refer to conditions for implementation. 9. Cross Access Easements shall be required between properties upon redevelopment if the plan shows cross access but easements do not exist.						
Access ID No.	Mile Post **	Side	Description	Existing Configuration	Proposed Configuration ^{2,3,8}	Conditions for Implementation ^{2,4,5,6,7,9}
285	7.319	RT	3073 Patterson Rd	Unsignalized Full Movement	Close - Access via Access 284 and 286	When property redevelops, safety or operational issues occur, or when a public project is funded.
286	7.341	RT	3073 Patterson Rd	Gated Unsignalized Full Movement	Gated Unsignalized Full Movement	When property redevelops, realign Access 286 across from Lodgepole St. Realigned access does not need to be gated.
287	7.349	LT	Lodgepole St	Unsignalized Full Movement	Unsignalized Full Movement	

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION

- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3A

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL
- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3B

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3C

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3D



PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT

NOTE:
EITHER ACCESS 53 OR ACCESS 61
MAY BE 3/4 MOVEMENT
LONG-TERM WITH THE OTHER
ACCESS AS A RI-RO.

NOTE:
A DESIGN VARIANCE OR SPEED
REDUCTION MUST BE JUSTIFIED AND
APPROVED BY THE CITY TO ALLOW
3/4 MOVEMENT AT BOTH ACCESS 62
AND 64. OTHERWISE, RI-RO WILL BE
APPLIED TO ONE LOCATION AS
DETERMINED BY THE CITY.

LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3E

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3F

 BUS STOP

 BUS STOP - PULL OFF

 CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING

 CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED

 PARCEL

 TRAIL

	SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT		RIGHT IN ONLY
	UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT		RIGHT OUT ONLY
	3/4 MOVEMENT	G	GATED ACCESS POINT
	RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT	C	CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS. TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
	CLOSE	S	CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT
	SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION		

FIGURE 3G

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



FIGURE 3H

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION

- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- C** CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- S** CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3I

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- G** GATED ACCESS POINT
- C** CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- S** CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3J

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3K

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- G** GATED ACCESS POINT
- C** CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- S** CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3L

[illegible]

 BUS STOP

 BUS STOP - PULL OFF

 CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING

 CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED

 PARCEL

 TRAIL

	SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT		RIGHT IN ONLY
	UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT		RIGHT OUT ONLY
	3/4 MOVEMENT	G	GATED ACCESS POINT
	RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT	C	CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS. TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
	CLOSE	S	CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT
	SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION		

FIGURE 3M

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL
- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3N



Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community

BUS STOP

BUS STOP - PULL OFF

CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING

CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED

PARCEL

TRAIL

PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION

PLANNED CITY STREET

SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT

UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT

3/4 MOVEMENT

RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT

CLOSE

SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION

RIGHT IN ONLY

RIGHT OUT ONLY

GATED ACCESS POINT

CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.

CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 30

PATTERSON ROAD ACP EXHIBIT



Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community

LEGEND

- BUS STOP
- BUS STOP - PULL OFF
- CROSS ACCESS - EXISTING
- CROSS ACCESS - PROPOSED
- PARCEL
- TRAIL

- PROPOSED CITY STREET OR PRIVATE CONNECTION
- PLANNED CITY STREET

ACCESS POINT INFORMATION

- SIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- UNSIGNALIZED FULL MOVEMENT
- 3/4 MOVEMENT
- RIGHT IN - RIGHT OUT
- CLOSE
- SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION
- RIGHT IN ONLY
- RIGHT OUT ONLY
- GATED ACCESS POINT
- CONDITIONAL ACCESS POINT
SEE ACCESS TABLE FOR CONDITIONS.
TYPICALLY CLOSES WITH REDEVELOPMENT.
- CONDITIONAL SAFETY ACCESS POINT

FIGURE 3P

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO

ORDINANCE NO.

**AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE PATTERSON ROAD ACCESS CONTROL PLAN
AS VOLUME III, TITLE 38 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE**

**THE ACCESS CONTROL PLAN IS FOR
APPROXIMATELY SEVEN MILES OF PATTERSON ROAD
BETWEEN I-70 B (23.75 RD) AND LODGE POLE STREET (30.75 RD)**

Recitals

The City of Grand Junction staff and Stolfus and Associates as a planning team have diligently worked jointly to prepare an Access Control Plan (ACP) Study for the Patterson Road corridor within the city limits of Grand Junction. This action follows public meetings and virtual and in-person open houses during the planning process where members of the public attended and participated.

After twelve months of public outreach and deliberation by the planning team, public notice and a public hearing, by the City Planning Commission, the Planning Commission forwards its recommendation of adoption of the Access Control Plan for the future of the Patterson Road corridor.

The City Council finds that the proposed Patterson Road Access Control Plan is consistent with the City's overall vision, implements the 2020 One Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan, and overall manages the Patterson Road corridor to not only preserve the transportation functions of the corridor, but also helps to preserve property values and the economic viability of abutting developments. It optimizes the performance of the roadway to improve the level of safety, reduction of traffic congestion and is key in minimizing the need to add additional lanes of traffic that would have a much greater impact to the corridor and adjacent properties.

Further, the City Council finds that the ACP will afford maximum opportunity, consistent with the sound need and plans of the municipality as a whole, for the development or redevelopment of the corridor.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
GRAND JUNCTION THAT:**

The Patterson Road Access Control Plan (ACP), City of Grand Junction, Colorado, in the form of the document attached hereto, and as recommended for adoption by the City Planning Commission is hereby adopted.

The full text of this Ordinance, including the text of the Patterson Road Access Control Plan, in accordance with paragraph 51 of the Charter of the city of Grand Junction, shall be published in pamphlet form with notice published in accordance with the Charter.

INTRODUCED on first reading the 17th day of February, 2021 and ordered published in pamphlet form.

ADOPTED on second reading the _____ day of _____, 2021 and ordered published in pamphlet form.

ATTEST:

C.E. "Duke" Wortmann
President of the Council

Wanda Winkelmann
City Clerk